

On recurrence in G -spaces

Igor Protasov and Ksenia Protasova

To the memory of Vitaly Sushchansky

ABSTRACT. We introduce and analyze the following general concept of recurrence. Let G be a group and let X be a G -space with the action $G \times X \rightarrow X$, $(g, x) \mapsto gx$. For a family \mathfrak{F} of subset of X and $A \in \mathfrak{F}$, we denote $\Delta_{\mathfrak{F}}(A) = \{g \in G : gB \subseteq A \text{ for some } B \in \mathfrak{F}, B \subseteq A\}$, and say that a subset R of G is \mathfrak{F} -recurrent if $R \cap \Delta_{\mathfrak{F}}(A) \neq \emptyset$ for each $A \in \mathfrak{F}$.

Let G be a group with the identity e and let X be a G -space, a set with the action $G \times X \rightarrow X$, $(g, x) \mapsto gx$. If $X = G$ and gx is the product of g and x then X is called a left regular G -space.

Given a G -space X , a family \mathfrak{F} of subset of X and $A \in \mathfrak{F}$, we denote

$$\Delta_{\mathfrak{F}}(A) = \{g \in G : gB \subseteq A \text{ for some } B \in \mathfrak{F}, B \subseteq A\}.$$

Clearly, $e \in \Delta_{\mathfrak{F}}(A)$ and if \mathfrak{F} is upward directed ($A \in \mathfrak{F}$, $A \subseteq C$ imply $C \in \mathfrak{F}$) and if \mathfrak{F} is G -invariant ($A \in \mathfrak{F}$, $g \in G$ imply $gA \in \mathfrak{F}$) then

$$\Delta_{\mathfrak{F}}(A) = \{g \in G : gA \cap A \in \mathfrak{F}\}, \quad \Delta_{\mathfrak{F}}(A) = (\Delta_{\mathfrak{F}}(A))^{-1}.$$

If X is a left regular G -space and $\emptyset \notin \mathfrak{F}$ then $\Delta_{\mathfrak{F}}(A) \subseteq AA^{-1}$.

For a G -space X and a family \mathfrak{F} of subsets of X , we say that a subset R of G is \mathfrak{F} -recurrent if $\Delta_{\mathfrak{F}}(A) \cap R \neq \emptyset$ for every $A \in \mathfrak{F}$. We denote by $\mathfrak{R}_{\mathfrak{F}}$ the filter on G with the base $\cap\{\Delta_{\mathfrak{F}}(A) : A \in \mathfrak{F}'\}$, where \mathfrak{F}' is a finite subfamily of \mathfrak{F} , and note that, for an ultrafilter p on G , $\mathfrak{R}_{\mathfrak{F}} \in p$ if and only if each member of p is \mathfrak{F} -recurrent.

2010 MSC: 37A05, 22A15, 03E05.

Key words and phrases: G -space, recurrent subset, ultrafilters, Stone-Ćech compactification.

The notion of an \mathfrak{F} -recurrent subset is well-known in the case in which G is an amenable group, X is a left regular G -space and $\mathfrak{F} = \{A \subseteq X : \mu(A) > 0 \text{ for some left invariant Banach measure } \mu \text{ on } X\}$. See [1] and [2] for historical background.

Now we endow G with the discrete topology and identify the Stone-Ćech compactification βG of G with the set of all ultrafilters on G . Then the family $\{\bar{A} : A \subseteq G\}$, where $\bar{A} = \{p \in \beta G : A \in p\}$, forms a base for the topology of βG . Given a filter φ on G , we denote $\bar{\varphi} = \cap\{\bar{A} : A \in \varphi\}$.

We use the standard extension [3] of the multiplication on G to the semigroup multiplication on βG . We take two ultrafilters $p, q \in \beta G$, choose $P \in p$ and, for each $x \in P$, pick $Q_x \in q$. Then $\cup_{x \in P} xQ_x \in pq$ and the family of these subsets forms a base of the ultrafilter pq .

We recall [4] that a filter φ on a group G is *left topological* if φ is a base at the identity e for some (uniquely at defined) left translation invariant (each left shift $x \mapsto gx$ is continuous) topology on G . If φ is left topological then $\bar{\varphi}$ is a subsemigroup of βG [4]. If $G = X$ and a filter φ is left topological then $\varphi = \mathfrak{R}_\varphi$.

Proposition 1. *For every G -space X and any family \mathfrak{F} of subsets of X , the filter $\mathfrak{R}_\mathfrak{F}$ is left topological.*

Proof. By [4], a filter φ on a group G is left topological if and only if, for every $\Phi \in \varphi$, there is $H \in \varphi$, $H \subseteq \Phi$ such that, for every $x \in H$, $xH_x \subseteq \Phi$ for some $H_x \in \varphi$.

We take an arbitrary $A \in \mathfrak{F}$, put $\Phi = \Delta_\mathfrak{F}(A)$ and, for each $g \in \Delta_\mathfrak{F}(A)$, choose $B_g \in \mathfrak{F}$ such that $gB_g \in A$. Then $g\Delta_\mathfrak{F}(B_g) \subseteq \Delta_\mathfrak{F}(A)$ so put $H = \Phi$.

To conclude the proof, let $A_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathfrak{F}$. We denote

$$\Phi_1 = \Delta_\mathfrak{F}(A_1), \quad \dots, \quad \Phi_n = \Delta_\mathfrak{F}(A_n), \quad \Phi = \Phi_1 \cap \dots \cap \Phi_n.$$

We use the above paragraph, to choose H_1, \dots, H_n corresponding to Φ_1, \dots, Φ_n and put $H = H_1 \cap \dots \cap H_n$. □

Let X be a G -space and let \mathfrak{F} be a family of subsets of X . We say that a family \mathfrak{F}' of subsets of X is \mathfrak{F} -disjoint if $A \cap B \notin \mathfrak{F}$ for any distinct $A, B \in \mathfrak{F}'$.

A family \mathfrak{F}' of subsets of X is called \mathfrak{F} -packing large if, for each $A \in \mathfrak{F}'$, any \mathfrak{F} -disjoint family of subsets of X of the form gA , $g \in G$ is finite.

We say that a subset S of a group G is a Δ_ω -set if $e \in A$ and every infinite subset Y of G contains two distinct elements x, y such that $x^{-1}y \in S$ and $y^{-1}x \in S$.

Proposition 2. *Let X be a G -space and let \mathfrak{F} be a G -invariant upward directed family of subsets of X . Then \mathfrak{F} is \mathfrak{F} -packing large if and only if, for each $A \in \mathfrak{F}$, the subset $\Delta_{\mathfrak{F}}(A)$ of G is a Δ_ω -set.*

Proof. We assume that \mathfrak{F} is \mathfrak{F} -packing large and take an arbitrary infinite subset Y of G . Then we choose distinct $g, h \in Y$ such that $gA \cap hA \in \mathfrak{F}$, so $g^{-1}h \in \Delta_{\mathfrak{F}}(A)$, $hg \in \Delta_{\mathfrak{F}}(A)$ and $\Delta_{\mathfrak{F}}(A)$ is a Δ_ω -set.

Now we suppose that $\Delta_{\mathfrak{F}}(A)$ is a Δ_ω -set and take an arbitrary infinite subset Y of G . Then there are distinct $g, h \in Y$ such that $g^{-1}h \in \Delta_{\mathfrak{F}}(A)$ so $g^{-1}hA \cap A \in \mathfrak{F}$ and $gA \cap hA \in \mathfrak{F}$. It follows that the family $\{gA : g \in Y\}$ is not \mathfrak{F} -disjoint. \square

Proposition 3. *For every infinite group G , the following statements hold*

- (i) *a subset $A \subseteq G$ is a Δ_ω -set if and only if $e \in A$ and every infinite subset Y of G contains an infinite subset Z such that $x^{-1}y \in A$, $y^{-1}x \in A$ for any distinct $x, y \in Z$;*
- (ii) *the family φ of all Δ_ω -sets of G is a filter;*
- (iii) *if $A \in \varphi$ then $G = FA$ for some finite subset F of G .*

Proof. (i) We assume that A is a Δ_ω -set and define a coloring χ of $[Y]^2$, $\chi : [Y]^2 \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ by the rule: $\chi(\{x, y\}) = 1$ if and only if $x^{-1}y \in A$, $y^{-1}x \in A$. By the Ramsey theorem, there is an infinite subset Z of Y such that χ is monochrome on $[Z]^2$. Since A is a Δ_ω -set $\chi(\{x, y\}) = 1$ for all $\{x, y\} \in [Z]^2$.

(ii) follows from (i).

(iii) We assume the contrary and choose an injective sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \omega}$ in G such that $x_{n+1} \notin x_n A$ for each $n \in \omega$, and denote $Y = \{x_n : n \in \omega\}$. Then $x_m^{-1}x_n \in A$ for every $m, n, m < n$, so A is not a Δ_ω -set. \square

Proposition 4. *Let G be a infinite group and let φ denotes the filter of all Δ_ω -sets of G . Then $\bar{\varphi}$ is the smallest closed subset of βG containing all ultrafilters on G of the form $q^{-1}q$, $q \in \beta G$, $q^{-1} = \{A^{-1} : A \in q\}$.*

Proof. We denote by Q the smallest closed subset of βG containing all $q^{-1}q$, $q \in \beta G$. It follows directly from the definition of the multiplication in βG that $p \in Q$ if and only if either p is principal and $p = e$ or, for each $P \in p$, there is an injective sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \omega}$ in G such that $x_m^{-1}x_n \in P$ for all $m < n$.

Applying Proposition 3(i), we conclude that $q^{-1}q \in \bar{\varphi}$ for each $q \in \beta G$ so $Q \subseteq \bar{\varphi}$. On the other hand, if $p \notin \bar{\varphi}$ then there is $P \in p$ such that $G \setminus P$ is a Δ_ω -set. By above paragraph, $p \notin Q$ so $\bar{\varphi} \subseteq Q$. \square

Now let G be an amenable group, X be a left regular G -space and $\mathfrak{F} = \{A \in X : \mu(A) > 0 \text{ for some left invariant Banach measure } \mu \text{ on } G\}$. For combinatorial characterization of \mathfrak{F} see [6]. Clearly, \mathfrak{F} is upward directed G -invariant and \mathfrak{F} -packing large. By Proposition 2, $\bar{\varphi} \subseteq \overline{\mathfrak{R}_{\mathfrak{F}}}$. By Proposition 4, $\overline{\mathfrak{R}_{\mathfrak{F}}}$ contains all ultrafilters of the form $q^{-1}q$, $q \in \beta G$, so we get Theorem 3.14 from [1].

We suppose that a G -space X is endowed with a G -invariant probability measure μ defined on some ring of subsets of X . Then the family $\mathfrak{F}\{A \subseteq X : \mu(B) > 0 \text{ for some } B \subseteq A\}$ is \mathfrak{F} -packing large.

In particular, we can take a compact group X , endow X with the Haar measure, choose an arbitrary subgroup G of X and endow G with the discrete topology.

Another example: let a discrete group G acts on a topological space X so that, for each $g \in G$, the mapping $X \rightarrow X, (g, x) \mapsto gx$ is continuous. We take a point $x \in X$, denote by \mathfrak{F} the filter of all neighborhoods of x , and recall that x is *recurrent* if, for every $U \in \mathfrak{F}$, there exists $g \in G \setminus \{e\}$ such that $gx \in U$. Clearly, x is a recurrent point if and only if $G \setminus \{e\}$ if a set of \mathfrak{F} -recurrence, so by Proposition 1, x defines some non-discrete left translation invariant topology on G .

Proposition 5. *Let G be a infinite group, A be a Δ_ω -set of G and let τ be a left translation invariant topology on G with continuous inversion $x \mapsto x^{-1}$ at the identity e . Then the closure $cl_\tau A$ is a neighborhood of e in τ .*

Proof. On the contrary, we suppose that $cl_\tau A$ is not a neighborhood of e , put $U = G \setminus cl_\tau A$. Then U is open and $e \in cl_\tau U$.

We take an arbitrary $x_0 \in U$ and choose an open neighborhood U_0 of the identity such that $x_0 U_0^{-1} \subseteq U$. Then we take $x_1 \in U_0 \cap U$ and choose an open neighborhood U_1 of e such that $U_1 \subseteq U_0$ and $x_1 U_1^{-1} \subseteq U$. We take $x_2 \in U_1 \cap U$ and choose an open neighborhood U_0 of e such that $U_2 \subseteq U_1$ and $x_2 U_2^{-1} \subseteq U$ and so on. After ω steps, we get a sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \omega}$ in G such that $x_n x_m^{-1} \in U$ for all $n < m$. We denote $Y = \{x_n^{-1} : n \in \omega\}$. Then $(x_n^{-1})^{-1} x_m^{-1} \in A$ for all $n < m$, so A is not a Δ_ω -set. \square

A subset A of an infinite group G is called a $\Delta_{<\omega}$ -set if $e \in A$ and there exists a natural number n such that every subset Y of G , $|Y| = n$

contains two distinct $x, y \in Y$ such that $x^{-1}y \in A$, $y^{-1}x \in A$. These subsets were introduced in [5] under name thick subsets, but thick subsets are well-known in combinatorics with another meaning [3]: A is thick if, for every finite subset F of, there is $g \in A$ such that $Fg \subseteq A$. The family ψ of all $\Delta_{<\omega}$ -sets of G is a filter [5], clearly, $\psi \subseteq \varphi$. Every infinite group G has a Δ_ω -set but not $\Delta_{<\omega}$ -set A : it suffices to choose inductively a sequence $(X_n)_{n \in \omega}$ of subsets of G , $|X_n| = n$ such that $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} X_n^{-1}X_n$ has no infinite subsets of the form $Y^{-1}Y$ and put

$$A = \{e\} \cup (G \setminus \bigcup_{n \in \omega} X_n^{-1}X_n),$$

so $\psi \subset \varphi$.

By analogy with Propositions 3 and 4, we can prove

Proposition 6. *Let G be an infinite group and let ψ be the filter of all $\Delta_{<\omega}$ -subsets of G . Then $p \in \bar{\psi}$ if and only if either p is principal and $p = e$ or, for every $A \in p$, there exists a sequence $(X_n)_{n \in \omega}$ of subsets of G , $|X_n| = n + 1$, $X_n = \{x_{n0}, \dots, x_{nn}\}$ such that $x_{ni}^{-1}x_{nj} \in A$ for all $i < j \leq n$.*

Let A be a subset of a group G such that $e \in A$, $A = A^{-1}$. We consider the Cayley graph Γ_A with the set of vertices G and the set of edges $\{\{x, y\} : x^{-1}y \in A, x \neq y\}$. We recall that a subset S of vertices of a graph is *independent* if any two distinct vertices from S are not incident. Clearly, A is a Δ_ω -set if and only if any independent set in Γ_A is finite, and A is Δ_ω -set if and only if there exists a natural number n such that any independent set S is of size $|S| < n$.

Problem 1. Characterize all infinite graphs with only finite independent set of vertices.

Problem 2. Given a natural number n , characterize all infinite graphs such that any independent set S of vertices is of size $|S| < n$.

In the context of this note, above problems are especially interesting in the case of Cayley graphs of groups.

References

- [1] V. Bergelson, N. Hindman, *Quotient sets and density recurrent sets*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **364** (2012), 4495-4531.
- [2] H. Furstenberg, *Poincare recurrence and number theory*, Bulletin Amer. Math. Soc. **5.3** (1981), 211-234.

- [3] N. Hindman, D. Strauss, *Algebra in the Stone-Čech compactification*, de Gruyter, Berlin, 1998.
- [4] I. Protasov, *Filters and topologies on groups*, *Mat. Stud.* **3** (1994),15-28.
- [5] E. Reznichenko, O. Sipacheva, *Discrete subsets in topological groups and countable extremally disconnected groups*, preprint (arxiv: 1608.03546v2) 2016.
- [6] P. Zakrzewski, *On the complexity of the ideal of absolute null sets*, *Ukr. Math. J.* **64** (2012),306-308.

CONTACT INFORMATION

I. Protasov,
K. Protasova

Kyiv University, Department of Cybernetics,
Kyiv National University, Volodimirska 64,
Kyiv 01033, Ukraine
E-Mail(s): i.v.protasov@gmail.com,
k.d.ushakova@gmail.com

Received by the editors: 04.02.2017.