

# Lie and Jordan structures of differentially semiprime rings

Orest D. Artemovych and Maria P. Lukashenko

Communicated by A. P. Petravchuk

**ABSTRACT.** Properties of Lie and Jordan rings (denoted respectively by  $R^L$  and  $R^J$ ) associated with an associative ring  $R$  are discussed. Results on connections between the differentially simplicity (respectively primeness, semiprimeness) of  $R$ ,  $R^L$  and  $R^J$  are obtained.

## 1. Introduction

Throughout here,  $R$  is an associative ring (with respect to the addition “+” and the multiplication “ $\cdot$ ”) with an identity,  $\text{Der } R$  is the set of all derivations in  $R$ . On the set  $R$  we consider two operations: the Lie multiplication “[ $-$ ,  $-$ ]” and the Jordan multiplication “( $-$ ,  $-$ )” defined by the rules

$$[a, b] = a \cdot b - b \cdot a$$

and

$$(a, b) = a \cdot b + b \cdot a$$

for any  $a, b \in R$ . Then

$$R^L = (R, +, [-, -])$$

is a Lie ring and

$$R^J = (R, +, (-, -))$$

---

**2010 MSC:** Primary 16W25, 16N60; Secondary 17B60, 17C50.

**Key words and phrases:** Derivation, semiprime ring, Lie ring.

is a Jordan ring (see [13] and [14]) associated with the associative ring  $R$ . Recall that an additive subgroup  $A$  of  $R$  is called:

- a *Lie ideal* of  $R$  if
 
$$[a, r] \in A,$$
- a *Jordan ideal* of  $R$  if
 
$$(a, r) \in A$$

for all  $a \in A$  and  $r \in R$ . Obviously,  $A$  is a Lie (respectively Jordan) ideal of  $R$  if and only if  $A^L$  (respectively  $A^J$ ) is an ideal of  $R^L$  (respectively  $R^J$ ).

In all that follows  $\Delta$  will be any subset of  $\text{Der } R$  (in particular,  $\Delta = \{0\}$ ) and  $\delta \in \text{Der } R$ . A subset  $K$  of  $R$  is called  $\Delta$ -stable if  $d(a) \in K$  for all  $d \in \Delta$  and  $a \in K$ . An ideal  $I$  of a (Lie, Jordan or associative) ring  $A$  is said to be a  $\Delta$ -ideal if  $I$  is  $\Delta$ -stable. A (Lie, Jordan or associative) ring  $A$  is said to be:

- *simple* (respectively  $\Delta$ -*simple*) if there no two-sided ideals (respectively  $\Delta$ -ideals) other 0 or  $A$ ,
- *prime* (respectively  $\Delta$ -*prime*) if, for all two-sided ideals (respectively  $\Delta$ -ideals)  $K, S$  of  $A$ , the condition  $KS = 0$  implies that  $K = 0$  or  $S = 0$  (if  $\Delta = \{\delta\}$  and  $A$  is  $\Delta$ -prime, then we say that  $A$  is  $\delta$ -*prime*),
- *semiprime* (respectively  $\Delta$ -*semiprime*) if, for any two-sided ideal (respectively  $\Delta$ -ideal)  $K$  of  $A$ , the condition  $K^2 = 0$  implies that  $K = 0$ ,
- *primary* if, for any two-sided ideals  $K, S$  of  $A$ , the condition  $KS = 0$  implies that  $K = 0$  or  $S$  is nilpotent.

Every non-commutative  $\Delta$ -simple ring is  $\Delta$ -prime and every  $\Delta$ -prime ring is  $\Delta$ -semiprime. We say that  $R$  is  $\mathbb{Z}$ -torsion-free if, for any  $r \in R$  and integers  $n$ , the condition  $nr = 0$  holds if and only if  $r = 0$ . If the implication

$$2r = 0 \Rightarrow r = 0$$

is true for any  $r \in R$ , then  $R$  is said to be 2-torsion-free. Let

$$F_p(R) = \{a \in R \mid a \text{ has an additive order } p^k \\ \text{for some non-negative } k = k(a)\}$$

be the  $p$ -part of  $R$ , where  $p$  is a prime. Then  $F_p(R)$  is a  $\Delta$ -ideal of  $R$ . If  $R$  is  $\Delta$ -semiprime, then

$$pF_p(R) = 0.$$

In particular, in a  $\Delta$ -prime ring  $R$  it holds  $F_p(R) = 0$  (and so the characteristic  $\text{char } R = 0$ ) or  $F_p(R) = R$  (and therefore  $\text{char } R = p$ ). Obviously that the additive group  $R^+$  of a  $\Delta$ -prime ring  $R$  is torsion-free if and only if  $\text{char } R = 0$ . Recall that a ring  $R$  is said to be of *bounded index*  $m$ , if  $m$  is the least positive integer such that  $x^m = 0$  for all nilpotent elements  $x \in R$ . We say that a ring  $R$  satisfies *the condition*  $(X)$  if one of the following holds:

- (1)  $R$  or  $R/\mathbb{P}(R)$  is  $\mathbb{Z}$ -torsion-free, where  $\mathbb{P}(R)$  is the prime radical of  $R$ ,
- (2)  $R$  is of bounded index  $m$  such that an additive order of every nonzero torsion element of  $R$ , if any, is strictly larger than  $m$ .

As noted in [16, p.283], a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -torsion-free  $\delta$ -prime ring is semiprime. In this way we prove the following

**Proposition 1.** *For a ring  $R$  the following hold:*

- (1) *if  $R$  is a  $\Delta$ -semiprime ring with the condition  $(X)$ , then it is semiprime,*
- (2) *if  $R$  is both semiprime (respectively satisfies the condition  $(X)$ ) and  $\Delta$ -prime, then  $R$  is prime.*

Relations between properties of an associative ring  $R$ , a Lie ring  $R^L$  and a Jordan ring  $R^J$  was studied by I.N. Herstein and his students (see [7, 8, 11] and bibliography in [9] and [5]); he has obtained, for a ring  $R$  of characteristic different from 2, that the simplicity of  $R$  implies the simplicity of a Jordan ring  $R^J$  [7, Theorem 1], and also that every Lie ideal of a simple Lie ring  $R$  is contained in the center  $Z(R)$  [7, Theorem 3]. K. McCrimmon [20, Theorem 4] has proved that  $R$  is a simple algebra if and only if  $R^J$  is a simple Jordan algebra. Our result is the following

**Theorem 1.** *For a 2-torsion-free ring  $R$  the following statements are true:*

- (1)  *$R$  is a  $\Delta$ -simple ring if and only if  $R^J$  is a  $\Delta$ -simple Jordan ring,*
- (2)  *$R$  is a  $\Delta$ -prime ring if and only if  $R^J$  is a  $\Delta$ -prime Jordan ring,*
- (3)  *$R$  is a  $\Delta$ -semiprime ring if and only if  $R^J$  is a  $\Delta$ -semiprime Jordan ring.*

Let us  $d \in \Delta$ . Since  $C(R)$  and  $\text{ann } C(R)$  are  $\Delta$ -ideals, the rule

$$\bar{d} : R/\text{ann } C(R) \ni r + \text{ann } C(R) \mapsto d(r) + \text{ann } C(R) \in R/\text{ann } C(R)$$

determines a derivation  $\bar{d}$  of the quotient ring  $R/\text{ann } C(R)$ . Then

$$\bar{\Delta} = \{\bar{d} \mid d \in \Delta\} \subseteq \text{Der}(R/\text{ann } C(R)).$$

Inasmuch  $d(Z(R)) \subseteq Z(R)$ , the rule

$$\hat{d} : R^L/Z(R) \ni r + Z(R) \mapsto d(r) + Z(R) \in R^L/Z(R)$$

determines a derivation  $\hat{d}$  of the Lie ring  $R^L/Z(R)$ . Then

$$\hat{\Delta} = \{\hat{d} \mid d \in \Delta\} \subseteq \text{Der}(R^L/Z(R)).$$

Since the center  $Z(R)$  is a nonzero Lie ideal of an associative ring  $R$  with an identity, a Lie ring  $R^L$  is not  $\Delta$ -simple. Our next result is the following

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $R$  be a 2-torsion-free ring. Then the following are true:*

- (1) *if the quotient ring  $R^L/Z(R)$  is a  $\hat{\Delta}$ -simple Lie ring, then  $R$  is non-commutative and  $R/\text{ann } C(R)$  is a  $\bar{\Delta}$ -simple ring,*
- (2) *if  $R$  is a  $\Delta$ -simple ring, then  $R^L/Z(R)$  is a  $\hat{\Delta}$ -simple Lie ring or  $R$  is commutative,*
- (3) *if  $R^L/Z(R)$  is a  $\hat{\Delta}$ -semiprime Lie ring, then  $R$  is non-commutative and the quotient ring  $R/\text{ann } C(R)$  is a  $\bar{\Delta}$ -semiprime ring,*
- (4) *if  $R$  is a  $\Delta$ -semiprime ring, then  $R^L/Z(R)$  is a  $\hat{\Delta}$ -semiprime Lie ring or  $R$  is commutative,*
- (5) *if  $R^L/Z(R)$  is a  $\hat{\Delta}$ -prime Lie ring, then  $R$  is non-commutative and  $R/\text{ann } C(R)$  is a  $\bar{\Delta}$ -prime ring,*
- (6) *if  $R$  is a  $\Delta$ -prime ring, then  $R^L/Z(R)$  is a  $\hat{\Delta}$ -prime Lie ring or  $R$  is commutative.*

Throughout, let  $Z(R)$  denote the center of  $R$ ,  $[A, B]$  (respectively  $(A, B)$ ) an additive subgroup of  $R$  generated by all commutators  $[a, b]$  (respectively  $(a, b)$ ), where  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$ ,  $C(R)$  the commutator ideal of  $R$ ,  $N(R)$  the set of nilpotent elements in  $R$ ,  $\text{char } R$  the characteristic of  $R$ ,  $\text{ann}_l I = \{a \in R \mid aI = 0\}$  the left annihilator of  $I$  in  $R$ ,  $\text{ann}_r I = \{a \in R \mid Ia = 0\}$  the right annihilator of  $I$  in  $R$ ,  $\text{ann } I = (\text{ann}_r I) \cap (\text{ann}_l I)$ ,  $C_R(I) = \{a \in R \mid ai = ia \text{ for all } i \in I\}$  the centralizer of  $I$  in  $R$  and  $\partial_a(x) = [a, x]$  for  $a, x \in R$ .

All other definitions and facts are standard and it can be found in [10], [17] and [19].

## 2. Differentially prime right Goldie rings

Let agree that

$$d^0 = \text{id}_R$$

is the identity endomorphism for  $d \in \Delta$ .

**Lemma 1.** *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $R$  is a  $\Delta$ -semiprime ring,
- (2) for any  $\Delta$ -ideals  $A, B$  of  $R$  the implication

$$AB = 0 \Rightarrow A \cap B = 0$$

is true,

- (3) if  $a \in R$  is such that

$$aR\delta_1^{m_1} \dots \delta_k^{m_k}(a) = 0$$

for any integers  $k \geq 1$ ,  $m_i \geq 0$  and derivations  $\delta_i \in \Delta$  ( $i = 1, \dots, k$ ), then  $a = 0$ .

*Proof.* A simple modification of Proposition 2 from [17, §3.2]. □

**Lemma 2.** *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $R$  is a  $\Delta$ -prime ring,
- (2) a left annihilator  $\text{ann}_l I$  of a left  $\Delta$ -ideal  $I$  of  $R$  is zero,
- (3) a right annihilator  $\text{ann}_r I$  of a right  $\Delta$ -ideal  $I$  of  $R$  is zero,
- (4) if  $a, b \in R$  are such that

$$aR\delta_1^{m_1} \dots \delta_k^{m_k}(b) = 0$$

for any integers  $k \geq 1$ ,  $m_j \geq 0$  and derivations  $\delta_j \in \Delta$  ( $j = 1, \dots, k$ ), then  $a = 0$  or  $b = 0$ .

*Proof.* A simple consequence of Lemma 2.1.1 from [10]. □

If  $I$  is an ideal of a ring  $R$ , then

$$\mathcal{C}_R(I) = \{x \in R \mid x + I \text{ is regular in the quotient ring } R/I\}$$

(see [19, Chapter 2, §1]). The next lemma extends Proposition 1 of [15].

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $R$  be a right Goldie ring and  $\delta \in \text{Der } R$ . If  $R$  is  $\delta$ -prime, then:*

- (a) *the set  $N = N(R)$  of nilpotent elements of  $R$  is its prime radical,*
- (b)  *$\bigcap_{i=1}^k \delta^{-1}(N) = 0$  for some integer  $k$ ,*
- (c)  *$\mathcal{C}_R(0) = \mathcal{C}_R(N)$ .*

*Proof.* From Theorem 2.2 of [16] (see the part (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) of its proof), we obtain (a) and (b). By Proposition 4.1.3 of [19],  $\mathcal{C}_R(0) \subseteq \mathcal{C}_R(N)$ . By the same argument as in [16, p.284], we can obtain that  $\mathcal{C}_R(0) = \mathcal{C}_R(N)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 1.** *If  $R$  is a commutative  $\delta$ -prime Goldie ring and  $\delta \in \text{Der } R$ , then  $N(R)$  contains all zero-divisors of  $R$ .*

By Corollary 1.4 of [6], if  $I$  is a  $\delta$ -prime ideal of a right Noetherian ring  $R$  and  $R/I$  has characteristic 0, then  $I$  is prime. The following lemma is an extension of Lemma 2.5 from [6].

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $R$  be a 2-torsion-free commutative Goldie ring and  $\delta \in \text{Der } R$ . If  $R$  is  $\delta$ -prime, then it is an integral domain.*

*Proof.* Assume that  $a \in \text{ann } N(R)$ ,  $b \in N(R)$  and  $r \in R$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \delta^2(arb) = \delta(\delta(a)rb + a\delta(r)b + ar\delta(b)) \\ &= \delta^2(a)rb + 2\delta(a)\delta(r)b + 2\delta(a)r\delta(b) + a\delta^2(r)b + 2a\delta(r)\delta(b) + ar\delta^2(b) \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$2\delta(a)R\delta(b) \subseteq N(R).$$

This means that  $\delta(a) \in N(R)$  or  $\delta(b) \in N(R)$ . Hence  $N(R)$  is  $\delta$ -stable. By Lemma 3,  $N(R)$  is a ideal and therefore  $N(R) = 0$ . By Lemma 1.2 of [4],  $R$  is prime and consequently it is an integral domain.  $\square$

**Proof of Proposition 1.**

(1) By Proposition 1.3 of [6] and Theorem 1 of [1], the prime radical  $\mathbb{P}(R)$  is a  $\Delta$ -ideal and so  $\mathbb{P}(R) = 0$  is zero.

(2) Since  $\mathbb{P}(R) = 0$ ,  $R$  is prime by Lemma 1.2 from [4].  $\square$

By Theorem 4 of [22], a  $\Delta$ -simple ring  $R$  of characteristic 0 is prime. Since every non-commutative  $\Delta$ -simple ring is  $\Delta$ -prime, in view of Proposition 1 we obtain the following

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $R$  be a semiprime ring (respectively a ring  $R$  satisfy the condition (X)). If  $R$  is  $\Delta$ -simple, then it is prime.*

### 3. Differential analogues of Herstein's results

For the proof of Theorem 2 we need the next results. In the proofs below we use the same consideration, as in [12, Chapter 1, §1], and present them here in order to have the paper more self-contained. Let agree that everywhere in this section  $k \geq 1$  and  $m_i \geq 0$  are integers ( $i = 1, \dots, k$ ).

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $R$  be a  $\Delta$ -semiprime ring,  $A$  and  $B$  its  $\Delta$ -ideals. Then the following statements hold:*

- (i) if  $AB = 0$ , then  $BA = 0$ .
- (ii)  $\text{ann}_l A = \text{ann}_r A$ .
- (iii)  $A \cap \text{ann}_r A = 0$ .

*Proof.* (i) Indeed,  $BA$  is a  $\Delta$ -ideal and  $(BA)^2 = 0$  and so  $BA = 0$ .

(ii) We denote  $(\text{ann}_r A)A$  by  $X$ . Since  $X$  is a  $\Delta$ -ideal and  $X^2 = 0$ , we deduce that  $X = 0$ . This means that

$$\text{ann}_r A \subseteq \text{ann}_l A.$$

The inverse inclusion we can prove similarly.

(iii) Since  $A \cap \text{ann}_r A$  is a nilpotent  $\Delta$ -ideal, the assertion holds.  $\square$

Henceforth

$$X_a = \{[\delta_1^{m_1} \dots \delta_k^{m_k}(a), x] \mid x \in R, \delta_i \in \Delta, m_i \geq 0 \text{ and } k \geq 1 \text{ are integers } (i = 1, \dots, k)\}.$$

It is clear that  $[a, x] \in X_a$ .

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $R$  be a  $\Delta$ -semiprime ring and  $a \in R$ . Then the following statements hold:*

(i) if

$$a[\delta_1^{m_1} \dots \delta_k^{m_k}(a), R] = 0$$

for any integers  $k \geq 1$ ,  $m_i \geq 0$  and derivations  $\delta_i \in \Delta$  ( $i = 1, \dots, k$ ), then  $a \in Z(R)$ ,

(ii) if  $I$  is a right  $\Delta$ -ideal of  $R$ , then  $Z(I) \subseteq Z(R)$ ,

(iii) if  $I$  is a commutative right  $\Delta$ -ideal of  $R$  and  $I$  is nonzero, then  $I \subseteq Z(R)$ . If, moreover,  $R$  is  $\Delta$ -prime, then it is commutative.

*Proof.* (i) Let  $x, y \in R$  and  $d, \delta \in \Delta$ . Since

$$[b, xy] = [b, x]y + x[b, y] \quad (3.1)$$

for any  $b \in X_a$  and  $a[b, xy] = 0$ , we conclude that  $ax[b, y] = 0$ . This gives that  $ayx[b, y] = 0$  and  $yax[b, y] = 0$  and consequently

$$(R[a, y]R)^2 = 0. \quad (3.2)$$

In addition,

$$0 = d(a[b, x]) = d(a)[b, x].$$

Multiplying (3.1) by  $d(a)$  on left we get  $d(a)x[b, y] = 0$ . Moreover,

$$0 = \delta(ax[d(b), y]) = \delta(a)x[d(b), y]$$

and, by the similar argument, we obtain that

$$\delta_1^{m_1} \dots \delta_k^{m_k}(a)x[\delta_1^{m_1} \dots \delta_k^{m_k}(a), y] = 0$$

for any integers  $k \geq 1$ ,  $m_i \geq 0$  and derivations  $\delta_i \in \Delta$  ( $i = 1, \dots, k$ ). As in the proof of the condition (3.2), we deduce that

$$(R[\delta_1^{m_1} \dots \delta_k^{m_k}(a), y]R)^2 = 0.$$

Then

$$I = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{\delta_1, \dots, \delta_k \in \Delta \\ y \in R}} R[\delta_1^{m_1} \dots \delta_k^{m_k}(a), y]R$$

is a sum of nilpotent ideals and therefore it is a nil ideal. Since  $I$  is a  $\Delta$ -ideal, we conclude that  $I = 0$  and, as a consequence,  $a \in Z(R)$ .

(ii) Let  $a \in Z(I)$  and  $y \in R$ . Then, for  $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_k \in \Delta$ , we have

$$\delta_1^{m_1} \dots \delta_k^{m_k}(a) \in Z(I)$$

and  $ay \in I$ . This gives that

$$a(\delta_1^{m_1} \dots \delta_k^{m_k}(a)y) = \delta_1^{m_1} \dots \delta_k^{m_k}(a)(ay) = a(y\delta_1^{m_1} \dots \delta_k^{m_k}(a)),$$

and thus

$$a[\delta_1^{m_1} \dots \delta_k^{m_k}(a), y] = 0.$$

By (i),  $a \in Z(R)$  is central.



(iii) By (ii),  $I \subseteq Z(R)$ . Assume that  $R$  is  $\Delta$ -prime,  $u, v \in R$  and  $a \in I$ . Then  $au \in I$  and so  $au \in Z(R)$ . Since

$$a(uv) = (au)v = v(au) = (va)u = a(vu),$$

we see that

$$[u, v] \in \text{ann}_r I.$$

By Lemma 2(3),  $[u, v] = 0$  and hence  $R$  is commutative.  $\square$

**Lemma 7.** *Let  $R$  be a  $\Delta$ -prime ring and  $a \in R$ . If  $a \in C_R(I)$  for some nonzero right  $\Delta$ -ideal  $I$  of  $R$ , then  $a \in Z(R)$ .*

*Proof.* Let us  $y \in R$  and  $b \in I$ . Then  $by \in I$  and so  $bay = a(by) = bya$ . This yields that

$$I[a, y] = 0 = [a, y]I.$$

By Lemma 2(3),  $[a, y] = 0$ . Hence  $a \in Z(R)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 8.** *The left annihilator  $\text{ann}_l(X_a)$  is a left  $\Delta$ -ideal of  $R$ .*

*Proof.* Immediate from the definition.  $\square$

**Lemma 9.** *If  $R$  is a  $\Delta$ -semiprime ring, then  $C_R([R, R]) \subseteq Z(R)$ .*

*Proof.* Let us  $a \in C_R([R, R])$ ,  $d, \delta \in \Delta$  and  $x, y \in R$ . Putting  $x$  for  $a$  and  $xd(a)$  for  $xy$  in (3.1) we obtain

$$[x, xd(a)] = [x, x]d(a) + x[x, d(a)]$$

and, as a consequence,  $[a, x[x, d(a)]] = 0$  and  $[a, x][x, d(a)] = 0$ . Then, by the same reasons as in the proof of Lemma 6(i), we obtain that  $[a, x] \in \text{ann}_l(X_a)$  and  $A = \text{ann}_l(X_a)$  is a  $\Delta$ -ideal. Then

$$[\delta(a), x][d(a), x] = \delta([a, x][d(a), x]) = 0.$$

Since  $A \cap \text{ann}_l A = 0$ , we deduce that is a nilpotent  $\Delta$ -ideal and so  $a \in Z(R)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 10.** *Let  $R$  be a 2-torsion-free  $\Delta$ -semiprime ring. If  $a \in R$  commutes with all elements of  $X_a$ , then  $a \in Z(R)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $r, x, y \in R$  and  $d \in \Delta$ . It is clear that  $\partial_a^2(x) = 0$ . From  $\partial_a^2(xy) = 0$  it follows that

$$2\partial_a(x)\partial_a(y) = 0$$

and so  $\partial_a(x)\partial_a(y) = 0$ . Since

$$0 = \partial_a(x)\partial_a(rx) = \partial_a(x)\partial_a(r)x + \partial_a(x)r\partial_a(x) = \partial_a(x)r\partial_a(x),$$

we deduce that  $\partial_a(x)R\partial_a(x) = 0$  and  $(\partial_a(x)R)^2 = 0$ . Moreover,  $a[b, x] = [b, x]a$  for any  $[b, x] \in X_a$  and therefore

$$d(a)[b, x] + a[d(b), x] + a[b, d(x)] = [b, x]d(a) + [d(b), x]a + [b, d(x)]a.$$

From this it holds that

$$d(a)[b, x] = [b, x]d(a).$$

This means that  $C_R(X_a)$  is  $\Delta$ -stable and  $(\partial_{d(a)}(x)R)^2 = 0$ . As a consequence,

$$I = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{x \in R \\ m_k \geq 0 \\ \delta_1, \dots, \delta_k \in \Delta}} \partial_{\delta_1^{m_1} \dots \delta_k^{m_k}}(a)(x)R$$

is a sum of nilpotent ideals and so  $I$  is a nil ideal. Since  $I$  is a  $\Delta$ -ideal, we deduce that  $I = 0$ . Hence  $a \in Z(R)$ .  $\square$

The next lemma is an extension of Lemma 1 from [11] in the differential case.

**Lemma 11.** *Let  $R$  be a 2-torsion-free  $\Delta$ -semiprime ring,  $T$  its Lie  $\Delta$ -ideal. If  $[T, T] \subseteq Z(R)$ , then  $T \subseteq Z(R)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x \in R$  and  $t \in T$ .

1) If  $[T, T] = 0$ , then  $[t, x] \in T$  and so  $[t, [t, x]] = 0$ . By Lemma 10,  $T \subseteq Z(R)$ .

2) Now assume that  $0 \neq [a, b] \in [T, T]$  for some  $a, b \in T$ . Then

$$\partial_a(b) \in Z(R) \text{ and } \partial_a^2(R) \subseteq Z(R).$$

Moreover, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} Z(R) \ni \partial_a^2(bx) &= \partial_a(\partial_a(b)x + b\partial_a(x)) \\ &= \partial_a^2(b)x + 2\partial_a(b)\partial_a(x) + b\partial_a^2(x) \\ &= 2\partial_a(b)\partial_a(x) + b\partial_a^2(x) \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$[2\partial_a(b)\partial_a(x) + b\partial_a^2(x), b] = 0.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= 2\partial_b(\partial_a(b))\partial_a(x) + 2\partial_a(b)\partial_b(\partial_a(x)) + \partial_b(b)\partial_a^2(x) + b\partial_b(\partial_a^2(x)) \\ &= 2\partial_a(b)\partial_b(\partial_a(x)) \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

and

$$\partial_a(ba) = \partial_a(b)a + b\partial_a(a) = \partial_a(b)a.$$

Replacing  $ba$  for  $x$  in (3.3) we have

$$0 = 2\partial_a(b)\partial_b(\partial_a(b)a) = 2\partial_a(b)(\partial_b(\partial_a(b)) + \partial_a(b)\partial_b(a)) = -2\partial_a(b)^3$$

and thus  $\partial_a(b)^3 = 0$ . Then  $R\partial_a(b)$  is a nilpotent ideal in  $R$  and, as a consequence,

$$\sum_{a,b \in T} R\partial_a(b)$$

is a nonzero nil  $\Delta$ -ideal, a contradiction.  $\square$

**Lemma 12.** *If  $U$  is a Lie  $\Delta$ -ideal of a ring  $R$  and  $I(U) = \{u \in R \mid uR \subseteq U\}$ , then  $I(U)$  is the largest  $\Delta$ -ideal of  $R$  such that  $I(U) \subseteq U$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $u, v \in I(U)$ ,  $x, y \in R$  and  $\delta \in \Delta$ . Clearly that  $I(U)$  is an additive subgroup of  $R$ ,  $I(U) \subseteq U$  and  $(ux)y = u(xy) \in (ux)R = u(xR) \subseteq uR \subseteq U$  that is  $ux \in I(U)$ . From

$$u(xy) - (yu)x = (ux)y - y(ux) = [ux, y] \in U$$

(and so  $(yu)x \in U$ ) it holds that  $yu \in I(U)$ . Hence  $U$  is a two-sided ideal of  $R$ . Moreover,

$$\delta(u)x + u\delta(x) = \delta(ux) \in \delta(U) \subseteq U$$

and  $u\delta(x) \in uR \subseteq U$ . Therefore  $\delta(u)x \in U$ . This means that  $I(U)$  is a  $\Delta$ -ideal of  $R$ . If  $A$  is a  $\Delta$ -ideal of  $R$  that is contained in  $U$ , then  $AR \subseteq A \subseteq U$  and hence  $A \subseteq I(U)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 13.** *Let  $U$  be a Lie  $\Delta$ -ideal of  $R$ . If  $U$  is an associative subring of  $R$ , then  $[U, U] = 0$  or  $U$  contains a nonzero  $\Delta$ -ideal of  $R$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $x \in R$  and  $[U, U] \neq 0$ . Then  $[u, v] \neq 0$  for some  $u, v \in U$  and

$$[u, vx] = u(vx) - (vx)u = (uv - vu)x + v(ux - xu).$$

Since  $[u, x], [u, vx] \in U$  and  $v[u, x] \in U$ , we deduce that  $[u, v]x \in U$ . This means that  $[u, v] \in I(U)$ . In view of Lemma 12,  $I(U)$  is a nonzero  $\Delta$ -ideal of  $R$  that is contained in  $U$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.** *If  $U$  is a Lie  $\Delta$ -ideal of  $R$ , then  $[U, U] = 0$  or there exists a nonzero  $\Delta$ -ideal  $I_U$  of  $R$  such that  $[I_U, R] \subseteq U$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 3 of [7],

$$T(U) = \{t \in R \mid [t, R] \subseteq U\}$$

is both a Lie ideal and an associative subring of  $R$  and  $U \subseteq T(U)$ . Moreover, for  $\delta \in \Delta$ , we have

$$[\delta(t), R] + [t, \delta(R)] = \delta([t, R]) \subseteq \delta(U) \subseteq U$$

and so  $[\delta(t), R] \subseteq U$ . Hence  $T(U)$  is  $\Delta$ -stable. If  $[U, U] \neq 0$ , then, by Lemmas 12 and 13,

$$I_U = I(T(U)) \subseteq T(U)$$

is a nonzero  $\Delta$ -ideal of  $R$  such that  $[I_U, R] \subseteq U$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 14.** *Let  $U$  be a Lie  $\Delta$ -ideal of a ring  $R$ . If  $[U, U] = 0$ , then the centralizer  $C_R(U)$  is a Lie  $\Delta$ -ideal and an associative subring of  $R$ .*

*Proof.* Is immediately.  $\square$

We extend Theorem 1.3 of [9] in the following

**Proposition 3.** *Let  $R$  be a  $\Delta$ -simple ring of characteristic 2. If  $U$  is a Lie  $\Delta$ -ideal of  $R$ , then one of the following holds:*

- (1)  $[R, R] \subseteq U$ ,
- (2)  $U \subseteq Z(R)$ ,
- (3)  $R$  contains a subfield  $P$  such that  $U \subseteq P$  and  $[P, R] \subseteq P$ .

*Proof.* If  $[U, U] \neq 0$ , then  $[R, R] \subseteq U$  by Proposition 2. Therefore we assume that  $[U, U] = 0$ . By Lemma 14,  $C_R(U)$  is a Lie  $\Delta$ -ideal and an associative subring of  $R$  such that  $U \subseteq C_R(U)$ .

a) If  $C_R(U)$  is non-commutative, then  $C_R(U) = R$  by Lemma 13. Hence  $U \subseteq Z(R)$ .

b) Now assume that the centralizer  $C_R(U)$  is commutative. If  $c \in C_R(U)$  and  $x \in R$ , then

$$c^2 \in C_R(U) \text{ and } [c^2, x] = [[c, x], x] = 2c[c, x] = 0.$$

This gives that  $c^2 \in Z(R)$ . By Theorem 2 of [22],  $Z(R)$  is a field. As a consequence,  $c^2$  (and so  $c$ ) is invertible in  $C_R(U)$ . Hence  $C_R(U)$  is a field.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.** *Let  $R$  be a  $\Delta$ -simple ring. If  $U$  is a Lie  $\Delta$ -ideal of  $R$ , then one of the following holds:*

- (1)  $[R, R] \subseteq U$ ,
- (2)  $U \subseteq Z(R)$ ,
- (3)  $\text{char } R = 2$  and  $R$  contains a subfield  $P$  such that  $U \subseteq P$  and  $[P, R] \subseteq P$ .

#### 4. Jordan properties

**Lemma 15.** *Let  $R$  be a  $\Delta$ -simple ring of characteristic  $\neq 2$ ,  $U$  its proper Jordan  $\Delta$ -ideal and  $a \in U$ . If  $[a, R] \subseteq U$ , then  $a = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Let us  $x, y \in R$ . Since  $[a, x] \in U$  and  $(a, x) \in U$ , we obtain that  $2ax \in U$  and, as a consequence,  $ax \in U$  and  $(ax, y) \in U$ . Moreover, from  $axy \in U$  it follows that  $yax \in U$ . This means that  $RaR \subseteq U$ . Since  $d(a) \in U$  for any  $d \in \Delta$ , in view of [21, Lemma 1.1] we obtain that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{\delta_1, \dots, \delta_k \in \Delta \\ (m_1, \dots, m_k) \in \mathbb{N}^k}} R \delta_1^{m_1} \dots \delta_k^{m_k} (a) R$$

is a proper  $\Delta$ -ideal of  $R$  that is contained in  $U$ . Hence  $a = 0$ .  $\square$

**Remark 1.** Let  $R$  be a 2-torsion-free ring,  $U$  its Jordan  $\Delta$ -ideal. If  $\Delta$  contains all inner derivations of  $R$ , then  $U$  is an ideal of  $R$ .

In fact, we have

$$2xa = [a, x] + (a, x) \in U$$

for any  $a, b, x \in U$  and so  $xa \in U$ . By the same argument, we can conclude that  $ax \in U$ .

**Proof of Theorem 1.**

(1) ( $\Leftarrow$ ) If  $A$  is a nonzero proper  $\Delta$ -ideal of a ring  $R$ , then  $A^J$  is a nonzero proper  $\Delta$ -ideal of  $R^J$ , a contradiction.

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Let  $U$  be a proper Jordan  $\Delta$ -ideal of  $R$ ,  $a, b \in U$  and  $x \in R$ . By Lemma 1 of [7],  $[(a, b), x] \in U$ , and, by Lemma 15, we see that

$$(a, b) = 0. \tag{4.4}$$

In particular,  $2a^2 = 0$  and, as a consequence,  $a^2 = 0$  and  $2axa = (a, (a, x)) = 0$ . It follows that  $axa = 0$ . Since

$$0 = (a + b)x(a + b) = axb + bxa$$

and

$$0 = (b, (a, x)) = b(ax + xa) + (ax + xa)b = bax + bxa + axb + xba,$$

we deduce that  $bax + xab = 0$ . But  $ab = -ba$  and so  $bax - xba = 0$ . This means that  $ba \in Z(R)$ . Then  $(RabR)^2 = 0$ . Since

$$I = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{a, b \in U, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_k \in \Delta \\ (m_1, \dots, m_k) \in \mathbb{N}^k}} Ra\delta_1^{m_1} \dots \delta_k^{m_k}(b)R$$

is a  $\Delta$ -ideal of  $R$  that is a sum of nilpotent ideals, we obtain that  $I = 0$ . Therefore

$$0 = (b, x)a = (bx + xb)a = bxa + xba = 2bxa.$$

We conclude that  $URU = 0$ . From  $(RUR)^2 = 0$  and  $\delta(RUR) \subseteq RUR$  for any  $\delta \in \Delta$  it holds that  $U = 0$ .

(2) ( $\Leftarrow$ ) If  $A, B$  are  $\Delta$ -ideals of  $R$  such that  $AB = 0$ , then  $(BA)^2 = 0$  and so  $BA$  is a Jordan ideal of  $R$  satisfying the condition

$$(BA, BA) = 0.$$

Thus the condition (4.4) is true for  $U = BA$ . As in the proof of the part (1), we obtain that  $BA = 0$ . Then  $A^J, B^J$  are  $\Delta$ -ideals of a Jordan ring  $R^J$  such that

$$(A^J, B^J) = 0.$$

Hence  $A = 0$  or  $B = 0$ .

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Let  $a_1, a_2 \in A$  and  $x, y \in R$ . Suppose that  $R^J$  is not  $\Delta$ -prime and therefore there exist nonzero Jordan  $\Delta$ -ideals  $A, B$  of  $R$  such that

$$(A, B) = 0.$$

By the same reasons as above, we conclude that  $A \cap B = 0$ . Then, by Lemma 1 of [7], we have  $[(a_1, a_2), x] \in A$  and hence

$$[(a_1, a_2), x] \pm ((a_1, a_2), x) \in A.$$

Therefore  $x(a_1, a_2)y \in A$ . Thus  $R$  contains  $\Delta$ -ideals  $R(A, A)R \subseteq A$  and  $R(B, B)R \subseteq B$  such that

$$R(A, A)R(B, B)R \subseteq A \cap B = 0.$$

Hence  $(A, A) = 0$  or  $(B, B) = 0$  and this leads to a contradiction.

(3) ( $\Leftarrow$ ) If  $A$  is a nonzero  $\Delta$ -ideal of  $R$  such that  $A^2 = 0$ , then  $A^J$  is a nonzero  $\Delta$ -ideal of the Jordan ring  $R^J$  such that

$$(A^J, A^J) = 0,$$

a contradiction.

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Suppose that  $R$  has a nonzero Jordan  $\Delta$ -ideal  $U$  such that

$$(U, U) = 0.$$

Then the condition (4.4) is true for any  $a, b \in U$ . As in the proof of the part (1), we obtain that  $U = 0$ .  $\square$

If  $R$  is a ring, then on the set  $R$  we can to define a left Jordan multiplication “ $\langle -, - \rangle$ ” by the rule

$$\langle a, b \rangle = 2ab$$

for any  $a, b \in R$ . Then the equalities

$$\langle \langle a, a \rangle, b \rangle, a \rangle = \langle \langle a, a \rangle, \langle b, a \rangle \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \langle a, b \rangle, a \rangle = \langle a, \langle b, a \rangle \rangle$$

are true and hence

$$R^{lJ} = (R, +, \langle -, - \rangle)$$

is a non-commutative Jordan ring (which is called a *left Jordan ring associated with an associative ring*  $R$ ). It is clear that, for commutative ring  $R$ , we have

$$R^J = R^{lJ}.$$

If  $A$  is an additive subgroup of  $R$  that  $\langle a, r \rangle, \langle r, a \rangle \in A$  for any  $a \in A$  and  $r \in R$ , then  $A$  is called an *ideal* of  $R^{lJ}$ . If  $\delta \in \Delta$  and  $a, b \in R$ , then

$$\delta(\langle a, b \rangle) = \delta(2ab) = 2\delta(a)b + 2a\delta(b) = \langle \delta(a), b \rangle + \langle a, \delta(b) \rangle$$

and therefore  $\delta \in \text{Der}(R^{lJ})$ . By the other hand, if  $\delta \in \text{Der}(R^{lJ})$ , then

$$2\delta(ab) = \delta(\langle a, b \rangle) = \langle \delta(a), b \rangle + \langle a, \delta(b) \rangle = 2(\delta(a)b + a\delta(b)).$$

If  $R$  is a 2-torsion-free ring, then  $\delta \in \text{Der } R$ . Similarly, as in Theorem 1, we can prove the following

**Proposition 4.** *For a 2-torsion-free ring  $R$  the following conditions are true:*

- (1)  $R$  is a  $\Delta$ -simple ring if and only if  $R^{lJ}$  is a  $\Delta$ -simple Jordan ring,
- (2)  $R$  is a  $\Delta$ -prime ring if and only if  $R^{lJ}$  is a  $\Delta$ -prime Jordan ring,
- (3)  $R$  is a  $\Delta$ -semiprime ring if and only if  $R^{lJ}$  is a  $\Delta$ -semiprime Jordan ring.

## 5. Proofs

The next lemma in the prime case is contained in [18, Lemma 7].

**Lemma 16** ([2, Lemma 1.7]). *Let  $R$  be a ring. If  $[[R, R], [R, R]] = 0$ , then the commutator ideal  $C(R)$  is nil.*

**Corollary 4.** *If  $R$  is a non-commutative  $\Delta$ -semiprime ring, then  $[R, R]$  is non-commutative.*

### Proof of Theorem 2.

(1) It is clear that a ring  $R$  is non-commutative. If  $A$  is a nonzero proper  $\Delta$ -ideal of  $R$ , then  $A^L$  is a nonzero proper  $\Delta$ -ideal of  $R^L$ . Therefore  $A \subseteq Z(R)$  and, as a consequence,  $A \cdot C(R) = 0$ .



(2) Suppose that a  $\Delta$ -simple ring  $R$  is non-commutative and  $U$  is its nonzero proper Lie  $\Delta$ -ideal. By Proposition 2,  $[U, U] = 0$ . Then, by Lemma 11,  $U \subseteq Z(R)$ . Hence the quotient ring  $R^L/Z(R)$  is  $\widehat{\Delta}$ -simple.

(3) Let  $A$  be a nonzero  $\Delta$ -ideal of  $R$  such that  $A^2 = 0$ . Then  $A^L$  is a nonzero  $\Delta$ -ideal of a Lie ring  $R^L$  and, moreover,

$$[A^L, A^L] = 0.$$

By Lemma 11,  $A \subseteq Z(R)$  and hence  $A \cdot C(R) = 0$ .

(4) Suppose that  $R$  is non-commutative. Let  $A$  be a nonzero Lie  $\Delta$ -ideal of  $R$  such that  $[A, A] = 0$ . Then, by Lemma 11,  $A \subseteq Z(R)$  and, as a consequence, the Lie ring  $R^L/Z(R)$  is  $\widehat{\Delta}$ -semiprime.

(5) Let  $A, B$  be nonzero  $\Delta$ -ideals of  $R$  such that  $AB = 0$ . Obviously,  $[A, B] \subseteq Z(R)$ . Then  $A \subseteq Z(R)$  or  $B \subseteq Z(R)$ .

(6) Assume that  $R$  is non-commutative and  $A, B$  are nonzero Lie  $\Delta$ -ideals of  $R$  such that

$$[A, B] = 0.$$

Then  $A \cap B \subseteq Z(R)$ . Since  $A \cap B \subseteq \text{ann} C(R)$  in a  $\Delta$ -prime ring  $R$ , we have that the intersection  $A \cap B = 0$  is zero. If  $T(A) = R$  (see proof of Proposition 2), then  $[R, R] \subseteq A$  and  $B \subseteq C_R([R, R])$ . By Lemma 9,  $B \subseteq Z(R)$ . So we assume that  $T(A) \neq R$ . If  $[T(A), T(A)] = 0$ , then  $[A, A] = 0$  and, by Lemma 11,  $A \subseteq Z(R)$ . Suppose that  $[T(A), T(A)] \neq 0$ . By Lemma 13,  $T(A)$  contains a nonzero  $\Delta$ -ideal  $I$  of  $R$ . Since

$$[I, B] \subseteq A \cap B = 0,$$

we conclude that  $B \subseteq Z(R)$  by Lemma 7. □

The map

$$\partial_a : R \ni x \mapsto [a, x] \in R$$

is called an *inner derivation* of a ring  $R$  induced by  $a \in R$ . The set  $\text{IDer } R$  of all inner derivations of  $R$  is a Lie ring. Every prime Lie ring is primary Lie.

**Lemma 17.** *There is the Lie ring isomorphism*

$$\text{IDer } R \ni \partial_a \mapsto a + Z(R) \in R^L/Z(R).$$

*Proof.* Evident. □

**Corollary 5.** *Let  $R$  be a ring. Then the following statements hold:*

- (1)  $\text{IDer } R$  is a simple Lie ring if and only if  $R^L/Z(R)$  is a simple Lie ring,
- (2)  $\text{IDer } R$  is a prime Lie ring if and only if  $R^L/Z(R)$  is a prime Lie ring,
- (3)  $\text{IDer } R$  is a semiprime Lie ring if and only if  $R^L/Z(R)$  is a semiprime Lie ring,
- (4)  $\text{IDer } R$  is a primary Lie ring if and only if  $R^L/Z(R)$  is a primary Lie ring.

### References

- [1] K. I. Beidar, A. V. Mikhal'ev, *Orthogonal completeness and minimal prime ideals* (in Russian), Trudy Sem. Petrovski, **10**, 1984, pp.227-234.
- [2] H. E. Bell, A. A. Klein, *Combinatorial commutativity and finiteness conditions for rings*, Comm. Algebra, **29**, 2001, pp.2935-2943.
- [3] J. Bergen, I. N. Herstein, E. W. Kerr, *Lie ideals and derivations of prime rings*, J. Algebra, **71**, 1981, pp.259-267.
- [4] J. Bergen, S. Montgomery, D. S. Passmann, *Radicals of crossed products of enveloping algebras*, Israel J. Math., **59**, 1987, pp.167-184.
- [5] M. Brešar, M. A. Chebotar and W.S. Martindale, 3<sup>rd</sup>, *Functional identities*, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel Boston Berlin, 2000.
- [6] K. R. Goodearl, R. B. Warfield, Jr., *Primitivity in differential operator rings*, Math. Z., **180**, 1982, pp.503-523.
- [7] I. N. Herstein, *On the Lie and Jordan rings of a simple associative ring*, Amer. J. Math., **77**, 1955, pp.279-285.
- [8] I. N. Herstein, *The Lie ring of a simple associative ring*, Duke Math. J., **22**, 1955, pp.471-476.
- [9] I. N. Herstein, *Topics in Ring Theory*, The University of Chicago Press, Chicago London, 1965.
- [10] I. N. Herstein, *Noncommutative rings*, The Mathematical Association of America, J. Wiley and Sons, 1968.
- [11] I. N. Herstein, *On the Lie structure of an associative ring*, J. Algebra, **14**, 1970, pp.561-571.
- [12] I. N. Herstein, *Rings with involution*, The University of Chicago Press, Chicago London 1976.
- [13] N. Jacobson, *Lie algebras*, Interscience, New York, 1962.
- [14] N. Jacobson, *Structure and representations of Jordan algebras*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., V. 39, Providence, R.I., 1968.
- [15] C. R. Jordan, D. A. Jordan, *The Lie structure of a commutative ring with derivation*, J. London Math. Soc.(2), **18**, 1978, pp.39-49.
- [16] D. A. Jordan, *Noetherian Ore extensions and Jacobson rings*, J. London Math. Soc. (2), **10**, 1975, pp.281-291.

- [17] J. Lambek, *Lectures on rings and modules*, Blaisdell Publ. Co. A division of Ginn and Co. Waltham Mass. Toronto London, 1966.
- [18] W. S. Martindale, 3<sup>rd</sup>, *Lie isomorphisms of prime rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **142**, 1969, pp.437-455.
- [19] J. C. McConnell, J. C. Robson, *Noncommutative Noetherian rings*. With the cooperation of L. W. Small. Revised edition. Grad. Stud. in Math., 30. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2001.
- [20] K. McCrimmon, *On Herstein's theorem relating Jordan and associative algebras*, J. Algebra, **13**, 1969, pp.382-392.
- [21] A. Nowicki, *The Lie structure of a commutative ring with a derivation*, Arch. Math., **45**, 1985, pp.328-335.
- [22] E. C. Posner, *Differentiably simple rings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **11**, 1960, pp.337-343.
- [23] I. I. Zuev, *Lie ideals of associative rings* (in Russian), Uspehi Mat. Nauk, **18**, 1963, pp.155–158.

## CONTACT INFORMATION

- O. D. Artemovych**    Institute of Mathematics  
Cracow University of Technology  
ul. Warszawska 24  
Cracow 31-155 POLAND  
*E-Mail(s)*: [artemo@usk.pk.edu.pl](mailto:artemo@usk.pk.edu.pl)
- M. P. Lukashenko**    Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics  
PreCarpathian National University  
of Vasyl Stefanyk  
Shevchenko St 57  
Ivano-Frankivsk 76025 UKRAINE  
*E-Mail(s)*: [bilochka.90@mail.ru](mailto:bilochka.90@mail.ru)

Received by the editors: 22.01.2015  
and in final form 22.03.2015.