

<https://doi.org/10.15407/microbiolj85.04.003>

N. MURALI^{1*}, S.G. NAIR², E. IBÁÑEZ-ARANCIBIA^{3,4},
P.R. DE LOS RÍOS-ESCALANTE^{3,5}, S. KALIDASS¹

¹ Department of Zoology, Manonmaniam Sundaranar University, Tamil Nadu, India Tirunelveli, India

² Sree Ayappa College for Women, Chunkankadai, Tamilnadu, India

³ Departamento de Ciencias Biológicas y Químicas, Facultad de Recursos Naturales, Universidad Católica de Temuco, Casilla 15-D, Temuco, Chile

⁴ Programa de Doctorado en Ciencias mención Biología Celular y Molecular, Universidad de la Frontera, Casilla 54-D, Temuco, Chile

⁵ Núcleo de Estudios Ambientales UC Temuco, Chile

* Author for correspondence; e-mail: chnmurali@gmail.com

EFFICACY OF PROBIOTICS TO CONTROL AMMONIA IN *OREOCHROMIS NILOTICUS* FISHPONDS IN VOLTA REGION, GHANA

Current intensification of aquaculture where the organic loads with toxic compounds like NH₃ and H₂S shoot up beyond the level where naturally occurring bacteria cannot decompose the wastes has necessitated the use of probiotics.

Objective. *The objective was to use five different probiotics to treat ammonia and analyze the effects on water quality and changes in it in fishponds. Methods.* *Five different probiotic compositions were used, and the water quality was measured, mainly for ammonia concentration. Results.* *5 different ponds located in the village of Agortha, Volta Region, Ghana, were treated with 5 different products simultaneously for 3 months. The concentration of ammonia has come to zero in 2 ponds with pH equal to 8. Conclusions.* *The results revealed that probiotics addition was efficient in decreasing the ammonia concentration in fishponds.*

Keywords: *ammonia control, fishponds, probiotics.*

Aquaculture is considered an emerging food production sector all over the world. When artificial food is consumed with high-protein diets, merely 50—60% of protein is assimilated, and the rest of the protein reaches the environment ei-

ther through feed wastage or fecal matter, which results in production of ammonia [1]. Ammonia is one of the critical parameters in aquaculture systems. It is toxic to fish and shrimp if it gets accumulated during the production process:

Citation: N. Murali, S.G. Nair, E. Ibáñez-Arancibia, P.R. De Los Ríos-Escalante, S. Kalidass. Efficacy of Probiotics to Control Ammonia in *Oreochromis Niloticus* Fishponds in Volta Region, Ghana. *Microbiological journal*. 2023 (4). P. 3—8. <https://doi.org/10.15407/microbiolj85.04.003>

© Publisher PH «Akademperiodyka» of the NAS of Ukraine, 2023. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>)

high levels of ammonia affect the physiology of the fish and shrimp making them unable to assimilate energy from the feed consumed [2]. At extremely toxic levels of ammonia, fish and shrimps tend to become lethargic and go into a moribund state finally resulting in death. Inefficient management of ammonia in the aquatic ecosystem leads to poor growth, low survival, and high FCR (Feed Conversion Ratio), which ultimately leads to a high cost of production and simultaneous losses to the farmer [3, 4].

In fish ponds, the quality of water during the production period deteriorates mainly due to feed wastage, metabolic waste accumulation, decomposition of wasted feed, and other biotic compounds [2, 5]. Probiotics, which are usually beneficial bacteria, will uptake the organic matter directly or decompose it into harmless compounds, which ultimately increases the pond water quality [6–9]. In the past few years, probiotics have brought a revolutionary change in the water quality management of fishponds by improving water quality, inhibiting pathogens, and ultimately promoting the performance of fish in terms of growth and survival [4]. A wide range of probiotics with a variety of combinations has come into availability with a specific targeted application. In the current study, we have selected five different probiotics to decrease the level of ammonia and to determine their effect on water quality in fishponds.

Materials and methods. Fish and fishponds: Fishponds located in a farm at Agortha Village near Sogakope, Volta Region, Ghana, West Africa were chosen for the present study. The earthen ponds are designated as Ponds 1,2,3,4, and 5. In these five ponds, *Oreochromis niloticus* was stocked at a stocking density of 3 fish/m². The ideal pond size was 0.8 Ha, and the fish number stocked in each pond was 24000. The fish was provided with supplementary feed, which was a formulated floating fish feed, at a feeding rate of 1.8–2.5% based on their average body weight. Prior to the release of fish, all the ponds

were treated with zeolites, lime, etc. to stabilize the pond environment. The particulars of various products and lime used in different ponds are given in Table 1.

The study was carried out during a culture period from December 15, 2020, to March 15, 2021. The extent (water spread area) of Ponds No 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 was 0.52, 0.52, 0.52, 0.55, and 0.52 Ha, respectively. The depth of the ponds ranged between 0.8–1.2 m. All the ponds were treated with various probiotics for ammonia control.

Probiotics: Commercially available probiotics manufactured by three different companies were used which contain *Nitrosomonas* sp., *Rhodobacter* sp., *Nitrobacter* sp., *Rhodococcus* sp., *Bacillus subtilis* in Product A; *Nitrosomonas* sp., *Rhodobacter* sp., *Nitrobacter* sp., *Rhodococcus* sp. and *Pseudomonas denitrificans* in Product B; *Lactobacillus acidophilus*, *Bacillus licheniformis*, *Bacillus polymyxa*, *Bacillus megaterium*, and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* in Product C; *Nitrosomonas* sp., *Rhodobacter* sp., *Nitrobacter* sp., *Rhodococcus* sp., and *Pseudomonas* sp. in Product D; *Nitrobacter* sp., *Nitrosomonas* sp., *Aspergillus* sp., and sulfur-consuming bacteria in Product E. We used these probiotics in the corresponding ponds at a dosage recommended by the manufacturer. All the probiotics were used as a single dose application since they were target-oriented toward the control of ammonia. The probiotic dosage was 1 kg/Ha, and in most of the ponds, they were tested at a dose of 500 g/pond.

Water samples: Physiochemical parameters of water were studied on a daily basis until 5 days from the date of application. The physiochemical parameters such as temperature, TDS, ORP, Salinity, pH, EC, SG, DO, and ammonia were measured over a period of time. The first sample was collected before the application date, and later on water samples were collected on the 1st, 2nd, and 4th day according to the recommendation of the formulator of probiotics.

The correlation analysis for previous verification of normal distribution (Kolmogorov-

Table 1. Mean of the results for parameters measured in experimental units of the present study (results are in triplicate)

Parameters	Pond No-1 / SP06 — Product A				Pond No-2 / SP09 — Product B				Pond No-3 / SP16 — Product C				Pond No-4 / GP12 — Product D				Pond No-5 / SP12 — Product E			
	Day0	Day1	Day2	Day4	Day0	Day1	Day2	Day4	Day0	Day1	Day2	Day4	Day0	Day1	Day2	Day4	Day0	Day1	Day2	Day4
pH	9.2	9.2	8.8	8.9	8.8	8.8	8.2	8.5	6.1	6.1	6.3	6.2	6.2	6.2	6.4	7.6	7.8	7.5	7.5	7.5
ORP (mV)	130	186	190	190	170	170	190	186	287	289	268	275	273	268	296	209	220	226	216	216
NH ₃ (PPM)	0.5	0.5	0.5	0	0.5	0.5	0.25	0	0.25	0.25	0.25	1	8	8	4	4	4	4	4	4
Temperature (°C)	29.3	29.3	29.2	29.3	29.4	29.3	29.2	29.3	28.7	28.9	29	28.8	29.2	29.3	29	29.1	29.3	29	29.1	29.1
EC (µS/cm)	2900	2800	2800	2760	2260	2200	2150	2150	1760	1700	1650	1650	1990	1900	1850	3860	3850	3700	3600	3600
TDS (PPM)	1450	1400	1400	1380	1130	1100	1075	1075	878	850	830	830	990	950	930	1930	1925	1850	1800	1800
SG (kg/m)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
DO 3 Am (PPM)	2.9	2.6	3.8	4.1	2.1	1.9	2.8	3.2	1.6	1.6	1.9	1.9	1.4	1.3	1.9	3.1	3.3	3.4	3.6	3.6
DO 4 Pm (PPM)	11.4	9.6	8.8	8.8	9.9	6.8	7.5	7.9	5.6	5.5	5.6	6.1	3.6	3.7	4.2	4.5	4.8	4.6	4.7	4.7

Smirnov test) and variance homogeneity (Bartlett test [10]) as well as the software R [11] were applied for the obtained data analysis.

Results. Ammonia exists in either of two forms, un-ionized and uncharged form (NH₃), or as a positively charged ammonium hydroxide ion (NH₄⁺) when it dissolves in water [12]. The form that ammonia takes in water is highly dependent on the temperature and pH level of the water. The toxicity of ammonia is primarily attributed to the un-ionized form (NH₃). In general, high NH₃ concentration with more toxicity exists at high pH values. The relation between ammonia toxicity in relation to pH and temperature is shown in Table 1. The differences in ammonia concentrations observed on Day0 for all treatments, perhaps, would be to the marked environmental heterogeneity of available studied ponds.

During the short period of study, the variation in the water temperature was normal for tropical water and did not cross 32 °C in any of the ponds at any point of time, which can be seen in Table 1, and the amplitude of variation was low. There is a significant positive relationship between pH and DO (dissolved oxygen ($r^2 = 0.650$; $P < 0.050$ at 3 AM and $r^2 = 0.810$; $P < 0.010$ at 4 PM). It should be noticed that this higher value of pH is due to greater photosynthetic activity from abundant plankton in the pond water which also increases the DO of pond water during the daytime.

The oxidation-reduction potential (ORP) measures the ability of a water body to break down waste products such as contaminants and dead plants and animals. When ORP is high, it means that a lot of oxygen is present in the water. But here we got a negative relationship between ORP and DO levels recorded at 3 am ($r^2 = -0.600$; $P < 0.050$) and 4 pm ($r^2 = -0.780$; $P < 0.010$), which means that the higher ORP, the lower DO levels in the pond. A negative correlation is observed between pH and ORP in the ponds ($r^2 = -0.950$; $P < 0.010$),

which means that the higher pH, the lower the ORP levels and vice versa. On a general trend in almost all trials, the ORP levels increased after the application of probiotics where the ammonia concentration came to zero, whereas in the other ponds, the ORP was either stable or only slightly increased with no reduction of ammonia concentration.

Electric conductivity (EC) and total dissolved solids (TDS) represent the mineral content in water and hence they exhibit a significant positive relationship in the ponds ($r^2 = 0.99$; $P < 0.01$). The higher values of conductivity were noticed in ponds with the higher salinity or more turbidity. The presence of highly soluble inorganic salts is responsible for the increase of conductivity in the water and also can be one of the reasons for high EC in some ponds. No significant correlation was found between pH and EC ($r^2 = 0.480$; $P > 0.050$). A negative correlation ($r^2 = -0.500$; $P > 0.050$) is observed between ORP and EC.

As for the whole trend of parameters, when the probiotic is applied to a pond, they are better in ponds with pH above 8.0, and their efficiency is less in low pH ponds. In ponds where we got good results for the reduction of ammonia, there was a significant drop in pH (>0.4) on the 2nd day and there was a significant increase in ORP (> 20 mV) except in product C. In addition, there was observed a significant drop in oxygen after the 1st day of application (0.2—0.3 ppm), where in the case of Product C, there is no change and in Product E, there is a slight increase in DO. Therefore, we can assume that the activation of probiotics, or the viable count of these products, is poor in water with low pH.

A negative correlation exists between ammonia and temperature ($r^2 = 0.050$; $P > 0.050$), which is almost insignificant. A positive correlation ($r^2 = 0.520$; $P < 0.050$) was found between ORP and ammonia and a negative correlation ($r^2 = -0.520$; $P < 0.050$) between pH and ammonia.

Discussion. Commercial aquaculture operations with high stocking densities of fish and feeding it with high protein content feeds gradually deteriorate the quality of water and bottom soil, which leads to the proliferation of pathogenic organisms like fungi, bacteria, and protozoans [13—15]. In addition, this creates stress on the fish and, ultimately, they will be subjected to diseases as well. In this study, the main studied parameter is the content of ammonia, which is very lethal to fish [2]. Ammonia can be observed in the ponds even from the beginning of culture, which can be due to the previous crop wastage if it was not dried or treated well. Ammonia in cultured ponds over a period of time keeps accumulating with the amount of feed used and from its wastage, fecal matter, dead fish, and decomposed organic matter [16]. However, if the natural microbes involved in the nitrogen cycle are present in the pond water, the accumulation is not high [8]. In another way, if the microbial colonies are fit enough to complete the nitrogen cycle, then we do not observe ammonia in the pond [17]. The results obtained in the present study denote an increase in water quality probably due to the addition of probiotics.

Usually, in fishponds, feed wastes and excreta increase the ammonia concentration, which is harmful to fish above 0.1 ppm, hence they are considered critical water parameters which are to be managed at the optimum level [18]. Oxidation of ammonia to nitrite by bacteria (*Nitrosomonas* sp.) and oxidation of nitrite to nitrate by other bacteria (*Nitrobacter*) are the principle stages of the nitrogen cycle, which means that the presence of these two bacteria is inevitable to convert and eliminate bacteria from the aquatic ecosystem [3, 19—23]. The use of probiotics for *O. niloticus* aquaculture and their efficient role in ammonia removal was observed using *B. subtilis* in [24], and *B. cereus*, *B. amyloliquefaciens*, and *Pseudomonas stutzeri* in *O. niloticus* aquaculture [19]. On this basis, the present study and

literature antecedents support a conclusion that the addition of probiotics can reduce the ammonia concentration in fish ponds.

Acknowledgments. The authors are thankful to the Department of Animal Science, Manon-

maniam Sundaranar University, India, for the guidance and support for the study, Project MECESUP UCT 0804, and to M.I. and S.M.A, for their valuable comments to improve the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- Nathanailides C, Kolygas M, Choremi K, Mavraganis T, Gouva E, Vidalis K, Athanassopoulou F. Probiotics Have the Potential to Significantly Mitigate the Environmental Impact of Freshwater Fish Farms. *Fishes*. 2021; 6:76.
- Naiel MAE, Gewida AGA, Merwad A-RMA, Abdel-Hamid EAA, Negm SS, Alagawany M, Farag MR. The effects of various organic fertilizers with or without adsorbents on the productivity, antioxidant status and immune responses of Nile tilapia raised in cement ponds. *Aquaculture*. 2022; 548:737593.
- Opiyo MA, Jumbe J, Ngugi CC, Charo-Karisa H. Different levels of probiotics affect growth, survival and body composition of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) cultured in low input ponds. *Scientific African*. 2019; 4:e00103.
- Gopi N, Iswarya A, Vijayakumar S, Jayanthi S, Nor SAM, Velusamy P, Vaseeharan B. Protective effects of dietary supplementation of probiotic *Bacillus licheniformis* Dahb1 against ammonia induced immunotoxicity and oxidative stress in *Oreochromis mossambicus*, *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology. Part C: Toxicology & Pharmacology*. 2022; 259:109379.
- Kawsar MA, Alam MT, Pandit D, Rahman MM, Mia M, Talukdar A. Status of disease prevalence, drugs and antibiotics usage in pond-based aquaculture at Narsingdi district, Bangladesh: A major public health concern and strategic appraisal for mitigation. *Heliyon*. 2022; 8:e9060.
- Wang A, Ran C, Wang Y, Zhang Z, Ding O, Yang Y, Olsen, RE, Ringo E, Bindelle J, Zhou Z. Use of probiotics in aquaculture of China — a review of the past decade. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology*. 2019; 86:735—755.
- Chalamlasetti NM, Nair SG, Subramaniam K, De los Rios-Escalante P, Ibañez-Arancibia E. The role of probiotics in the pond management — a review. *Journal of Materials and Environmental Sciences*. 2022; 13:210—221.
- El-Kady AA, Magouz FI, Mahmoud SA, Abdel-Rahim MM. The effects of some commercial probiotics as water additive on water quality, fish performance, blood biochemical parameters, expression of growth and immune-related genes, and histology of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). *Aquaculture*. 2022; 546:737249.
- Del Valle JC, Bonadero MC, Fernandez-Gimenez AV. *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* as probiotic, prebiotic, synbiotic, postbiotics and parabiotics in aquaculture: An overview. *Aquaculture*. 2023; 569:739342.
- Zar JH. *Biostatistical Analysis*. 5th Edition, Prentice-Hall/Pearson, Upper Saddle River, xiii. 2010; 944 p.
- R Development Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. (R foundation for statistical computing, Vienna, Austria). 2021; www.r-project.org
- Wetzel RG, Likens G. *Limnological analyses*. Springer New York, USA. 2000; 493 p.
- Chang B-V, Chang Y-T, Chao W-L, Yeh S-L, Kuo D-L, Yang C-W. Effects of sulfamethoxazole and sulfamethoxazole-degrading bacteria on water quality and microbial communities in milkfish ponds. *Environmental Pollution*. 2019; 252:305—316.
- El-Saadony MT, Alagawany M, Patra AK, Kar I, Dawood MAO, Dhama K, Abdel-Latif HMR. The functionality of probiotics in aquaculture: An overview. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology*. 2021; 117:36—52.
- Puvunasundram P, Chong CM, Sabri S, Yusoff MS, Karim M. Multi-strain probiotics: Functions, effectiveness and formulations for aquaculture applications. *Aquaculture Reports*. 2021; 21:100905.
- Wang C, Jiang C, Gao T, Peng X, Ma S, Sun Q, Xia B, Xie X, Bai Z, Xu S, Zhuang X. Improvement of fish production and water quality in a recirculating aquaculture pond enhanced with bacteria-microalgae association. *Aquaculture*. 2022; 547:737420.
- Hlordzi V, Kuebutornye FKA, Afriyie G, Abarike ED, Lu Y, Chi S, Anokyewaa MA. The use of *Bacillus* species in maintenance of water quality in aquaculture: A review. *Aquaculture Reports*. 2020; 18:100503.
- Tabassum TAGM, Mahamud SU, Acharjee TK, Hassan R, Snigdha TA, Islam CT, Alam CR, Khoiam MdU, Akter F, Azad, MdR, Al Mahamud MdA, Ahmed GUddin, Rahman T. Probiotic supplementations improve growth, water quality, hematology, gut microbiota and intestinal morphology of Nile tilapia. *Aquaculture Reports*. 2021; 100972.

19. John EM, Krishnapriya K, Sankar TV. Treatment of ammonia and nitrite in aquaculture wastewater by an assembled bacterial consortium. *Aquaculture*. 2020; 526:735390.
20. Pulugan IH, Nasution TI, Nigsih HW, Tarigan K, Fathurrahman. An Automatic and Realtime Control of Ammonia Concentration in Catfish Pond Water Based on MQ137 Sensor. *Journal of Physics Conference Series*. 2020; 1428:012054.
21. Roy NC, Munni MJ, Chowdhury MA, Akther KR. Probiotic Supplements in Aquaculture: Latest Developments and Future Trends. In: Gupta, S.K., Giri, S.S., editors. *Biotechnological Advances in Aquaculture Health Management*. Springer, Singapore, 2021.
22. Lothmann R, Sewilam H. Potential of innovative marine aquaculture techniques to close nutrient cycles. *Reviews in Aquaculture*. 2022; 1—18.
23. Bondad-Reantaso MG, MacKinnon B, Karunasagar I, Fridman S, Alday-Sanz V, Brun E, Le Groumellec M, Li A, Surachetpong W, Karunasagar I, Hao B, Dall'Occo A, Urbani R, Caputo A. Review of alternatives to antibiotic use in aquaculture. *Reviews in Aquaculture*. 2023; 1—31.
24. Haraz YG, Shourbela RM, El-Hawarry WN, Mansour AM, Elblehi SS. Performance of juvenile *Oreochromis niloticus* (Nile tilapia) raised in conventional and biofloc technology systems as influenced by probiotic water supplementation. *Aquaculture*. 2023; 566:739180.

Received 7.03.2023

Н. Муралі^{1}, С.Г. Наїр², Е. Ібанес-Аранчібія^{3,4},
П.Р. Де лос Ріос-Ескаланте^{3,5}, С. Калідасс¹*

¹ Факультет зоології, Університет Манонманіам Сундаранар, Таміл Наду, Тірунелвелі, Індія

² Коледж для жінок Шрі Аяппа, Чунканкадай, Тамілнаду, Індія

³ Кафедра біологічних і хімічних наук, Факультет природних ресурсів Католицького університету Темуко, бокс 15-Д, Чилі

⁴ Докторська програма з клітинної та молекулярної біології, Університет де ла Фронтера Касілья 54-Д, Темуко, Чилі

⁵ Центр екологічних досліджень УК Темуко, Чилі

ЕФЕКТИВНІСТЬ ЗАСТОСУВАННЯ ПРОБІОТИКІВ ДЛЯ КОНТРОЛЮ РІВНЯ АМІАКУ В РИБНИХ СТАВКАХ *OREOCHROMIS NILOTICUS* В РЕГІОНІ ВОЛЬТА, ГАНА

Інтенсифікація аквакультури, де органічне навантаження токсичними сполуками, такими як NH_3 і H_2S , перевищує рівень, при якому природні бактерії можуть розкласти відходи, призвела до необхідності застосування пробіотиків. **Мета** роботи полягала у використанні п'яти різних пробіотиків для зниження рівня аміаку та визначенні їх впливу на якість води у рибних ставках. **Методи**. У цьому дослідженні було використано п'ять різних композицій пробіотиків і виміряно якість води під їх впливом, головним чином, з точки зору концентрації аміаку. **Результати**. Для цього дослідження були оброблені різних ставків, розташованих у селі Агорта, регіон Вольта, Гана, п'ятьма різними продуктами одночасно протягом трьох місяців. Концентрація аміаку впала до нуля у двох ставках, рН в яких був вище 8. **Висновки**. Результати показали, що додавання пробіотиків є ефективним засобом для зниження концентрації аміаку в рибних ставках.

Ключові слова: *контроль рівня аміаку, рибні ставки, пробіотики.*