

## On locally nilpotent derivations of Fermat rings

Paulo Roberto Brumatti and Marcelo Oliveira Veloso

Communicated by V. V. Kirichenko

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $B_n^m = \frac{\mathbb{C}[X_1, \dots, X_n]}{(X_1^m + \dots + X_n^m)}$  (Fermat ring), where  $m \geq 2$  and  $n \geq 3$ . In a recent paper D. Fiston and S. Maubach show that for  $m \geq n^2 - 2n$  the unique locally nilpotent derivation of  $B_n^m$  is the zero derivation. In this note we prove that the ring  $B_n^2$  has non-zero irreducible locally nilpotent derivations, which are explicitly presented, and that its ML-invariant is  $\mathbb{C}$ .

### Introduction

Let  $\mathbb{C}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  be the polynomial ring in  $n$  variables over complex numbers  $\mathbb{C}$ . Define

$$B_n^m = \frac{\mathbb{C}[X_1, \dots, X_n]}{(X_1^m + \dots + X_n^m)},$$

where  $m \geq 2$  and  $n \geq 3$ . This ring is known as *Fermat ring*.

In a recent paper [3] D. Fiston and S. Maubach show that for  $m \geq n^2 - 2n$  the unique locally nilpotent derivation of  $B_n^m$  is the zero derivation. Consequently the following question naturally arises: is the unique locally nilpotent derivation of the Fermat ring  $B_n^m$  for  $m \geq 2$  and  $n \geq 3$  the zero derivation?

In this work we show that the answer to this question is negative for  $m = 2$  and  $n \geq 3$ . In other words, there exist nontrivial locally nilpotent derivations over  $B_n^2$  (see examples 1 and 2). Furthermore, we show that

---

**2010 MSC:** 14R10, 13N15, 13A50.

**Key words and phrases:** Locally Nilpotente Derivations, ML-invariant, Fermat ring.

these derivations are irreducible (see Theorem 2). In the general case, we prove that for certain classes of derivations of  $B_n^m$  the unique locally nilpotent derivation is the zero derivation (see Proposition 2).

The material is organized as follows. Section 1 provides the basic definitions, notations and results that are needed in this paper. In section 2 we present some results on the locally nilpotent derivations of the ring of Fermat. In section 3 we show examples of linear derivations in  $LND(B_n^2)$  and some results on these derivations.

## 1. Generalities

In the following the word "*ring*" means commutative ring with a unit element and characteristic zero. Furthermore, we denote the group of units of a ring  $A$  by  $A^*$  and the polynomial ring  $A[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  by  $A^{[n]}$ . A "*domain*" is an integral domain. If  $A$  is a subring of  $B$  ( $A \leq B$ ) and  $B$  is a domain, then  $\text{Frac}(B)$  is its field of fractions and  $\text{trdeg}_A(B)$  is the transcendence degree of  $\text{Frac}(B)$  over  $\text{Frac}(A)$ .

Let  $R$  be a ring. An additive mapping  $D : R \rightarrow R$  is said to be a *derivation* of  $R$  if it satisfies the Leibniz rule:  $D(ab) = aD(b) + D(a)b$ , for all  $a, b \in R$ . If  $A \leq R$  is a subring and  $D$  is a derivation of  $R$  satisfying  $D(A) = 0$ , we call  $D$  an  $A$ -derivation. We denote the set of all derivations of  $R$  by  $\text{Der}(R)$  and the set of all  $A$ -derivations of  $R$  by  $\text{Der}_A(R)$ . A derivation  $D$  is *irreducible* if it satisfies: given  $b \in R$ ,  $D(R) \subseteq bR$  if and only if  $b \in R^*$ .

A derivation  $D$  is *locally nilpotent* if for each  $r \in R$  there is an integer  $n \geq 0$  such that  $D^n(r) = 0$ . Let us denote by  $LND(R)$  the set of all locally nilpotent derivations of  $R$ . If  $A$  is a subring of  $B$ , we will make use of the following notations

$$LND_A(B) = \{D \in LND(B) \mid D \in \text{Der}_A(B)\}$$

$$KLND(B) = \{A; A = \ker D, D \in LND(B)\}.$$

Given  $D \in LND(B)$  define  $\nu_D(b) = \min\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid D^{n+1} = 0\}$ , for  $0 \neq b \in B$ . In addition, define  $\nu_D(0) = -\infty$ . The *degree function*  $\nu_D$  induced by a derivation  $D$  is a degree function on  $B$  (see [2]).

In this note  $x, y, z, \dots$  will represent residue classes of variables  $X, Y, Z, \dots$  module an ideal.

Note that since  $\mathbb{C}$  is algebraically closed given  $G = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i X_i^m$  with  $a_i \in \mathbb{C}^*$  there exists a  $\mathbb{C}$ -automorphism  $\varphi$  of  $\mathbb{C}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  such that  $\varphi(X_i) = b_i X_i$ ,  $b_i \in \mathbb{C}^*$  and  $\varphi(X_1^m + \dots + X_n^m) = G$ . In this case  $\varphi$

induces a  $\mathbb{C}$ -isomorphism of the  $Der_{\mathbb{C}}(B_n^m)$  in  $Der_{\mathbb{C}}(\frac{\mathbb{C}[X_1, \dots, X_n]}{(G)})$ . Thus all the results obtained in this paper about the module  $Der_{\mathbb{C}}(B_n^m)$  can be extended to the module  $Der_{\mathbb{C}}(\frac{\mathbb{C}[X_1, \dots, X_n]}{(G)})$ . In this paper, derivation of Fermat ring means  $\mathbb{C}$ -derivation and therefore we will use the notation  $Der(B_n^m)$  to denote  $Der_{\mathbb{C}}(B_n^m)$ .

The following facts are well known (see [1] or [4]).

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $B$  be an integral domain and  $D_1, D_2 \in LND(B)$  such that  $\ker D_1 = A = \ker D_2$ . If there exists  $s \in B$  such that  $0 \neq D_1(s) \in A$ , then  $0 \neq D_2(s) \in A$  and  $D_2(s)D_1 = D_1(s)D_2$ .  $\square$*

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $B$  be a domain satisfying ascending chain condition for principal ideals, let  $A \in KLND(B)$  and consider the set*

$$S = \{D \in LND_A(B) \mid D \text{ is an irreducible derivation}\}.$$

*Then  $S \neq \emptyset$  and  $LND_A(B) = \{aD \mid a \in A \text{ and } D \in S\}$ .  $\square$*

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $B$  be a domain and  $D \in LND(B)$  a nonzero derivation. Suppose that  $A = \ker D$ , then:*

- a)  *$A$  is a factorially closed subring of  $B$ . In particular  $B^* = A^*$ .*
- b) *If  $K$  is any field contained in  $B$  then  $D$  is a  $K$ -derivation.*
- c) *If  $s \in B$  satisfy  $Ds = 1$  then  $B = A[s] = A^{[1]}$ .*
- d) *Let  $S = A \setminus \{0\}$ , then  $S^{-1}B = (\text{Frac } A)^{[1]}$  and  $\text{trdeg}_A B = 1$ .*
- e) *If  $A' \in KLND(B)$  and  $A' \subseteq A$  then  $A' = A$   $\square$*

## 2. The set $LND(B_n^m)$

In this section we obtain some results that state that certain classes of derivations of  $\mathbb{C}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  do not induce derivations of  $B_n^m$  or are not locally nilpotent if they do.

Let  $K$  be a field and let  $S = \frac{K^{[n]}}{I}$  be a finitely generated  $K$ -algebra. Consider the  $K^{[n]}$ -submodule  $\mathcal{D}_I = \{D \in Der_K(K^{[n]}) \mid D(I) \subseteq I\}$  of the module  $Der_K(K^{[n]})$ . It is well known that the  $K^{[n]}$ -homomorphism  $\varphi : \mathcal{D}_I \rightarrow Der_K(S)$  given by  $\varphi(D)(g + I) = D(g) + I$  induces a  $K^{[n]}$ -isomorphism of  $\frac{\mathcal{D}_I}{I_{Der_K(K^{[n]})}}$  in  $Der_K(S)$ . From this fact we obtain the following result.

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $d$  be a derivation of the  $B_n^m$ . If  $d(x_1) = a \in \mathbb{C}$  and for each  $i$ ,  $1 < i \leq n$ ,  $d(x_i) \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}]$ , then  $d$  is the zero derivation.*

*Proof.* Let  $F$  be the Fermat polynomial  $X_1^m + \dots + X_n^m$ . We know that there exists  $D \in \text{Der}(\mathbb{C}^{[n]})$  such that  $D(F) \in F\mathbb{C}^{[n]}$  and that  $d(x_i) = D(X_i) + F\mathbb{C}^{[n]}$ ,  $\forall i$ . Thus we have  $D(X_1) - a \in F\mathbb{C}^{[n]}$ , and for each  $i > 1$  there exists  $G_i = G_i(X_1, \dots, X_{i-1}) \in \mathbb{C}[X_1, \dots, X_{i-1}]$  such that  $D(X_i) - G_i \in F\mathbb{C}^{[n]}$ . Since  $D(F) = m \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^{m-1} D(X_i) \in F\mathbb{C}^{[n]}$  and

$$D(F) = m \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^{m-1} (D(X_i) - G_i) + m \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^{m-1} G_i, \text{ where } G_1 = a, \text{ we}$$

obtain  $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^{m-1} G_i \in F\mathbb{C}^{[n]}$  and then obviously  $G_i = 0$  for all  $i$ . Thus  $d$  is the zero derivation.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $d$  be a locally nilpotent derivation of the Fermat ring  $B_n^m$ . If  $d(x_i) = \alpha_i x_1^{m_1} \dots x_n^{m_n}$ , where  $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}$  for all  $i$ , then  $d$  is the zero derivation.*

*Proof.* Let  $\nu_d$  be a degree function induced by a derivation  $d$ . Since the polynomial  $F$  is symmetric we can suppose, without loss of generality, that

$$\nu_d(x_1) \leq \nu_d(x_2) \leq \dots \leq \nu_d(x_k) \leq \dots \leq \nu_d(x_n).$$

Suppose that for some  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  we have  $0 \neq d(x_k)$ . Thus

$$\nu_d(x_k) - 1 = m_1 \nu_d(x_1) + m_2 \nu_d(x_2) + \dots + m_k \nu_d(x_k) + \dots + m_n \nu_d(x_n).$$

This implies that  $m_n = m_{n-1} = \dots = m_k = 0$ . Thus, as  $d$  satisfies the conditions of the Proposition 2, we can conclude that  $d$  is the zero derivation.  $\square$

### 3. Linear derivations

This section is dedicated to the study of the locally nilpotent linear derivation of the Fermat ring.

**Definition 1.** A derivation  $d$  of the ring  $B_n^m$  is called **linear** if

$$d(x_i) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n, \text{ where } a_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}.$$

The matrix  $[a_{ij}]$  is called the **associated matrix** of the derivation  $d$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $d$  be a linear derivation of  $B_n^m$  and  $[a_{ij}]$  its associated matrix. Then  $d$  is locally nilpotent if and only if  $[a_{ij}]$  is nilpotent.*

*Proof.* The following equality can be verified by induction over  $s$ .

$$\begin{bmatrix} d^s(x_1) \\ \vdots \\ d^s(x_n) \end{bmatrix} = [a_{ij}]^s \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix}. \tag{1}$$

We know that  $d$  is locally nilpotent if and only if there exists  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $d^r(x_i) = 0$  for all  $i$ . As  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  is linearly independent over  $\mathbb{C}$  by the equality 1, we can conclude the result.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.** *If  $d \in LND(B_n^m)$  is linear and  $m > 2$ , then  $d = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $A = [a_{ij}]$  be the associated matrix of  $d$ . Thus, for all  $i$ ,  $d(x_i) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}x_j$ . Since  $x_1^m + \dots + x_n^m = 0$  we infer that  $x_1^{m-1}d(x_1) + \dots + x_n^{m-1}d(x_n) = 0$ . Then

$$0 = x_1^{m-1} \left( \sum_{j=1}^n a_{1j}x_j \right) + x_2^{m-1} \left( \sum_{j=1}^n a_{2j}x_j \right) + \dots + x_n^{m-1} \left( \sum_{j=1}^n a_{nj}x_j \right)$$

and as  $x_1^m = -x_2^m - \dots - x_n^m$  we deduce that

$$0 = (a_{22} - a_{11})x_2^m + \dots + (a_{nn} - a_{11})x_n^m + \sum_{j \neq 1}^n a_{1j}x_jx_1^{m-1} + \sum_{j \neq 2}^n a_{2j}x_jx_2^{m-1} + \dots + \sum_{j \neq n}^n a_{nj}x_jx_n^{m-1}. \quad (*)$$

Observe that if  $m > 2$ , then the set

$$\{x_2^{m-1}, \dots, x_n^{m-1}\} \cup \{x_jx_i^{m-1}; 1 \leq i < j \leq n, \} \cup \{x_jx_i^{m-1}; 1 \leq j < i \leq n\}$$

is linearly independent over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Thus, we can conclude that

$$a_{11} = a_{22} = \dots = a_{nn} = a \text{ and } a_{ij} = 0 \text{ if } i \neq j.$$

Since  $d(x_1) = ax_1$  and  $d$  is locally nilpotent, we infer that  $a = 0$ . Thus, the matrix  $A = [a_{ij}]$  is null and  $d = 0$ .  $\square$

The next result characterizes the linear derivations of the  $LND(B_n^2)$ .

**Theorem 1.** *If  $d \in Der(B_n^2)$  is linear, then  $d \in LND(B_n^2)$  if and only if its associated matrix is nilpotent and anti-symmetric.*

*Proof.* Let  $d \in \text{Der}(B_n^2)$  be a linear derivation and  $A = [a_{ij}]$  be the associated matrix of  $d$ . Using the same arguments used in Proposition 3 we obtain

$$0 = (a_{22} - a_{11})x_2^2 + \cdots + (a_{nn} - a_{11})x_n^2 + \sum_{i < j} (a_{ij} + a_{ji})x_i x_j$$

Since the set  $\{x_2^2, \dots, x_n^2\} \cup \{x_i x_j; 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}$  is linearly independent over  $\mathbb{C}$ , we know that

$$a_{11} = a_{22} = \cdots = a_{nn} = a \text{ and } a_{ij} = -a_{ji} \text{ if } i < j,$$

but if  $A$  is nilpotent then its trace  $na$  is null and thus  $A$  is also anti-symmetric.

Now we can conclude by Lemma 3 that  $d$  is locally nilpotent if and only if  $A$  is nilpotent and anti-symmetric.  $\square$

The next lemma helps us to find nilpotent and anti-symmetric matrices.

First, we introduce some notation. Given a natural number  $n > 1$ ,  $\mathbb{M}_n$  denotes the ring of matrices  $n \times n$  with entries in  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $I_n \in \mathbb{M}_n$  is the identity matrix and  $S_n$  is the group of permutations of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ . Let  $\sigma$  be an element of  $S_n$ ,  $F_\sigma = \{i \in \mathbb{N}; 1 \leq i \leq n \text{ and } \sigma(i) = i\}$  and  $(-1)^\sigma = 1$  if  $\sigma$  is even and  $-1$  if  $\sigma$  is odd.

Let  $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathbb{M}_n$ . An elementary result involving  $A$  and its characteristic polynomial is given by the following lemma:

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $A$  be a matrix in  $\mathbb{M}_n$  and let*

$$f(X) = \det(XI_n - A) = X^n + b_{n-1}X^{n-1} + \cdots + b_1X + b_0$$

*be the characteristic polynomial of  $A$ .*

- a) *If  $a_{ii} = 0$  for every  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , then for all  $j$ ,  $0 \leq j \leq n - 1$ ,  $b_j = \sum_{\sigma \in F_j} (-1)^\sigma (-1)^{n-j} (\prod_{i \neq \sigma(i)} a_{i\sigma(i)})$ , where  $F_j = \{\sigma \in S_n; \#(F_\sigma) = j\}$ . In particular  $b_{n-1} = 0$ .*
- b) *If  $A$  is anti-symmetric, then  $b_{n-2} = \sum_{i < j} a_{ij}^2$ .*

*Proof.* **a)** Just observe that if  $C = XI_n - A = (c_{ij})$  and  $\sigma \in S_n$ , then

$$(-1)^\sigma c_{1\sigma(1)} \cdots c_{n\sigma(n)} = (-1)^\sigma (-1)^{n-\#(F_\sigma)} \left( \prod_{i \neq \sigma(i)} a_{i\sigma(i)} \right) \cdot X^{\#(F_\sigma)}.$$

We know that  $b_{n-1} = -\text{trace}(A)$  and then  $b_{n-1} = 0$ .

**b)** If  $\sigma \in S_n$  then  $\sharp(F_\sigma) = n - 2$  if and only if  $\sigma$  is a transposition, i.e.,  $\sigma = (ij)$ ,  $i \neq j$ . Hence the result is proved as  $(ij)$  is odd and  $a_{ij} = -a_{ji}$ .  $\square$

**Remark 1.** Let  $\mathbb{R}$  be the field of the real numbers. From Theorem 1 and Lemma 4 we conclude that the zero derivation is the unique derivation of ring  $B = \frac{\mathbb{R}[X_1, \dots, X_n]}{(X_1^2 + \dots + X_n^2)}$  that is locally nilpotent and linear.

In the following we present explicit examples of locally nilpotent derivations of  $B_n^2$  that are linear.

**Example 1.** Let  $n$  be an odd number and  $i = \sqrt{-1} \in \mathbb{C}$ . Let  $D_I$  be a linear derivation of  $\mathbb{C}^{[n]}$  defined by the anti-symmetric matrix  $n \times n$

$$I = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & -i \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & -i \\ 1 & i & \dots & 1 & i & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

It is easy to verify that

$$D_I(X_n) = X_1 + iX_2 + \dots + X_{n-2} + iX_{n-1},$$

and for  $k < n$

$$D_I(X_k) = \begin{cases} -X_n, & \text{if } k \text{ is odd.} \\ -iX_n, & \text{if } k \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

But  $D_I(X_1^2 + \dots + X_n^2) = 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} X_i D_I(X_i) + 2X_n D_I(X_n)$  and then

$$D_I(X_1^2 + \dots + X_n^2) = -2X_n D_I(X_n) + 2X_n D_I(X_n) = 0.$$

Thus,  $D_I$  induces a linear derivation,  $d_I$ , of  $B_n^2$  given by

$$d_I(x_n) = x_1 + ix_2 + \dots + x_{n-2} + ix_{n-1},$$

and for  $k < n$

$$d_I(x_k) = \begin{cases} -x_n, & \text{if } k \text{ is odd.} \\ -ix_n, & \text{if } k \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Now is easy to check that  $I^3 = 0$ . Thus,  $d_I$  is a locally nilpotent linear derivation of  $B_n^2$  by Theorem 1.

**Example 2.** Let  $n$  be an even number and let  $\varepsilon$  be a primitive  $(n-1)$ -th root of unity. Let  $D_P$  be a linear derivation of  $\mathbb{C}^{[n]}$  defined by the anti-symmetric matrix  $n \times n$

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & -\varepsilon \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 & -\varepsilon^k \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 & -\varepsilon^{n-2} \\ 1 & \varepsilon & \dots & \varepsilon^k & \dots & \varepsilon^{n-2} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

It is easy to verify that

$$D_P(X_k) = -\varepsilon^{k-1}X_n, \text{ for } k < n$$

and

$$D_P(X_n) = X_1 + \varepsilon X_2 + \dots + \varepsilon^{k-1}X_k + \dots + \varepsilon^{n-2}X_{n-1}.$$

As in example 1 it is easy to check that  $D_P(X_1^2 + \dots + X_n^2) = 0$ . Thus,  $D_P$  induces a linear derivation,  $d_P$ , of  $B_n^2$  given by

$$d_P(x_k) = -\varepsilon^{k-1}x_n, \text{ for } k < n$$

and

$$d_P(x_n) = x_1 + \varepsilon x_2 + \dots + \varepsilon^{k-1}x_k + \dots + \varepsilon^{n-2}x_{n-1}.$$

Since  $1 + \varepsilon + \varepsilon^2 + \dots + \varepsilon^{n-2} = 0$  and  $\{1, \varepsilon, \dots, \varepsilon^{n-2}\} = \{1, \varepsilon^2, \dots, \varepsilon^{2(n-2)}\}$  it is easy to check that  $P^3 = 0$ . Thus,  $d_P$  is a locally nilpotent linear derivation of  $B_n^2$  by Theorem 1.

The next step is to show that the derivations  $d_I$  and  $d_P$  are irreducible. But for this we need the following elementary result.

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $h$  be an element of the  $B_n^m$ . Then for each  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  there exists a unique  $G \in \mathbb{C}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  satisfying*

$$h = G(x_1, \dots, x_n) \text{ and } \deg_{X_k}(G) < m.$$

*Proof.* By the Euclidean algorithm for the ring  $\mathbb{C}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  it is sufficient to observe that for all  $k$  the polynomial  $F = X_1^m + \dots + X_n^m$  is monic in  $X_k$ .  $\square$



In the Fermat ring  $B_n^2$  for each  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  define the subring  $B_k$  of the ring  $B_n^2$  by  $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, \widehat{x_k}, \dots, x_n]$  where  $\widehat{x_k}$  signifies that the element  $x_k$  was omitted in the ring  $B_n^2$ .

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $h \in B_n \subset B_n^2$ . Then:*

- 1)  $d_P(h) \in x_n B_n$  if  $n$  is even,  $d_P$  defined in example 2;
- 2)  $d_I(h) \in x_n B_n$  if  $n$  is odd,  $d_I$  defined in example 1.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $n$  is even and let  $h \in B_n$ . Then

$$h = \sum_{i=(i_1, \dots, i_{n-1})} a_i x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}}, \text{ hence}$$

$$\begin{aligned} d_P(h) &= \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_1} d_P(x_1) + \cdots + \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_k} d_P(x_k) + \cdots + \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_{n-1}} d_P(x_{n-1}) \\ &= \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_1} (-x_n) + \cdots + \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_k} (-\varepsilon^{k-1} x_n) + \cdots + \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_{n-1}} (-\varepsilon^{n-2} x_n) \end{aligned}$$

then  $d_P(h) \in x_n B_n$ . The proof of the case  $n$  odd is analogous. □

**Lemma 7.** *Let  $h \in B_n^2$ . Then*

- 1)  $d_P(h) = 0$  if and only if  $d_P(h) = 0$  and  $h \in B_n$ , if  $n$  is even;
- 2)  $d_I(h) = 0$  if and only if  $d_I(h) = 0$  and  $h \in B_n$ , if  $n$  is odd.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $n$  is even and let  $h \in B_n^2$ . By Lemma 5 there exists a unique  $h_0, h_1 \in B_n$  such that  $h = h_1 x_n + h_0$ . Assume  $h_1 \neq 0$ . Now note that

$$0 = d_P(h) = d_P(h_1) x_n + h_1 d_P(x_n) + d_P(h_0). \tag{2}$$

From Lemma 6 we have  $d_P(h_1), d_P(h_0) \in x_n B_n$ . Thus,  $d_P(h_1) = b x_n$  for some  $b \in B_n$ . Hence  $d_P(h_1) x_n = (b x_n) x_n = b x_n^2 = b(-x_1^2 - \cdots - x_{n-1}^2) \in B_n$ . As  $d_P(x_n) = x_1 + \varepsilon x_2 + \cdots + \varepsilon^{i-1} x_i + \cdots + \varepsilon^{n-2} x_{n-1}$  we have  $h_1 d_P(x_n) \in B_n$ . Thus  $d_P(h_1) x_n + h_1 d_P(x_n) \in B_n$  and by Lemma 6  $d_P(h_0) = c x_n$  for some  $c \in B_n$ , then by Lemma 5 and (2) we infer that  $0 = d_P(h_1) x_n + h_1 d_P(x_n) = d_P(h_1 x_n)$ . As  $\ker d_P$  is factorially closed  $x_n \in \ker d_P$ , so  $d_P(x_n) = 0$ . But since  $d_P(x_n) \neq 0$ , this is a contradiction. Hence  $h_1 = 0$ . The proof of the case  $n$  odd is analogous. □

**Lemma 8.** *Let  $n \geq 3$  be a natural number. Then*

1)  $\ker d_P = \mathbb{C}[x_1 - \varepsilon^{(n-2)}x_2, \dots, x_1 - \varepsilon^{(n-k)}x_k, \dots, x_1 - \varepsilon x_{n-1}]$ , if  $n$  is even.

2)  $\ker d_I = \mathbb{C}[x_1 + ix_2, x_1 - x_3, \dots, x_1 - x_{k-2}, x_1 - ix_{k-1}]$ , if  $n$  is odd.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $n$  is even and let  $A$  be the subring

$$\mathbb{C}[x_1 - \varepsilon^{(n-2)}x_2, \dots, x_1 - \varepsilon^{(n-k)}x_k, \dots, x_1 - \varepsilon x_{n-1}]$$

of  $B_2^n$ . As

$$d_P(x_1 - \varepsilon^{(n-k)}x_k) = d_P x_1 - \varepsilon^{(n-k)} d_P(x_k) = -x_n - \varepsilon^{(n-k)}(-\varepsilon^{(k-1)}x_n) = 0,$$

for every  $k < n$ , we deduce that  $A \subseteq \ker d_P$ . Given

$$y_2 = x_1 - \varepsilon^{(n-2)}x_2, \dots, y_k = x_1 - \varepsilon^{(n-k)}x_k, \dots, y_{n-1} = x_1 - \varepsilon x_{n-1}$$

observe that

$$A[x_1] = \mathbb{C}[x_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}] = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}] = B_n,$$

thus the set  $\{x_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}\}$  is algebraically independent over  $\mathbb{C}$ .

By Lemma 7 for each  $h \in \ker d_P$ , we have  $d_P(h) = 0$  and  $h \in B_n$ , then

we may write  $h = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x_1^i$  where  $a_i \in A \subseteq \ker d_P$  for all  $i \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ .

Assume  $n > 0$  and remember that  $d_P(x_1) = -x_n$ . So

$$0 = d_P(h) = -[a_1 + 2a_2x_1 + \dots + na_nx_1^{n-1}]x_n.$$

By the uniqueness of Lemma 5 we have  $a_1 + 2a_2x_1 + \dots + na_nx_1^{n-1} = 0$  and hence  $a_i = 0$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Therefore  $h = a_0 \in A \subseteq \ker d_P$ . The proof of the case  $n$  odd is analogous.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $n \geq 3$  be a natural number.*

1) *If  $n$  is even, then  $d_P \in \text{LND}(B_n^2)$ , where  $d_P$  was defined in the example 2, is irreducible and*

$$\text{LND}_A(B_n^2) = \{ad_P \mid a \in A\},$$

where  $A = \mathbb{C}[x_1 - \varepsilon^{(n-2)}x_2, \dots, x_1 - \varepsilon^{(n-k)}x_k, \dots, x_1 - \varepsilon x_{n-1}]$ .

2) *If  $n$  is odd, then  $d_I \in \text{LND}(B_n^2)$ , where  $d_I$  was defined in the example 1, is irreducible and*

$$\text{LND}_S(B_n^2) = \{sd_I \mid s \in S\},$$

where  $S = \mathbb{C}[x_1 + ix_2, x_1 - x_3, \dots, x_1 - x_{n-2}, x_1 - ix_{n-1}]$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $n$  is even and  $d \in LND_A(B_n^2) \setminus \{0\}$ . By Proposition 1 we have  $\ker d = A$ . Observe that

$$d_P^2(x_n) = d_P\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \varepsilon^{k-1} x_k\right) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \varepsilon^{k-1} d_P(x_k) = x_n \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \varepsilon^{2(k-1)}\right) = 0$$

thus  $d_P(x_n) \in A$ . Then, by Lemma 1,  $d(x_n) \in A$  and

$$d_P(x_n)d = d(x_n)d_P. \tag{3}$$

By definition  $d_P(x_1) = -x_n$ , so

$$d_P(x_n)d(x_1) = -d(x_n)x_n. \tag{4}$$

We know that  $d(x_1) = g_1x_n + g_0$  with  $g_0, g_1 \in B_n$ . Then, (4) implies that  $d_P(x_n)g_1x_n + d_P(x_n)g_0 = -d(x_n)x_n$ . Since  $d_P(x_n) \in A \subseteq B_n$ , by the uniqueness of Lemma 5 we obtain  $d(x_n) = -d_P(x_n)g_1$ . As  $d(x_n) \in A$  we know that  $d_P(d(x_n)) = 0$ . Thus  $0 = d_P(d(x_n)) = d_P(-d_P(x_n)g_1)$  and then  $d_P(g_1) = 0$ , i.e.,  $g_1 \in A$ . Since  $d(x_n) = -d_P(x_n)g_1$ , (3) implies that

$$d_P(x_n)d = d(x_n)d_P = -d_P(x_n)g_1d_P.$$

Therefore  $d = -g_1d_P$ , where  $-g_1 \in A$ . The Lemma 2 implies that  $d_P = hd_0$  for some  $h \in A$  and some irreducible  $d_0 \in LND(B_n^2)$ . As we saw  $d_0 = h_0d_P$  for some  $h_0 \in A$ . So  $d_P = hd_0 = h(h_0d_P) = (hh_0)d_P$ . Thus  $h \in A^* = \mathbb{C}$  and hence  $d_P$  is irreducible. The proof of the case  $n$  odd is analogous.  $\square$

Let  $B$  be a  $\mathbb{C}$ -domain and  $\theta \in \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(B)$ . It is well known that if  $D \in LND(B)$ , then  $\theta D \theta^{-1} \in LND(B)$  and  $\ker \theta D \theta^{-1} = \theta(\ker D)$ .

Let  $S_n$  be the symmetric group and  $\sigma \in S_n$ . The permutation  $\sigma$  induces a  $\mathbb{C}$ -automorphism of  $\mathbb{C}^{[n]} = \mathbb{C}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  which is also called  $\sigma$  and defined by relations  $\sigma(X_i) = X_{\sigma(i)}$  for every  $i$ . Now since

$$\sigma(X_1^2 + \dots + X_n^2) = X_1^2 + \dots + X_n^2$$

then  $\sigma$  induces a  $\mathbb{C}$ -automorphism of  $B_n^2$  which is also called  $\sigma$  and defined by relations  $\sigma(x_i) = x_{\sigma(i)}$  for every  $i$ . Suppose that  $n$  is even. Given  $j < n$  we denote the transposition  $(j \ n) \in S_n$  by  $\tau_j$  and the derivation  $\tau_j d_P \tau_j^{-1}$  by  $d_{P_j}$ . Hence we have  $d_{P_j} \in LND(B_n^2)$  and

$$\ker d_{P_j} = \tau_j(\mathbb{C}[x_1 - \varepsilon^{(n-2)}x_2, \dots, x_1 - \varepsilon^{(n-k)}x_k, \dots, x_1 - \varepsilon x_{n-1}]).$$

Observe that

$$\tau_j(x_1 - \varepsilon^{(n-k)}x_k) = \begin{cases} x_n - \varepsilon^{(n-k)}x_k, & \text{if } j = 1 \\ x_1 - \varepsilon^{(n-k)}x_n, & \text{if } j = k \\ x_1 - \varepsilon^{(n-k)}x_k, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This implies that  $\ker d_{P_j} \subset B_j$ .

Now suppose that  $n$  is odd. For each  $1 \leq j \leq n$  denote the derivation  $\tau_j d_I \tau_j^{-1}$  by  $d_{I_j}$ . Thus we have

$$\ker d_{I_j} = \tau_j(\mathbb{C}[x_1 + ix_2, x_1 - x_3, \dots, x_1 - x_{n-2}, x_1 - ix_{n-1}]).$$

if  $k$  is odd

$$\tau_j(x_1 - x_k) = \begin{cases} x_n - x_k, & \text{if } j = 1 \\ x_1 - x_n, & \text{if } j = k \\ x_1 - x_k, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If  $k$  is even

$$\tau_j(x_1 - ix_k) = \begin{cases} x_n - ix_k, & \text{if } j = 1 \\ x_1 - ix_n, & \text{if } j = k \\ x_1 - ix_k, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It follows that  $\ker d_{I_j} \subset B_j$ .

The concept of  $ML$ -invariant of the a ring  $R$  was introduced by L. Makar-Limanov. This invariant has proved very useful in studying the group of automorphisms of a ring (see [5]).

**Definition 2.** Let  $B$  be a ring. The intersection of the kernels of all locally nilpotent derivation of  $B$  is called the  $ML$ -invariant of  $B$ .

The next result shows that the  $ML$ -invariant of  $B_n^2$  is  $\mathbb{C}$ . Note that for  $m \geq n^2 - 2n$  the  $ML$ -invariant of  $B_n^m$  is  $B_n^m$ .

**Theorem 3.** *The  $ML$ -invariant of the ring  $B_n^2$  is  $\mathbb{C}$ .*

*Proof.* We define  $d_j = d_{I_j}$  if  $n$  is odd, and  $d_j = d_{P_j}$  if  $n$  is even. In both cases, by previous observations, we have  $\ker d_j \subset B_j$  and

$$\bigcap_{j=1}^n \ker d_j \subset \bigcap_{j=1}^n B_j = \mathbb{C}.$$

Since  $\mathbb{C} \subset \ker d_j$ , for all  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , then the result follows.  $\square$

## References

- [1] D. Daigle, *Locally nilpotent derivations*, Lecture notes for the Setembro School of algebraic geometry, Łukęcin, Poland, Setembro 2003, Available at <http://aix1.uottawa.ca/~ddaigle>.
- [2] M. Ferreiro, Y. Lequain, A. Nowicki, *A note on locally nilpotent derivations*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra N.**79**, 1992, pp.45-50.
- [3] D. Fiston, S. Maubach, *Constructing (almost) rigid rings and a UFD having infinitely generated Derksen and Makar-Limanov invariant*, Canad. Math. Bull. Vol.**53** N.1, 2010, pp.77-86.
- [4] G. Freudenberg, *Algebraic Theory of Locally Nilpotent Derivations*, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, Vol.**136**, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2006.
- [5] L. Makar-Limanov, *On the group of automorphisms of a surface  $x^n y = P(z)$* , Israel J. Math. N.**121**, 2001, pp.113-123.

## CONTACT INFORMATION

- |                    |  |
|--------------------|--|
| <b>P. Brumatti</b> | IMECC-Unicamp, Rua Sérgio Buarque de Holanda 651, Cx. P. 6065<br>13083-859, Campinas-SP, Brazil<br><i>E-Mail:</i> <a href="mailto:brumatti@ime.unicamp.br">brumatti@ime.unicamp.br</a> |
| <b>M. Veloso</b>   | Defim-UFSJ, Rodovia MG 443 Km 7<br>36420-000, Ouro Branco-MG, Brazil<br><i>E-Mail:</i> <a href="mailto:veloso@ufs.br">veloso@ufs.br</a>  |

Received by the editors: 06.09.2010  
and in final form 05.04.2013.