

**WONG'S OSCILLATION THEOREM FOR SECOND-ORDER DELAY
DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS**

**ТЕОРЕМА ВОНГА ПРО ОСЦИЛЯЦІЇ ДЛЯ ДИФЕРЕНЦІАЛЬНИХ
РІВНЯНЬ ДРУГОГО ПОРЯДКУ З ЗАПІЗНЕННЯМ**

A. Özbekler

Atilim Univ.

06836, Incek, Ankara, Turkey

and

Texas A& M Univ. Kingsville

700 University Blvd., Kingsville, TX 78363-8202, USA

e-mail: aozbekler@gmail.com

abdullah.ozbekler@atilim.edu.tr

A. Zafer

Middle East Technical Univ.

06531, Ankara, Turkey

and

Amer. Univ. Middle East

College Engineering and Technology

Block 3, Egaila, Kuwait

e-mail: zafer@metu.edu.tr

Let

$$H(t) := \int_a^t \frac{1}{r(s)z^2(s)} \left(\int_a^s z(k)f(k)dk \right) ds,$$

where z is a positive solution of

$$(r(t)x')' + q(t)x = 0, \quad t \geq a,$$

satisfying

$$\int_a^\infty \frac{1}{r(s)z^2(s)} ds < \infty.$$

*It is well known that, see [J. S. W. Wong, J. Math. Anal. and Appl. — 1999. — **231** — P. 235–240], if*

$$\overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow \infty} H(t) = - \underline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow \infty} H(t) = \infty,$$

then every solution of

$$(r(t)x')' + q(t)x = f(t)$$

is oscillatory.

In this paper we extend Wong's result to delay differential equations of the form

$$(r(t)x'(\tau(t)))' + q(t)x(\tau(t)) = f(t).$$

It is observed that the oscillation behavior may be altered due to presence of the delay. Extensions to Emden–Fowler type delay differential equations are also discussed.

Нехай

$$H(t) := \int_a^t \frac{1}{r(s)z^2(s)} \left(\int_a^s z(k)f(k)dk \right) ds,$$

де z — додатний розв'язок рівняння

$$(r(t)x')' + q(t)x = 0, \quad t \geq a,$$

що задовольняє умову

$$\int_a^\infty \frac{1}{r(s)z^2(s)} ds < \infty.$$

Відомо (див. [J. S. W. Wong, *J. Math. Anal. and Appl.* — 1999. — **231**. — P. 235–240]), що якщо

$$\overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow \infty} H(t) = - \underline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow \infty} H(t) = \infty,$$

то кожен розв'язок рівняння

$$(r(t)x')' + q(t)x = f(t)$$

є осцилюючим.

У цій статті результат Вонга поширено на диференціальні рівняння з запізненням вигляду

$$(r(t)x'(\tau))' + q(t)x(\tau(t)) = f(t).$$

Встановлено, що осциляційна поведінка може змінюватись за рахунок запізнення. Також розглянуто узагальнення рівнянь типу Емдена–Фоллера.

1. Introduction. We begin with a well-known theorem by Wong [14].

Theorem 1. Let z be a positive solution of the homogeneous equation

$$(r(t)x')' + q(t)x = 0, \quad t \geq a,$$

such that

$$\int_a^\infty \frac{1}{r(s)z^2(s)} ds < \infty.$$

If

$$\overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow \infty} H(t) = - \underline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow \infty} H(t) = \infty,$$

where

$$H(t) := \int_a^t \frac{1}{r(s)z^2(s)} \left(\int_a^s z(r)f(r) dr \right) ds,$$

then every solution of

$$(r(t)x')' + q(t)x = f(t)$$

is oscillatory.

For some extensions of this theorem to impulsive differential equations, dynamic equations on time scales, and nonlinear differential equations, see [8, 9, 15], respectively.

The main purpose of this study is to obtain an oscillation theorem analogous to Theorem 1 for second-order delay differential equations of the form

$$(r(t)x'(t))' + q(t)x(\tau(t)) = f(t), \quad t \geq t_0, \quad (1)$$

where $t_0 \geq 0$, $r \in C([t_0, \infty), (0, \infty))$, $q \in C([t_0, \infty), [0, \infty))$, $f, \tau \in C([t_0, \infty), \mathbb{R})$, $\tau(t) \leq t$, and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \tau(t) = \infty$.

It turns out that the delay term may cause solutions to oscillate. For instance, we will see that the equation

$$(t^{5/2}x'(t))' + \frac{1}{2}t^{1/2}x(\lambda t) = t^\alpha \sin(\ln t), \quad \alpha \in \left(-\frac{1}{2}, 0\right),$$

is oscillatory when $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ but nonoscillatory if $\lambda = 1$.

Similar to [14] the main assumption is the existence of a nonoscillatory solution of

$$(r(t)x'(t))' + q(t)x = 0, \quad t \geq a. \quad (2)$$

It turns out that a nonprincipal solution suffices for our purpose. Recall that a nontrivial solution u of Eq. (2) is called principal if for every solution v of Eq. (2), linearly independent of u , one has

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u(t)}{v(t)} = 0.$$

Such a solution v is called a nonprincipal solution of Eq. (2). It is known that if Eq. (2) is nonoscillatory, then the principal and nonprincipal solutions exist, and that a principal solution u is unique up to a multiplicative constant. For other characterizations of these solutions, see [5, 6]. Note that the function z employed in Theorem 1 is indeed a nonprincipal solution of Eq. (2). The principal and nonprincipal solutions play important role for the investigation of oscillation and asymptotic behavior of solutions of some related equations [1–14].

As usual it is tacitly assumed that Eq. (1) has a solution $x(t)$ defined on an interval $[t_0, \infty)$ and nontrivial on $[T, \infty)$ for any $T \geq t_0$. Such a solution is called *oscillatory* if it has arbitrarily large zeros, otherwise it is said to be *nonoscillatory*. Eq. (1) is called oscillatory (nonoscillatory) if all solutions are oscillatory (nonoscillatory).

2. Main result. Let v denote a nonprincipal solution of Eq. (2) which is positive for $t \geq a$. Note that

$$\int_a^\infty \frac{ds}{r(s)v^2(s)} < \infty. \quad (3)$$

The main result is the following theorem.

Theorem 2. Let $v(t)$ be a positive solution of (2) satisfying (3), i.e., a nonprincipal solution. Define

$$\mathcal{H}_0(t) := \int_a^t \frac{1}{r(s)v^2(s)} \int_a^s q(k)v(k) \int_{\tau(k)}^k \frac{1}{r(\eta)} d\eta dk ds$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}(t) := \int_a^t \frac{1}{r(s)v^2(s)} \int_a^s \left\{ f(k) + q(k) \int_{\tau(k)}^k \frac{1}{r(\eta)} \int_a^\eta f(\lambda) d\lambda d\eta \right\} v(k) dk ds.$$

If

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{H}_0(t) < \infty, \quad \overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{H}(t) = - \underline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{H}(t) = \infty, \quad (4)$$

then Eq. (1) is oscillatory.

Proof. Suppose that there is a nonoscillatory solution $x(t)$ of Eq. (1). We may assume that $x(\tau(t)) > 0$ on $[a, \infty)$ for some $a \geq t_0$ sufficiently large.

Put

$$w(t) = \frac{x(t)}{v(t)}, \quad t \geq a.$$

It follows from (1) that w satisfies

$$(r(t)w'(t)v(t))' + r(t)w'(t)v'(t) = f(t) + q(t) \int_{\tau(t)}^t x'(s) ds. \quad (5)$$

Integrating (1) from a to t , we see that

$$x'(t) \leq \frac{\alpha}{r(t)} + \frac{1}{r(t)} \int_a^t f(s) ds, \quad t \geq a,$$

where $\alpha = r(a)x'(a)$. Using this estimate in (5) leads to

$$(r(t)w'(t)v(t))' + r(t)w'(t)v'(t) \leq f(t) + \alpha q(t) \int_{\tau(t)}^t \frac{ds}{r(s)} + q(t) \int_{\tau(t)}^t \frac{1}{r(s)} \int_a^s f(k) dk ds. \quad (6)$$

Multiplying both sides of (6) by $v(t)$ gives

$$(r(t)v^2(t)w'(t))' \leq f(t)v(t) + \alpha q(t)v(t) \int_{\tau(t)}^t \frac{ds}{r(s)} + q(t)v(t) \int_{\tau(t)}^t \frac{1}{r(s)} \int_a^s f(k) dk ds. \quad (7)$$

It is not difficult to see from (7) that

$$w(t) \leq \beta + \gamma \int_a^t \frac{ds}{r(s)v^2(s)} + \alpha \mathcal{H}_0(t) + \mathcal{H}(t),$$

where $\beta = w(a)$ and $\gamma = r(a)v^2(a)w'(a)$ are constants. Thus, in view of (4), from

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} w(t) \leq \beta + \gamma \int_a^\infty \frac{ds}{r(s)v^2(s)} + \alpha \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{H}_0(t) + \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{H}(t)$$

we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} w(t) = -\infty. \tag{8}$$

Obviously, (8) contradicts the positivity of the nonprincipal solution $v(t)$.

In case $x(t)$ is eventually negative, a similar argument reveals that $\overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow \infty} w(t) = \infty$, which again results in the same contradiction.

Theorem 2 is proved.

Remark 1. If $\tau(t) \equiv 0$, then Theorem 2 reduces to Theorem 1.

Example 1. Consider the delay differential equation

$$(t^{5/2}x'(t))' + \frac{1}{2}t^{1/2}x(\lambda t) = t^\alpha \sin(\ln t), \quad t \geq 1, \tag{9}$$

where $\alpha \in \left(-\frac{1}{2}, 0\right)$ and $\lambda \in (0, 1)$.

Let $v(t) = t^{-1/2}$ and $a = 1$, then we calculate that

$$\mathcal{H}_0(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_1^t s^{-3/2} \int_1^s \int_{\lambda\sigma}^\sigma k^{-5/2} dk d\sigma ds = \frac{2}{3} (1 - \lambda^{-3/2})(t^{-1/2} - t^{-1} - 1).$$

By tedious calculations, we also see that

$$\mathcal{H}(t) = \{k_1 \sin(\ln t) + k_2 \cos(\ln t)\} t^{\alpha+1/2} + \{k_3 \sin(\ln t) + k_4 \cos(\ln t)\} t^\alpha + (k_5 \ln t + k_6)t^{-1/2} + k_7,$$

where k_1, \dots, k_7 are real suitable constants. It is clear that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{H}_0(t) = -\frac{2}{3} (1 - \lambda^{-3/2}) < \infty, \quad \overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{H}(t) = \infty, \quad \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{H}(t) = -\infty.$$

Thus, condition (4) is also satisfied. By Theorem 2, we may conclude that equation (9) is oscillatory.

Note that if the delay is absent, then (9) takes the form

$$(t^{5/2}x')' + \frac{1}{2}t^{1/2}x = t^\alpha \sin(\ln t), \quad t \geq 1,$$

and this equation has the nonoscillatory solution $x(t) = t^{\alpha-1/2} \sin(\ln t)$.

3. Nonlinear delay equations. In this section we extend our result to Emden–Fowler type delay differential equations of the form

$$(r(t)x'(t))' + q(t)|x(\tau(t))|^{\beta-1}x(\tau(t)) = f(t), \quad \beta > 1, \tag{10}$$

and

$$(r(t)y'(t))' - p(t)|y(\sigma(t))|^{\gamma-1}y(\sigma(t)) = g(t), \quad 0 < \gamma < 1, \quad (11)$$

where r, q, f , and τ are as defined previously, $p \in C([t_0, \infty), [0, \infty))$, $\sigma, g \in C([t_0, \infty), \mathbb{R})$, $\sigma(t) \leq t$, and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(t) = \infty$.

Following [9] we consider slightly more general equations

$$(r(t)x'(t))' + q(t)F(x(\tau(t))) = f(t) \quad (12)$$

and

$$(r(t)y'(t))' - p(t)G(y(\sigma(t))) = g(t), \quad (13)$$

where F and G are continuous functions satisfying the following conditions:

(C₁) $uF(u) > 0$ and $uG(u) > 0$ for $u \neq 0$;

(C₂) (a) $\lim_{|u| \rightarrow \infty} u^{-1}F(u) > 1$, $\lim_{|u| \rightarrow 0} u^{-1}F(u) < 1$,

(b) $\lim_{|u| \rightarrow \infty} u^{-1}G(u) < 1$, $\lim_{|u| \rightarrow 0} u^{-1}G(u) > 1$.

Using (C₁) and (C₂), it is easy to see that there exist positive constants $\rho_0, \alpha_0, \delta_0, \mu_0$ such that

$$\max_{u \geq 0} [u - F(u)] = \rho_0, \quad \min_{u \leq 0} [u - F(u)] = -\alpha_0, \quad (14)$$

$$\max_{u \leq 0} [u - G(u)] = \delta_0, \quad \min_{u \geq 0} [u - G(u)] = -\mu_0.$$

The proofs of the theorems below are similar to the counterparts in [9] and the arguments developed in the previous section. We omit the proofs.

Theorem 3. Let $v(t)$ be a positive solution of (2) satisfying (3) and \mathcal{H}_0 be as in Theorem 2. Define

$$\mathcal{H}_1(t) := \int_a^t \frac{1}{r(s)v^2(s)} \int_a^s \{f(k) + [\mathcal{R}(k) + \rho_0]q(k)\} v(k) dk ds$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}_2(t) := \int_a^t \frac{1}{r(s)v^2(s)} \int_a^s \{f(k) + [\mathcal{R}(k) - \alpha_0]q(k)\} v(k) dk ds,$$

where the constants ρ_0, α_0 are defined as in (14) and

$$\mathcal{R}(t) := \int_{\tau(t)}^t \frac{1}{r(s)} \int_a^s f(k) dk ds.$$

If

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{H}_0(t) < \infty, \quad \overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{H}_j(t) = -\underline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{H}_j(t) = \infty, \quad j = 1, 2,$$

then Eq. (12) is oscillatory.

When $F(x) = |x|^{\beta-1}x, \beta > 1$, (12) reduces to the Emden – Fowler superlinear delay equation (10). Then, we have the following result.

Theorem 4. *Let $v(t)$ be a positive solution of (2) satisfying (3). If*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{H}_0(t) < \infty, \quad \overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{H}_+(t) = - \underline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{H}_-(t) = \infty,$$

where

$$\mathcal{H}_{\pm}(t) := \int_a^t \frac{1}{r(s)v^2(s)} \int_a^s \left\{ f(k) + \left[\mathcal{R}(k) \pm (\beta - 1)\beta^{\beta/(1-\beta)} \right] q(k) \right\} v(k) dk ds,$$

then (10) is oscillatory.

Remark. If we take $\tau(t) \equiv t$ in (10), then Theorem 4 reduces to Corollary 2.3 in [9].

Theorem 5. *Let*

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_0(t) := \int_a^t \frac{1}{r(s)\tilde{v}^2(s)} \int_a^s p(k)\tilde{v}(k) \int_{\sigma(k)}^k \frac{1}{r(\eta)} d\eta dk ds$$

and that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_2(t) &:= \int_a^t \frac{1}{r(s)\tilde{v}^2(s)} \int_a^s \left\{ g(k) - [\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(k) - \mu_0]p(k) \right\} \tilde{v}(k) dk ds, \\ \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_3(t) &:= \int_a^t \frac{1}{r(s)\tilde{v}^2(s)} \int_a^s \left\{ g(k) - [\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(k) + \delta_0]p(k) \right\} \tilde{v}(k) dk ds, \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{v}(t)$ is a nonprincipal solution of

$$(r(t)y')' - p(t)y = 0,$$

the constants μ_0, δ_0 are as in (14), and

$$\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(t) := \int_{\sigma(t)}^t \frac{1}{r(s)} \int_a^s g(k) dk ds.$$

If

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_0(t) < \infty \tag{15}$$

and

$$\overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_j(t) = - \underline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_j(t) = \infty, \quad j = 2, 3,$$

then Eq. (13) is oscillatory.

If $G(y) = |y|^{\gamma-1}y$, $0 < \gamma < 1$, then (13) reduces to the Emden–Fowler sublinear delay equation (11). The following theorem is immediate.

Theorem 6. *Let (15) hold. If*

$$\overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_+(t) = - \underline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_-(t) = \infty,$$

where

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\pm}(t) := \int_a^t \frac{1}{r(s)\tilde{v}^2(s)} \int_a^s \left\{ g(k) - \left[\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(k) \pm (1-\gamma)\gamma^{\gamma/(1-\gamma)} \right] q(k) \right\} \tilde{v}(k) dk ds,$$

then (11) is oscillatory.

Remark 3. If we take $\sigma(t) \equiv t$ in (11), then Theorem 6 reduces to Corollary 2.4 in [9].

References

1. Agarwal R. P., Grace S. R., O'Regan D. Oscillation theory for second order linear, half-linear, superlinear and sublinear dynamic equations. — Dordrecht: Kluwer Acad. Publ., 2002.
2. Chen S. Asymptotic integrations of nonoscillatory second order differential equations // Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. — 1991. — **327**. — P. 853–865.
3. Došlý O. Principal solutions and transformations of linear Hamiltonian systems (English summary) // Arch. Math. (Brno). — 1992. — **28**. — P. 113–120.
4. Došlý O., Řehák P. Half-linear differential equations. — Heidelberg: Elsevier Ltd., 2005.
5. Hartman P. Ordinary differential equations. — Philadelphia: SIAM, 2002.
6. Kelley W., Peterson A. The theory of differential equations classical and qualitative. — New Jersey: Pearson Education Inc., 2004.
7. Morse M., Leighton W. Singular quadratic functionals // Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. — 1936. — **40**. — P. 252–286.
8. Özbekler A., Zafer A. Principal and nonprincipal solutions of impulsive differential equations with applications // Appl. Math. and Comput. — 2010. — **216**. — P. 1158–1168.
9. Özbekler A., Wong J. S. W., Zafer A. Forced oscillation of second-order nonlinear differential equations with positive and negative coefficients // Appl. Math. Lett. — 2011. — **24**. — P. 1225–1230.
10. Trench W. F. Linear perturbation of a nonoscillatory second order differential equation // Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. — 1986. — **97**. — P. 423–428.
11. Wong J. S. W. On the generalized Emden–Fowler equation // SIAM Rev. — 1975. — **17**. — P. 339–360.
12. Wong J. S. W. Second order nonlinear forced oscillations // SIAM J. Math. Anal. — 1988. — **19**. — P. 667–675.
13. Wong J. S. W. An oscillation theorem for second order sublinear differential equations // Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. — 1990. — **110**. — P. 633–637.
14. Wong J. S. W. Oscillation criteria for forced second-order linear differential equation // J. Math. Anal. and Appl. — 1999. — **231**. — P. 235–240.
15. Zafer A. On oscillation and nonoscillation of second order dynamic equations // Appl. Math. Lett. — 2009. — **22**. — P. 136–141.

Received 13.10.15