

GROWTH OF ENTIRE FUNCTIONS OF BOUNDED L -INDEX IN DIRECTION

A problem on growth estimation for the maximum modulus of an entire function of bounded L -index in direction is solved. Some generalizations of the earlier one-dimensional results are obtained.

Let \mathcal{E}_n be a class of entire functions $F : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $n \geq 1$, and \mathbb{L}_n be a class of positive continuous functions $L : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ := (0, +\infty)$. For $L \in \mathbb{L}_n$, an entire function $F \in \mathcal{E}_n$ is called [1, 6] a *function of bounded L -index in a direction* $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$ if there exists $m_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $(\forall m \in \mathbb{Z}_+) (\forall z \in \mathbb{C}^n)$

$$\frac{1}{m!L^m(z)} \left| \frac{\partial^m F(z)}{\partial \mathbf{b}^m} \right| \leq \max \left\{ \frac{1}{k!L^k(z)} \left| \frac{\partial^k F(z)}{\partial \mathbf{b}^k} \right| : 0 \leq k \leq m_0 \right\}, \quad (1)$$

where

$$\frac{\partial F(z)}{\partial \mathbf{b}} = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial F(z)}{\partial z_j} b_j = \langle \text{grad } F, \bar{\mathbf{b}} \rangle,$$

$$\frac{\partial^k F(z)}{\partial \mathbf{b}^k} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{b}} \left(\frac{\partial^{k-1} F(z)}{\partial \mathbf{b}^{k-1}} \right), \quad k \geq 1,$$

$$\frac{\partial^0 F(z)}{\partial \mathbf{b}^0} = F(z).$$

The least such an integer m_0 is called *L -index in the direction \mathbf{b}* of the function F and is denoted by $N(F, L) = N_{\mathbf{b}}(F, L)$. Hence, for $n = 1$, $\mathbf{b} = 1$ and $L(z) \equiv \ell(|z|)$, $\ell \in \mathbb{L}_1$, we obtain [3] the definition of an *entire function $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ of bounded ℓ -index*. For $n = 1$, $\mathbf{b} = 1$ and $\ell(r) \equiv 1$, we have the definition of an *entire function of bounded index* [7]. As $n = 1$, it is known (in the general case [3] and in case $\ell(r) \equiv 1$ [10]) that if function $L \in \mathbb{L}_1$, $L(z) \equiv \ell(|z|)$, meets the condition

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\ell(r)} \min \left\{ \ell(t) : \frac{r}{1+\delta} \leq t \leq r \right\} = \lambda(\delta) \rightarrow 1, \quad \delta \rightarrow +0, \quad (2)$$

and $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is an entire function of bounded ℓ -index $N(f, \ell) = N_1(f, \ell)$, then

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln M_f(r)}{\ell_0(r)} \leq N(f, \ell) + 1, \quad (3)$$

where $M_f(r) = \max \{|f(z)| : |z| = r\}$, $\ell_0(r) = \int_0^r \ell(t) dt$. In 2006 M. M. Sheremeta raised the following question: *what is the growth estimate for the maximum modulus of entire functions $F \in \mathcal{E}_n$ ($n > 1$) of bounded index in direction?* It follows from (2), (3) that if the function $\ell(r) = L(z_0 + re^{i\theta} \mathbf{b})$ satisfies condition

(2) for fixed $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$, then, for entire function $F \in \mathcal{E}_n$ of bounded L -index in the direction \mathbf{b} , we have

$$\overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln M_{\mathbf{b}}(r, z^0, F)}{\int_0^r L(z_0 + te^{i\theta}\mathbf{b}) dt} \leq N_{\mathbf{b}}(F, L) + 1,$$

where $M_{\mathbf{b}}(r, z^0, F) := \max \{|F(z_0 + \tau\mathbf{b})| : |\tau| = r\}$.

Another definition of bounded index in \mathbb{C}^2 was considered by Nuray and Patterson [8, 9]. They used the partial derivatives instead of the directional ones and found the relationship between the concept of bounded index and the radius of univalence, respectively p -valence, of entire bivariate functions and their partial derivatives at arbitrary points in \mathbb{C}^2 .

We need some standard notations. Let $F \in \mathcal{E}_n$. For a given $z^0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$, we expand a function $f(w) := F(z^0 + w\mathbf{b})$ in a power series in $w \in \mathbb{C}$:

$$F(z^0 + w\mathbf{b}) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} b_m(z^0)w^m, \quad b_m(z^0) = \frac{1}{m!} \frac{\partial^m F(z^0)}{\partial \mathbf{b}^m}.$$

Denote

$$M_{\mathbf{b},j}(r, z^0, F) = \max \left\{ \left| \frac{\partial^j F(z^0 + w\mathbf{b})}{\partial \mathbf{b}^j} \right| : |w| = r \right\}, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}, \quad r > 0,$$

$$\mu_{\mathbf{b}}(r, z^0, F) = \max \{|b_m(z^0)| r^m : m \geq 0\},$$

$$\nu_{\mathbf{b}}(r, z^0, F) = \max \{m : |b_m(z^0)| r^m = \mu_{\mathbf{b}}(r, z^0, F)\}.$$

We put

$$\varphi(\delta, \theta, z^0) := \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \min \left\{ L(z^0 + te^{i\theta}\mathbf{b}) : \frac{r}{1+\delta} \leq t \leq r \right\} \frac{1}{L(z^0 + re^{i\theta}\mathbf{b})}.$$

It easy to see that $\exists \lim_{\delta \rightarrow +0} \varphi(\delta, \theta, z^0) := \varphi_0(\theta, z^0)$, $\varphi(\delta, \theta, z^0) \leq \varphi_0(\theta, z^0) \in [0, 1]$.

Theorem 1. Let $L \in \mathbb{L}_n$ be such that $rL(z^0 + re^{i\theta}\mathbf{b}) \rightarrow +\infty$, $r \rightarrow +\infty$, and

$$\varphi_0(\theta, z^0) \in (0, 1] \tag{4}$$

for some $z^0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$ and $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$. If an entire transcendental function $F \in \mathcal{E}_n$ is of bounded L -index $N_{\mathbf{b}}(F, L)$ in the direction \mathbf{b} , then

$$\tau(z^0, \theta) := \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\nu_{\mathbf{b}}(r, z^0, F)}{rL(z^0 + re^{i\theta}\mathbf{b})} \leq \frac{N_{\mathbf{b}}(F, L) + 1}{\varphi_0(\theta, z^0)}, \tag{5}$$

$$\overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln M_{\mathbf{b}}(r, z^0, F)}{\int_0^r L(z^0 + te^{i\theta}\mathbf{b}) dt} \leq \frac{N_{\mathbf{b}}(F, L) + 1}{\varphi_0^2(\theta, z^0)}. \tag{6}$$

P r o o f. Our proof is based on ideas of Sheremeta and Kuzyk [3, 11].

1°. **Proof of (5).** For simplicity, we denote $\tau = \tau(z^0, \theta)$. If $\tau = 0$, then (6) is obvious. If $f(w) := F(z^0 + w\mathbf{b})$ is polynomial, then $\nu_{\mathbf{b}}(r, z^0, F) \equiv \nu_0 = \deg f \geq 1$ ($r \geq r_0$) and $\tau = 0$ again. Therefore, if $\tau > 0$, then $f(w) := F(z^0 + w\mathbf{b})$ is entire

transcendental function. By definition of limit superior for every $\delta \in (0, \tau)$ (for any $\tau \in (0, +\infty)$ in the case $\tau(z^0, \theta) = +\infty$), there exists an increasing to $+\infty$ sequence (r_n) such that $(1 + \delta)r_n < r_{n+1}$ and $v(r_n, z^0, F) > (\tau - \delta)r_n L(z^0 + r_n e^{i\theta} \mathbf{b})$. For $r \in [r_n, (1 + \delta)r_n]$ and $n \geq n_0(\delta)$, in view of (4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} r_n L(z^0 + r_n e^{i\theta} \mathbf{b}) &\geq \frac{1}{1 + \delta} r \min \left\{ L(z^0 + t e^{i\theta} \mathbf{b}) : \frac{r}{1 + \delta} \leq t \leq r \right\} \geq \\ &\geq \frac{1}{1 + \delta} (\varphi(\delta, \theta, z^0) - \delta) r L(z^0 + r e^{i\theta} \mathbf{b}), \end{aligned}$$

therefore

$$v(r, z^0, F) \geq \frac{\tau - \delta}{1 + \delta} (\varphi(\delta, \theta, z^0) - \delta) r L(z^0 + r e^{i\theta} \mathbf{b}). \quad (7)$$

We put $U_\delta = \bigcup_{n \geq n_0(\delta)} [r_n, (1 + \delta)r_n]$. It is clear that the logarithmic measure of U_δ equals to infinity and for all $r \in U_\delta$ the inequality (7) is valid. But it is known [2, p. 26] that if $f(w) = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} a_p w^p$ is an entire transcendental function of one variable, then for every fixed $j \in \mathbb{N}$

$$M_j(r, f) := \max \{ |f^{(j)}(w)| : |w| = r \} \sim \left(\frac{v(r, f)}{r} \right)^j M(r, f), \quad (8)$$

as $r \rightarrow +\infty$ beyond some set of finite logarithmic measure depending on j , where

$$v(r, f) = \max \{ p : |a_p| r^p = \mu(r, f) \},$$

$$\mu(r, f) = \max \{ |a_p| r^p : p \geq 0 \},$$

$$M(r, f) := \max \{ |f(w)| : |w| = r \}.$$

By applying (8) to the function $f(w) = F(z^0 + w \mathbf{b})$ as a function of variable $w \in \mathbb{C}$, we can conclude that there exists an increasing to $+\infty$ sequence (r_k^*) such that

$$\frac{M_{\mathbf{b}, j}(r_k^*, z^0, F)}{M(r_k^*, z^0, f)} \sim \left(\frac{v(r_k^*, z^0, f)}{r_k^*} \right)^j, \quad k \rightarrow \infty,$$

and (7) holds with $r = r_k^*$.

Therefore, for all $j \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, N_{\mathbf{b}}(F, L)\}$ and for all $k \geq k_0(\delta)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{M_{\mathbf{b}, j+1}(r_k^*, z^0, F)}{M_{\mathbf{b}, j}(r_k^*, z^0, F)} &> (1 - \delta) \frac{v_{\mathbf{b}}(r_k^*, z^0, F)}{r_k^*} > \\ &> \frac{\tau - \delta}{1 + \delta} (1 - \delta) (\varphi(\delta, \theta, z^0) - \delta) L(z^0 + r_k^* e^{i\theta} \mathbf{b}). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

We put

$$\tau_0(\delta, \theta, z^0) := \frac{1 - \delta}{1 + \delta} (\tau - \delta) (\varphi(\delta, \theta, z^0) - \delta)$$

and assume that $\tau_0(\delta, \theta, z^0) > N_{\mathbf{b}}(F, L) + 1$. Then (9) implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{M_{\mathbf{b},j+1}(r_k^*, z^0, F)}{(j+1)!L^{j+1}(z^0 + r_k^* e^{i\theta} \mathbf{b})} > \\ & > \frac{\tau_0(\delta, \theta, z^0)}{j+1} \frac{M_{\mathbf{b},j}(r_k^*, z^0, F)}{j!L^j(z^0 + r_k^* e^{i\theta} \mathbf{b})} > \frac{M_{\mathbf{b},j}(r_k^*, z^0, F)}{j!L^j(z^0 + r_k^* e^{i\theta} \mathbf{b})} \end{aligned}$$

for all $j \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, N_{\mathbf{b}}(F, L)\}$ and for all $k \geq k_0(\delta)$. Thus,

$$\frac{M_{\mathbf{b},N+1}(r_k^*, z^0, f)}{(N+1)!L^{N+1}(z^0 + r_k^* e^{i\theta} \mathbf{b})} > \max_{0 \leq q \leq N} \left\{ \frac{M_{\mathbf{b},q}(r_k^*, z^0, f)}{q!L^q(z^0 + r_k^* e^{i\theta} \mathbf{b})} \right\}, \quad N = N_{\mathbf{b}}(F, L).$$

This inequality contradicts inequality (1). Thus, $\tau_0(\delta, \theta, z^0) \leq N_{\mathbf{b}}(f, \ell) + 1$ and due to the arbitrariness of δ , we have $\tau \varphi_0(\theta, z^0) \leq N_{\mathbf{b}}(f, \ell) + 1$, i. e.

$$\tau \leq \frac{N_{\mathbf{b}}(f, \ell) + 1}{\varphi_0(\theta, z^0)}.$$

2°. Proof of (6). Let

$$F(z^0 + w\mathbf{b}) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} b_m(z^0)w^m.$$

Then for any $\delta > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\mathbf{b}}(r, z^0, F) & \leq \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} |b_m(z^0)| r^m = \\ & = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{|b_m(z^0)| ((1+\delta)r)^m}{(1+\delta)^m} \leq \frac{1+\delta}{\delta} \mu_{\mathbf{b}}((1+\delta)r, z^0, F). \end{aligned}$$

But due to inequality (5)

$$\begin{aligned} \ln \mu_{\mathbf{b}}(r, z^0, F) & = \ln \mu_{\mathbf{b}}(0, z^0, F) + \int_0^r \frac{v_{\mathbf{b}}(t, z^0, f)}{t} dt \leq \\ & \leq (1+o(1)) \frac{N_{\mathbf{b}}(F, L) + 1}{\varphi_0(\theta, z^0)} \int_0^r L(z^0 + te^{i\theta} \mathbf{b}) dt \end{aligned}$$

as $r \rightarrow +\infty$. Since $\int_0^{+\infty} L(z^0 + te^{i\theta} \mathbf{b}) dt = +\infty$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln M_{\mathbf{b}}(r, z^0, F)}{\int_0^r L(z^0 + te^{i\theta} \mathbf{b}) dt} & \leq \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln \mu_{\mathbf{b}}((1+\delta)r, z^0, F)}{\int_0^r L(z^0 + te^{i\theta} \mathbf{b}) dt} \leq \\ & \leq \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln \mu_{\mathbf{b}}((1+\delta)r, z^0, F)}{\int_0^{(1+\delta)r} L(z^0 + te^{i\theta} \mathbf{b}) dt} \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\int_0^{(1+\delta)r} L(z^0 + te^{i\theta} \mathbf{b}) dt}{\int_0^r L(z^0 + te^{i\theta} \mathbf{b}) dt} \leq \\ & \leq \frac{N_{\mathbf{b}}(F, L) + 1}{\varphi_0(\theta, z^0)} \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\int_0^{(1+\delta)r} L(z^0 + te^{i\theta} \mathbf{b}) dt}{\int_0^r L(z^0 + te^{i\theta} \mathbf{b}) dt}. \end{aligned}$$

Then using L'Hospital's rule by condition (4), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\int_0^{(1+\delta)r} L(z^0 + te^{i\theta}\mathbf{b}) dt}{r \int_0^r L(z^0 + te^{i\theta}\mathbf{b}) dt} &\leq \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{(1+\delta)L(z^0 + r(1+\delta)e^{i\theta}\mathbf{b})}{L(z^0 + re^{i\theta}\mathbf{b})} = \\ &= (1+\delta) \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{L(z^0 + re^{i\theta}\mathbf{b})}{L\left(z^0 + \frac{r}{1+\delta}e^{i\theta}\mathbf{b}\right)} \leq \frac{1+\delta}{\varphi(\delta, \theta, z^0)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln M_{\mathbf{b}}(r, z^0, F)}{r \int_0^r L(z^0 + te^{i\theta}\mathbf{b}) dt} \leq \frac{N_{\mathbf{b}}(F, L) + 1}{\varphi_0(\theta, z^0)} \cdot \frac{1+\delta}{\varphi(\delta, \theta, z^0)}$ and, as $\delta \rightarrow +0$, we

obtain (6). The proof of Theorem 1 is completed. \blacklozenge

Remark 1. If $L(z) = L_0(|z|)$ be a nondecreasing function of variable $|z|$ then L satisfies (4).

Corollary 1. Let $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$, and $L \in \mathbb{L}_n$ be a function such that $(\forall z^0 \in \mathbb{C}^n) (\forall \theta \in [0, 2\pi]) : rL(z^0 + re^{i\theta}\mathbf{b}) \rightarrow +\infty, r \rightarrow +\infty$, and

$$\inf_{z^0 \in \mathbb{C}^n} \min_{\theta \in [0, 2\pi]} \varphi_0(\theta, z^0) = \varphi_0 \in (0, 1]. \quad (10)$$

If an entire transcendental function $F \in \mathcal{E}_n$ has bounded L -index $N_{\mathbf{b}}(F, L)$ in the direction \mathbf{b} , then

$$\sup_{z^0 \in \mathbb{C}^n} \max_{\theta \in [0, 2\pi]} \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{v_{\mathbf{b}}(r, z^0, F)}{rL(z^0 + re^{i\theta}\mathbf{b})} \leq \frac{N_{\mathbf{b}}(F, L) + 1}{\varphi_0}.$$

Corollary 2. Let $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$, and $L \in \mathbb{L}_n$ be a function such that $(\forall z^0 \in \mathbb{C}^n) (\forall \theta \in [0, 2\pi]) : rL(z^0 + re^{i\theta}\mathbf{b}) \rightarrow +\infty, r \rightarrow +\infty$, and (10) holds. If an entire transcendental function $F \in \mathcal{E}_n$ is of bounded L -index $N_{\mathbf{b}}(F, L)$ in the direction \mathbf{b} , then

$$\sup_{z^0 \in \mathbb{C}^n} \max_{\theta \in [0, 2\pi]} \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln M_{\mathbf{b}}(r, z^0, F)}{r \int_0^r L(z^0 + te^{i\theta}\mathbf{b}) dt} \leq \frac{N_{\mathbf{b}}(F, L) + 1}{\varphi_0^2}. \quad (11)$$

For $n = 1, L = \ell, \mathbb{L} = \mathbb{L}_1, F = f, \mathbf{b} = 1, z^0 = 0, v(r, f) = v_1(r, 0, F), M(r, f) = M_1(r, 0, F), N(f, \ell) = N_1(F, L)$, Theorem 1 implies the following one-dimensional corollary.

Corollary 3. Let $\ell \in \mathbb{L}$ and

$$\min_{\theta \in [0, 2\pi]} \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\min\{\ell(te^{i\theta}) : r/(1+\delta) \leq t \leq r\}}{\ell(re^{i\theta})} = \varphi(\delta) \rightarrow \varphi_0 \in (0, 1] \quad (12)$$

as $\delta \rightarrow +0$. If an entire transcendental function f has bounded ℓ -index $N(f, \ell)$, then

$$\max_{\theta \in [0, 2\pi]} \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{v(r, f)}{r\ell(re^{i\theta})} \leq \frac{N(f, \ell) + 1}{\varphi_0},$$

$$\max_{\theta \in [0, 2\pi]} \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln M(r, f)}{\int_0^r \ell(te^{i\theta}) dt} \leq \frac{N(f, \ell) + 1}{\varphi_0^2}. \quad (13)$$

Remark 2. Corollary 3 is a generalization of corresponding Sheremeta and Kuzyk's results [3] in two directions: **1°**) we do not assume the function ℓ to be of the form $\ell(|z|)$; **2°**) we do not assume that $\varphi_0 = 1$ (our results are valid for $\varphi_0 \in (0, 1]$).

Example 1. For $n = 1$ a function $\ell(z) = 2 + \frac{|\sin|z||}{|z|}$ satisfies condition (12) with $\varphi_0 = 1$.

Indeed, we can choose r_0 so that for every $r \geq r_0$ there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $[2\pi n, 2\pi(n+1)] \subset \left[\frac{r}{1+\delta}, r\right]$. Then $\min\{\ell(te^{i\theta}) : \frac{r}{1+\delta} \leq t \leq r\} = 2$, and we have $\overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{2}{\ell(re^{i\theta})} = \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{2}{2 + |\sin r|/r} = 1 = \varphi_0$. Let $f(z) = e^{2z}$, then [4] $N(f, \ell) = 0$, $\ln M(r, f) = 2r$, $v(r, f) = [2r]$ and

$$\int_0^r \ell(t) dt = \int_0^r \left(2 + \frac{|\sin t|}{t}\right) dt = 2r + o(r).$$

$$\text{Thus, } \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{v(r, f)}{r\ell(r)} = 1 = \frac{N(f, \ell) + 1}{\varphi_0}, \quad \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln M(r, f)}{\int_0^r \ell(t) dt} = 1 = \frac{N(f, \ell) + 1}{\varphi_0^2}.$$

Example 2. For $n = 1$, a function $\ell(z) = \sin|z| + 2$ satisfies condition (12) with $\varphi_0 = 1/3$.

Let $f(z) = e^z$, then $N(f, \ell) = 0$, $\ln M(r, f) = r$, $v(r, f) = [r]$ and $\int_0^r \ell(t) dt = 2r + O(1)$. Thus,

$$\overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln M(r, f)}{\int_0^r \ell(t) dt} = \frac{1}{2} < \frac{N(f, \ell) + 1}{\varphi_0^2} = 9,$$

$$\overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{v(r, f)}{r\ell(r)} = 1 < \frac{N(f, \ell) + 1}{\varphi_0} = 3.$$

Example 3. Similarly, we can prove that an unbounded function $\ell(z) = (|z| + 1)(\sin|z| + 2)$ satisfies (12) also with $\varphi_0 = 1/3$.

Remark 3. Generally speaking, if $\varphi_0 \in (0, 1)$, then we do not know whether estimate (11) is sharp. For $\varphi_0 = 1$, Sheremeta and Kuzyk [3] proved the sharpness of the second inequality in (13).

Theorem 2. Let $L \in \mathbb{L}_n$ and for every $z^0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$ the function $u(r) = u(z^0, \theta, r) := L(z^0 + re^{i\theta}\mathbf{b})$ be a continuously differentiable function of real variable $r \geq 0$. If an entire function F is of bounded L -index in the direction $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$, then for every $z^0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$, $r \in [0, +\infty)$ and every integer $p \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{p!L^p(z^0 + re^{i\theta}\mathbf{b})} \left| \frac{\partial^p F(z^0 + re^{i\theta}\mathbf{b})}{\partial \mathbf{b}^p} \right| &\leq \\ &\leq A_k \exp \int_0^r \left((N+1)L(z^0 + te^{i\theta}\mathbf{b}) + N \frac{(-u'_t(z^0, \theta, t))^+}{L(z^0 + te^{i\theta}\mathbf{b})} \right) dt, \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where $A_k = \max \left\{ \frac{1}{k!L^k(z^0)} \left| \frac{\partial^k F(z^0)}{\partial \mathbf{b}^k} \right| : 0 \leq k \leq N \right\}$. In particular,

$$|F(z^0 + re^{i\theta}\mathbf{b})| \leq A_k \exp \int_0^r \left((N+1)L(z^0 + te^{i\theta}\mathbf{b}) + N \frac{(-u'_t(z^0, \theta, t))^+}{L(z^0 + te^{i\theta}\mathbf{b})} \right) dt.$$

If, in addition, $\frac{(-u'(r))^+}{L^2(z^0 + re^{i\theta}\mathbf{b})}$ tends to zero uniformly with $r \rightarrow +\infty$ for $z^0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$, then

$$\sup_{z^0 \in \mathbb{C}^n} \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \max \left\{ \frac{\ln |F(z^0 + re^{i\theta}\mathbf{b})|}{\int_0^r L(z^0 + te^{i\theta}\mathbf{b}) dt : \theta \in [0, 2\pi]} \right\} \leq N_{\mathbf{b}}(F, L) + 1.$$

P r o o f. Denote $N = N_{\mathbf{b}}(F, L)$. For fixed $z^0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$, we consider the function

$$g(r) = \max \left\{ \frac{1}{k!L^k(z^0 + re^{i\theta}\mathbf{b})} \left| \frac{\partial^k F(z^0 + re^{i\theta}\mathbf{b})}{\partial \mathbf{b}^k} \right| : 0 \leq k \leq N \right\}. \quad (15)$$

Since the function $\frac{1}{k!L^k(z^0 + re^{i\theta}\mathbf{b})} \left| \frac{\partial^k F(z^0 + re^{i\theta}\mathbf{b})}{\partial \mathbf{b}^k} \right|$ is continuously differentiable by real variable $r \in [0, +\infty)$, outside of a zero set of function $\frac{\partial^k F(z^0 + re^{i\theta}\mathbf{b})}{\partial \mathbf{b}^k}$, the function g is continuously differentiable on $[0, +\infty)$ except, perhaps, a countable set of points. Since for every continuously differentiable function g of real variable r the inequality $\frac{d}{dr} |g(r)| \leq |g'(r)|$ holds with the exception of the points $\{r = t : g(t) = 0\}$, we estimate the derivative

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dr} \left(\frac{1}{k!u^k(r)} \left| \frac{\partial^k F(z^0 + re^{i\theta}\mathbf{b})}{\partial \mathbf{b}^k} \right| \right) &= \frac{1}{k!u^k(r)} \frac{d}{dr} \left| \frac{\partial^k F(z^0 + re^{i\theta}\mathbf{b})}{\partial \mathbf{b}^k} \right| + \\ &+ \left| \frac{\partial^k F(z^0 + re^{i\theta}\mathbf{b})}{\partial \mathbf{b}^k} \right| \frac{d}{dr} \frac{1}{k!u^k(r)} \leq \\ &\leq \frac{1}{k!u^k(r)} \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} \frac{\partial^k F(z^0 + re^{i\theta}\mathbf{b})}{\partial \mathbf{b}^k} e^{i\theta} \mathbf{b}_j \right| - \\ &- \left| \frac{\partial^k F(z^0 + re^{i\theta}\mathbf{b})}{\partial \mathbf{b}^k} \right| \frac{ku'(r)}{k!u^{k+1}(r)} \leq \\ &\leq \frac{1}{k!u^k(r)} \left| \frac{\partial^{k+1} F(z^0 + re^{i\theta}\mathbf{b})}{\partial \mathbf{b}^{k+1}} \right| + \\ &+ \frac{1}{k!u^k(r)} \left| \frac{\partial^k F(z^0 + re^{i\theta}\mathbf{b})}{\partial \mathbf{b}^k} \right| \frac{k(-u'(r))^+}{u(r)}. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

For absolutely continuous functions h_1, h_2, \dots, h_k and $h(x) := \max\{h_j(x) : 1 \leq j \leq k\}$, we have $h'(x) \leq \max\{h'_j(x) : 1 \leq j \leq k\}$, $x \in [a, b]$ (see [11, p. 81]). The function g is absolutely continuous, therefore, it follows from (16) that

$$\begin{aligned} g'(r) &\leq \max \left\{ \frac{d}{dr} \left(\frac{1}{k! L^k(z^0 + re^{i\theta} \mathbf{b})} \left| \frac{\partial^k F(z^0 + re^{i\theta} \mathbf{b})}{\partial \mathbf{b}^k} \right| \right) : 0 \leq k \leq N \right\} \leq \\ &\leq \max \left\{ \frac{1}{(k+1)! L^{k+1}(z^0 + re^{i\theta} \mathbf{b})} \left| \frac{\partial^{k+1} F(z^0 + re^{i\theta} \mathbf{b})}{\partial \mathbf{b}^{k+1}} \right| \times \right. \\ &\quad \times (k+1)L(z^0 + re^{i\theta} \mathbf{b}) + \frac{1}{k! L^k(z^0 + re^{i\theta} \mathbf{b})} \times \\ &\quad \times \left. \left| \frac{\partial^k F(z^0 + re^{i\theta} \mathbf{b})}{\partial \mathbf{b}^k} \right| k \frac{(-u'_r(z^0, \theta, r))^+}{L(z^0 + re^{i\theta} \mathbf{b})} : 0 \leq k \leq N \right\} \leq \\ &\leq g(r) \left((N+1)L(z^0 + re^{i\theta} \mathbf{b}) + N \frac{(-u'_r(z^0, \theta, r))^+}{L(z^0 + re^{i\theta} \mathbf{b})} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\frac{d}{dr} \ln g(r) \leq (N+1)L(z^0 + re^{i\theta} \mathbf{b}) + N \frac{(-u'_r(z^0, \theta, r))^+}{L(z^0 + re^{i\theta} \mathbf{b})}$ for every $z^0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$ and $r > 0$ except, perhaps, a countable set of points.

Since F has bounded L -index in the direction \mathbf{b} , then $g(0) \neq 0$ and for each $r > 0$

$$\ln g(r) \leq \ln g(0) + \int_0^r \left((N+1)L(z^0 + te^{i\theta} \mathbf{b}) + N \frac{(-u'_t(z^0, \theta, t))^+}{L(z^0 + te^{i\theta} \mathbf{b})} \right) dt.$$

Using (15), we obtain (14).

If, in addition, $\frac{(-u'_r(z^0, \theta, r))^+}{L^2(z^0 + re^{i\theta} \mathbf{b})} \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly in $z^0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \ln g(r) &\leq \ln g(0) + (N+1) \int_0^r \left(L(z^0 + te^{i\theta} \mathbf{b}) + \frac{(-u'_t(z^0, \theta, t))^+}{L(z^0 + te^{i\theta} \mathbf{b})} \right) dt = \\ &= \ln g(0) + (N+1)(1 + o(1)) \int_0^r L(z^0 + te^{i\theta} \mathbf{b}) dt, \end{aligned}$$

as $r \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly in $z^0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$, so that for all $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$, $z^0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$

$$|F(z^0 + re^{i\theta} \mathbf{b})| \leq g(r) \leq g(0) \exp \left\{ (N+1)(1 + o(1)) \int_0^r L(z^0 + te^{i\theta} \mathbf{b}) dt \right\},$$

as $r \rightarrow \infty$, and we obtain that for every $z^0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$

$$\overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \max \left\{ \frac{\ln |F(z^0 + re^{i\theta} \mathbf{b})|}{\int_0^r L(z^0 + te^{i\theta} \mathbf{b}) dt : \theta \in [0, 2\pi]} \right\} \leq N_{\mathbf{b}}(F, L) + 1.$$

The proof of Theorem 2 is completed. ◆

Remark 4. Note that (14) can be written in a more convenient form

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{|\tau|=r} \left(\frac{1}{p! L^p(z^0 + \tau \mathbf{b})} \left| \frac{\partial^p F(z^0 + \tau \mathbf{b})}{\partial \mathbf{b}^p} \right| \right) &\leq \\ &\leq A_k \exp \max_{\theta \in [0, 2\pi]} \int_0^r \left\{ (N+1)L(z^0 + te^{i\theta} \mathbf{b}) + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + N \frac{(-u'_t(z^0, \theta, t))^+}{L(z^0 + te^{i\theta} \mathbf{b})} \right\} dt. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

For $n = 1$ we deduce the following

Corollary 4. Let $\ell : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ and, for $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$, a function $\ell(re^{i\theta})$ be a continuously differentiable function of real variable $r \in [0, +\infty)$ and $u(r, \theta) = \ell(re^{i\theta})$. If $f(z)$ is an entire function of bounded ℓ -index, then for every integer $p \geq 0$

$$\frac{|f^{(p)}(re^{i\theta})|}{p! \ell^p(re^{i\theta})} \leq B_k \exp \int_0^r \left\{ (N+1)\ell(te^{i\theta}) + N \frac{(-u'_t(\theta, t))^+}{\ell(te^{i\theta})} \right\} dt,$$

where $B_k = \max \left\{ \frac{|f^{(k)}(0)|}{k! \ell^k(0)} : 0 \leq k \leq N \right\}$.

If, in addition, $\frac{(-u'_t(\theta, r))^+}{\ell^2(re^{i\theta})} \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow +\infty$ uniformly in $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$, then

$$\overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln M(r, f)}{\max_{\theta \in [0, 2\pi]} \int_0^r \ell(te^{i\theta}) dt} \leq \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \max_{\theta \in [0, 2\pi]} \frac{\ln |f(re^{i\theta})|}{\int_0^r \ell(te^{i\theta}) dt} \leq N(f, \ell) + 1.$$

The Corollary 4 is a generalization of corresponding result of Sheremeta and Kuzyk [3] because we do not assume that $\ell(z) = \ell(|z|)$.

Corollary 5. Let $F : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be an entire function of bounded L -index in the direction $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$, $N = N_{\mathbf{b}}(F, L)$, and z^0 be a fixed point in \mathbb{C}^n , such that $F(z^0) = 1$. Then for every $r > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^r \frac{n(t, z^0, 0, 1/F)}{t} dt &\leq \ln \max \{ |F(z^0 + t\mathbf{b})| : |t| = r \} \leq \\ &\leq \ln \max \left\{ \frac{1}{p! L^p(z^0)} \left| \frac{\partial^p F(z^0)}{\partial \mathbf{b}^p} \right| : 0 \leq k \leq N \right\} + \\ &\quad + \max_{\theta \in [0, 2\pi]} \int_0^r \left\{ (N+1)L(z^0 + te^{i\theta} \mathbf{b}) + N \frac{(-u'_t(z^0, \theta, t))^+}{L(z^0 + te^{i\theta} \mathbf{b})} \right\} dt. \end{aligned}$$

P r o o f. The first inequality follows from the classical Jensen Theorem for function $F(z^0 + t\mathbf{b})$ as a function of variable $t \in \mathbb{C}$. The second inequality follows from (17) for $p = 0$. \blacklozenge

The Corollary 5 is a generalization of the results [5] for entire functions of bounded L -index in direction.

1. Бандура А. І., Скасків О. Б. Цілі функції обмеженого L -індексу за напрямком // *Мат. студії*. – 2007. – **27**, № 1. – С. 30–52.
2. Виттих Г. Новейшие исследования по однозначным аналитическим функциям. – Москва: Физматгиз, 1960. – 318 с.
Te same: Wittich H. Neuere Untersuchungen über eindeutige analytische Funktionen. – Berlin etc.: Springer-Verlag, 1968. – 165 S.
3. Кузык А. Д., Шеремета М. Н. Целые функции ограниченного ℓ -распределения значений // *Мат. заметки*. – 1986. – **39**, № 1. – С. 3–13.
Te same: Kuzyk A. D., Sheremeta M. N. Entire functions of bounded ℓ -distribution of values // *Math. Notes Acad. Sci. USSR*. – 1986. – **39**, No. 1. – P. 3–8.
4. Полюа Г., Сеге Г. Задачи и теоремы из анализа. Т. II. – Москва: Наука, 1978. – 432 с.
Te same: Pólya G., Szegő G. Aufgaben und Lehrsätze aus der Analysis. Bd. II. – Berlin etc.: Springer-Verlag, 1964. – 408 p.
5. Banakh T. O., Kushnir V. O. On growth and distribution of zeros of analytic functions of bounded ℓ -index in arbitrary domains // *Мат. студії*. – 2000. – **14**, № 2. – P. 165–170.
6. Bandura A. I., Skaskiv O. B. Open problems for entire functions of bounded index in direction // *Мат. студії*. – 2015. – **43**, No. 1. – P. 103–109.
7. Lepson B. Differential equations of infinite order, hyperdirichlet series and entire functions of bounded index // In: *Entire Functions and Related Parts of Analysis, Proc. Symp. Pure Math., La Jolla, Calif., 1966*. – Providence, RI: Amer. Math. Soc. – 1968. – Vol. XI. – P. 298–307.
8. Nuray F., Patterson R. F. Entire bivariate functions of exponential type // *Bull. Math. Sci.* – 2015. – **5**, No. 2. – P. 171–177.
9. Nuray F., Patterson R. F. Multivalence of bivariate functions of bounded index // *Le Matematiche*. – 2015. – **70**, No. 2. – P. 225–233.
10. Shah S. M. Entire functions of bounded index // *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* – 1968. – **19**, No. 5. – P. 1017–1022.
11. Sheremeta M. Analytic functions of bounded index. – Lviv: VNTL Publishers, 1999. – 141 p. – (Math. Studies: Monograph Ser. – Vol. 6.)

ЗРОСТАННЯ ЦІЛИХ ФУНКЦІЙ ОБМЕЖЕНОГО L -ІНДЕКСУ ЗА НАПРЯМКОМ

Розв'язано задачу про знаходження оцінки зростання максимуму модуля цілої функції обмеженого L -індексу за напрямком. Отримано деякі узагальнення одновимірних результатів, встановлених раніше.

РОСТ ЦЕЛЫХ ФУНКЦИЙ ОГРАНИЧЕННОГО L -ИНДЕКСА ПО НАПРАВЛЕНИЮ

Решена задача о нахождении оценки роста максимуму модуля целой функции ограниченного L -индекса по направлению. Получены некоторые обобщения одновимерных результатов, установленных раньше.

¹ Ivano-Frankivs'k Nat. Techn. Univ.
of Oil and Gas, Ivano-Frankivs'k,

² Ivan Franko Nat. Univ. of Lviv, Lviv

Received
08.02.16