

METHYLATION OF EXOGENOUS PROMOTERS REGULATES SOYBEAN ISOFLAVONE SYNTHASE (GMIFS) TRANSGENE IN T0 TRANSGENIC WHEAT (*TRITICUM AESTIVUM*)

MONA MOHAMED ELSEEHY¹,
AHMED MOHAMED EL-SHEHAWI^{1,2}

¹ Department of Genetics, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Alexandria, Elshatby, Alexandria, Egypt

² Department of Biotechnology, Faculty of Science, Taif University, Taif, Saudi Arabia

E-mail: elshehawi@hotmail.com

DNA methylation is one epigenetic strategy for gene regulation in living organisms. In this study, the expression of soybean isoflavone synthase (GmIFS) transgene in T0 transgenic wheat plants was investigated at the RNA and the final product genestin level. T0 plants showed variations in the GmIFS expression. Methylation status of the exogenous promoters (35S or Oleocin (OL)) proximal sequence was investigated in T0 plants using bisulphite sequencing to disclose their methylation in parallel with the GmIFS level of expression. Results concluded that the high GmIFS expressors of T0 plants exhibited high methylation of exogenous promoter proximal sequences as well as low expression of DNA methyltransferases (Mets). Variation in GmIFS was associated with the level of methylation in the 35S or OL promoters. High expression of GmIFS was negatively correlated with methylation level of 35S and OL promoter proximal regions. In 35S promoter, methylation level of the CpG sites -56 and -88 is strongly linked to GmIFS expression and is involved in the regulation of GmIFS gene. In OL promoter, the CpG site could be involved in the regulation of the GmIFS. Wheat Met3 expression varied among T0 transgenic plants. Its expression profile could explain the regulation of GmIFS transgene by methylation.

Key words: Transgenic, Wheat, Epigenetic, Methylation, Promoter, DNA methyltransferase.

МЕТИЛЮВАННЯ ЕКЗОГЕННИХ ПРОМОТЕРІВ РЕГУЛЮЄ ТРАНСГЕН СИНТАЗИ ІЗОФЛАВОНУ СОЇ (GMIFS) У ТРАНСГЕННІЙ ПШЕНИЦІ Т0 (*TRITICUM AESTIVUM*)

Метилування ДНК — це одна з епігенетичних стратегій регуляції генів у живих організмах. У цьо-

му дослідженні було проведено вивчення експресії трансгену синтази ізофлавоно сої (GmIFS) у T0 рослинах трансгенної пшениці на рівні РНК та рівні геністину у кінцевому продукті. T0 рослини продемонстрували відмінності експресії GmIFS. Статус метилування проксимальних послідовностей екзогенних промотерів (35S або олеозин (OL)) у T0 рослинах досліджували за використання бісульфітного секвенування з метою виявлення їхнього метилування одночасно з рівнем експресії GmIFS. Результати продемонстрували, що значні експресори GmIFS T0 рослин мали високий рівень метилування проксимальних послідовностей екзогенного промотера, а також низький рівень експресії метилтрансфераз ДНК (Mets). Відмінності в GmIFS були пов'язані з рівнем метилування в промотерах 35S або OL. Високий рівень експресії GmIFS мав негативну кореляцію з рівнем метилування проксимальних ділянок промотера 35S і OL. У промотері 35S рівень метилування сайтів CpG -56 і -88 суттєво пов'язаний з експресією GmIFS і залучений до регуляції гену GmIFS. У промотері OL сайт CpG може бути залучений до регуляції GmIFS. Експресія Met3 пшениці була різною для різних T0 трансгенних рослин. Можливо, профіль її експресії пояснює регуляцію трансгену GmIFS шляхом метилування.

Ключові слова: трансгенний, пшениця, епігенетичний, метилування, промотер, метилтрансфераза ДНК.

REFERENCES

1. Li, Y., Tollefsbol, T.O., DNA methylation detection: Bisulfite genomic sequencing Analysis. *Meth. Mol. Biol.*, 2011, vol. 791, pp. 11–21.
2. Känel, T., Huber, A.R., DNA methylation analysis. *Swiss. Med. Wkly.*, 2013, vol. 43, pp. w13799.
3. Akimoto, K., Katakami, H., Kim, H., Ogawa, E., Sano, C.M., Wada, Y., and Sano, H., Epigenetic inheritance in rice plants. *Ann. Bot.*, 2007, vol. 100, pp. 205–17.
4. Jones, L., Ratcliff, F., and Baulcombe, D.C., RNA-directed transcriptional gene silencing in plants can be inherited independently of the RNA trigger and requires Met1 for maintenance. *Cur. Biol.*, 2001, vol. 11, pp. 747–57.
5. Law, J.A., Jacobsen, S.E., Establishing, maintaining and modifying DNA methylation patterns in plants and animals. *Nat. Rev. Genet.*, 2010, vol. 11, pp. 204–20.
6. Han, S., Wagner, D., Role of chromatin in water stress responses in plants. *J. Exp. Bot.*, 2014, vol. 65, no. 10, pp. 2785–99.
7. Zilberman, D., An evolutionary case for functional gene body methylation in plants and animals. *Genome Biol.*, 2017, vol. 18, pp. 87.

8. Chan, S.W., Henderson, I.R., Jacobsen, S.E., Gardening the genome: DNA methylation in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Nat. Rev. Genet.*, 2005, vol. 6, pp. 351–60.
9. Ikeuchi, M., Iwase, A., and Sugimoto, K., Control of plant cell differentiation by histone modification and DNA methylation. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.*, 2015, vol. 28, pp. 60–7.
10. Fedoroff, N.V., Transposable elements, epigenetics, and genome evolution. *Sci.*, 2012, vol. 338, no. 6108, pp. 758–67.
11. Demeulemeester, M., Van Stallen, N., and De Proft, M., Degree of DNA methylation in chicory (*Cichorium intybus* L.): influence of plant age and vernalization. *Plant Sci.*, 1999, vol. 142, no. 1, pp. 101–8.
12. Yaish, M.W., Epigenetic modifications associated with Abiotic and biotic stresses in plants: an implication for understanding plant evolution. *Front. Plant. Sci.*, 2017, vol. 8, pp. 1983.
13. Yaish, M.W., Al-Lawati, A., Al-Harrasi, I., and Pantankar, H.V., Genome-wide DNA Methylation analysis in response to salinity in the model plant caliph medic (*Medicago truncatula*). *BMC Genomics*. 2018, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 78.
14. Yong-Villalobos, L., González-Morales, S.I., Wrobel, K., Gutiérrez-Alanis, D., Cervantes-Peréz, S.A., Hayano-Kanashiro, C., Oropeza-Aburto, A., Cruz-Ramírez, A., Martínez, O., and Herrera-Estrella, L., Methylome analysis reveals an important role for epigenetic changes in the regulation of the *Arabidopsis* response to phosphate starvation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 2015, vol. 112, no. 52, pp. E7293–302.
15. Takatsuka, H., Umeda, M., Epigenetic Control of Cell Division and Cell Differentiation in the Root Apex. *Front. Plant Sci.*, 2015, vol. 6, pp. 1178.
16. Suzuki, M.M., Bird, A., DNA methylation and scapes: provocative insights from epigenomics. *Nat. Rev. Genet.*, 2008, vol. 9, pp. 465–76.
17. Takuno, S., Gaut, B.S., Body-methylated genes in *Arabidopsis thaliana* are functionally important and evolve slowly. *Mol. Biol. Evol.*, 2012, vol. 29, pp. 219–27.
18. Bird, A., DNA methylation patterns and epigenetic memory. *Gen. Develop.*, 2002, vol. 16, pp. 6–21.
19. Saze, H., Tsugane, K., Kanno, T., and Nishimura, T., DNA methylation in plants: relationship to small RNAs and histone modifications, and functions in transposon inactivation. *Plant Cell Physiol.*, 2012, vol. 53, pp. 766–84.
20. Zhang, X., Yazaki, J., Sundaresan, A., Cokus, S., Chan, S.W., Chen, H., Henderson, I.R., Shinn, P., Ellegriani, M., Jacobsen, S.E., and Ecker, J.R., Genome-wide high-resolution mapping and functional analysis of DNA methylation in *Arabidopsis*. *Cell*, 2006, vol. 126, pp. 1189–201.
21. Hauser, M., Aufsatz, W., Jonak, C., and Luschnig, C., Transgenerational epigenetic inheritance in plants. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta.*, 2011, vol. 1809, no. 8, pp. 459–68.
22. Sahu, P.P., Pandey, G., Sharma, N., Puranik, S., Muthamilarasan, M., and Prasad, M., Epigenetic mechanisms of plant stress responses and adaptation. *Plant Cell Rep.*, 2013, vol. 32, pp. 1151–9.
23. Colaneri, A.C., Jones, A.M., Genome-wide quantitative identification of DNA differentially methylated sites in *Arabidopsis* seedlings growing at different water potential. *PLoS One*, 2013, vol. 8, e59878.
24. Lira-Medeiros, C.F., Parisod, C., Fernandes, R.A., Mata, C.S., Cardoso, M.A., and Ferreira, P.C., Epigenetic variation in mangrove plants occurring in contrasting natural environment. *PLoS One*, 2010, vol. 5, e10326.
25. Wang, W.S., Pan, Y.J., Zhao, X.Q., Dwivedi, D., Zhu, L.H., Ali, J., Fu, B.Y., and Li, Z.K., Drought-induced site-specific DNA methylation and its association with drought tolerance in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *J. Exp. Bot.*, 2011, vol. 62, pp. 1951–60.
26. Tricker, P.J., Gibbings, J.G., Rodriguez-Lopez, C.M., Hadley, P., and Wilkinson, M.J., Low relative humidity triggers RNA-directed de novo DNA methylation and suppression of genes controlling stomatal development. *J. Exp. Bot.*, 2012, vol. 63, pp. 3799–13.
27. Tricker, P.J., Lopez, C.M., Gibbings, G., Hadley, P., and Wilkinson, M.J., Transgenerational, dynamic methylation of stomata genes in response to low relative humidity. *Inter. J. Mol. Sci.*, 2013, vol. 14, pp. 6674–89.
28. Berdasco, M., Alca'zar, R., Garc'ia-Ortiz, M.V., Ballestar, E., Fern'andez, A.F., et al. Promoter DNA Hypermethylation and Gene Repression in Undifferentiated *Arabidopsis* Cells. *PLoS ONE*, 2008, vol. 3, no. 10, pp. e3306.
29. El-Shehawi, A.M., Fahmi, A.I., Elseehy, M.M., and Nagaty, H.H., Enhancement of nutritional quality of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) by metabolic engineering of isoflavone pathway. *Am. J. Biochem. Biotechnol.*, 2013, vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 407–17.
30. Kim, J.H., Park, F.J., Lee, T.K., and Lee, W.S., Genomic Sequences of the Soybean 24 kDa Oleosin Genes and Initial Analysis of Their Promoter Sequences. *Mol. Cells*, 1996, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 393–9.
31. Dai, Y., Nil, Z., Dai, J., Zhao, T., and Sun, Q., Isolation and expression analysis of genes encoding DNA methyltransferase in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, 2005, vol. 1729, pp. 118–25.
32. Saghai-Marooof, M.A., Soliman, K.M., Jorgensen, R.A., and Allard, R.W., Ribosomal DNA spacer-length polymorphisms in barley: mendelian inheritance, chromosomal location, and population dynamics.

- Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 1984, vol. 81, no. 24, pp. 8014–8.
33. Ahmed, M.M., El-Shazly, A.S., El-Shehawi, A.M., and Alkafafy, M.E., Antiobesity effects of Taif and Egyptian pomegranates: molecular study, *Biosci., Biotech., Biochem.*, 2015, vol. 79, no. 4, pp. 598–609.
 34. Carr, I.M., Valleley, E.M.A., Cordery, S.F., Markham, A.F., and Bonthron, D.T., Sequence analysis and editing for bisulphite genomic sequencing projects. *Nucl. Acids Res.*, 2007, vol. 35, pp. e79.
 35. Jorgensen, K., Rasmussen, A.V., Morant, M., Nielsen, A.H., and Bjarnholt, N., et al. Metabolon formation and metabolic channeling in the biosynthesis of plant natural products. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.*, 2005, vol. 8, pp. 280–91.
 36. Liu, R., Hu, Y., Li, J., and Lin, Z., Production of soybean isoflavone genistein in non-legume plants via genetically modified secondary metabolism pathway. *Metabol. Eng.*, 2007, vol. 9, pp. 1–7.
 37. Bucherna, N., Szabo, E., Heszky, L.S., and Nagy, I., DNA methylation and gene expression differences during alternative in vitro morphogenic processes in eggplant (*Solanum melongena L.*). *In vitro Cell. Develop. Biol.-Plant*, 2001, vol. 37, pp. 672–7.
 38. Tolley, B.J., Woodfield, H., Wanchana, S., Bruskiwich, R., and Hibberd, J.M., Light-regulated and cell-specific methylation of the maize PEPC promoter. *J. Exp. Bot.*, 2012, vol. 63, no. 3, pp. 1381–90.
 39. Goll, M.G., Kirpekar, F., Maggert, K.A., Yoder, J.A., Hsieh, C.L., Zhang, X., Golic, K.G., Jacobsen, S.E., and Bestor, T.H., Methylation of tRNA^{Asp} by the DNA methyltransferase homolog Dnmt2. *Sci.*, 2006, vol. 311, pp. 395–8.
 40. Jeltscha, A., Ehrenhofer-Murray, A., Jurkowski, T.P., Lykoc, F., Reuter, G., Ankri, S., Nellenf, W., Schaefer, M., and Helmh, M., Mechanism and biological role of Dnmt2 in Nucleic Acid Methylation. *RNA Boil.*, 2017, vol. 14, no. 9, pp. 1108–23.
 41. Moritoh, S., Eun, C., Ono, E., Asao, H., Okano, Y., Yamaguchi, K., Shimatani, Z., Koizumi, A., and Terada, R., Targeted disruption of an orthologue of DOMAINS REARRANGED METHYLASE 2, OsDRM2, impairs the growth of rice plants by abnormal DNA methylation. *Plant J.*, 2012, vol. 71, pp. 85–98.
 42. Cao, X., Jacobsen, S.E., Locus-specific control of asymmetric and CpNpG methylation by the DRM and CMT3 methyltransferase genes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 2002, vol. 99, pp. 16491–8.
 43. Zhang, X., Yazaki, J., Sundaresan, A., et al. Genome-wide high-resolution mapping and functional analysis of DNA methylation in Arabidopsis. *Cell*, 2006, vol. 126, pp. 1189–201.
 44. Kurihara, Y., Matsui, A., Kawashima, M., et al. Identification of the candidate genes regulated by RNA-directed DNA methylation. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.*, 2008, vol. 376, pp. 553–7.
 45. Rodríguez Lypez, C.M., Wilkinson, M.J., Epi-fingerprinting and epi-interventions for improved crop production and food quality. *Front. Plant Sci.*, 2015, vol. 6, pp. 397.
 46. Wei, X., Song, X., Weim, L., Tang, S., Sun, J., Hu, P., and Cao, X., An epiallele of rice AK1 affects photosynthetic capacity. *J. Integr. Plant Biol.*, 2017, vol. 59, no. 3, pp. 158–63.
 47. Song, Q., Zhang, T., Stelly, D.M., and Chen, Z.J., Epigenomic and functional analyses reveal roles of epialleles in the loss of photoperiod sensitivity during domestication of allotetraploid cottons. *Genome Biol.*, 2017, vol. 18, pp. 1, pp. 99.

Received January 09, 2019
 Received September 11, 2019
 Accepted May 18, 2020