

H. K. Musaev (Baku State Univ., Azerbaijan),

V. B. Shakhmurov (Okan Univ., Istanbul, Turkey)

***B*-COERCIVE CONVOLUTION EQUATIONS IN WEIGHTED FUNCTION SPACES AND APPLICATIONS**

***B*-КОЕРЦИТИВНІ РІВНЯННЯ В ЗГОРТКАХ У ВАГОВИХ ФУНКЦІОНАЛЬНИХ ПРОСТОРАХ ТА ЇХ ЗАСТОСУВАННЯ**

We study the B -separability properties of elliptic convolution operators in weighted Besov spaces and establish sharp estimates for the resolvents of the convolution operators. As a result, it is shown that these operators are positive and, in addition, play the role of negative generators of analytic semigroups. Moreover, the maximal B -regularity properties of the Cauchy problem for a parabolic convolution equation are established. Finally, these results are applied to obtain the maximal regularity properties for anisotropic integro-differential equations and the system of infinitely many convolution equations.

Вивчаються властивості B -сепарабельності еліптичних операторів згортки у зважених просторах Бесова. Встановлено точні оцінки для резольвент операторів згортки. В результаті показано, що ці оператори є додатними, а також від'ємними генераторами аналітичних напівгруп. Крім того, встановлено властивості максимальної B -регулярності задачі Коші для параболічного рівняння у згортках. Ці результати застосовано до отримання властивостей максимальної регулярності для анізотропних інтегро-диференціальних рівнянь та для систем нескінченного числа рівнянь у згортках.

Introduction. In recent years, maximal regularity properties for differential operator equations have been studied extensively, e.g., in [1–8, 12, 13, 18, 20, 22, 26, 28, 29]. Moreover, convolution-differential equations (CDEs) have been investigated, e.g., in [10, 16, 19, 21, 22, 27] and the references therein. However, convolution differential-operator equations (CDOEs) is relatively less investigated subject. In [14, 18, 21, 23, 24] parabolic type CDEs with operator coefficient was investigated. In [15, 21] regularity properties of degenerate CDOEs studied in weighted L_p spaces. In contrary to these, the main aim of the present paper is to obtain separability property of the elliptic CDOE

$$(L + \lambda)u = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq l} a_\alpha * D^\alpha u + A * u + \lambda u = f(x) \quad (1.1)$$

and the maximal regularity property of the Cauchy problem for the parabolic CDOE

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \sum_{|\alpha| \leq l} a_\alpha * D^\alpha u + A * u = f(t, x), \quad u(0, x) = 0$$

in E -valued weighted Besov spaces, where E is a Banach space, $A = A(x)$ is a linear operator in E , $a_\alpha = a_\alpha(x)$ are complex-valued functions and λ is a complex spectral parameter.

By using the Fourier multiplier theorems in weighted Banach valued Besov spaces $B_{p,q,\gamma}^s(R^n; E)$, in Section 2 we derive the coercive estimate of resolvent and particularly and we show that this operator is positive. Namely, we prove that for all $f \in B_{p,q,\gamma}^s(R^n; E)$ there is a unique solution

$$u \in B_{p,q,\gamma}^{l,s}(R^n; E(A), E)$$

of the problem (1.1) and the following uniformly estimate holds:

$$\sum_{|\alpha| \leq l} |\lambda|^{1-\frac{|\alpha|}{l}} \|a_\alpha * D^\alpha u\|_{B_{p,q,\gamma}^s(R^n;E)} + \|A * u\|_{B_{p,q,\gamma}^s(R^n;E)} + |\lambda| \|u\|_{B_{p,q,\gamma}^s(R^n;E)} \leq C \|f\|_{B_{p,q,\gamma}^s(R^n;E)}.$$

Particularly, this result implies that if $f \in B_{p,q,\gamma}^s(R^n; E)$, then all terms of the equations (1.1) are also from $B_{p,q,\gamma}^s(R^n; E)$, (i.e., all terms are separated). This important effect allows to obtain some spectral properties of the convolution operator Q .

Moreover, under some assumptions we conclude that the corresponding convolution operator Q has a domain coinciding with the Besov space

$$B_{p,q,\gamma}^{l,s}(R^n; E(A), E) = B_{p,q,\gamma}^{l,s}(R^n; E) \cap B_{p,q,\gamma}^s(R^n; E(A))$$

and there are positive constants C_1 and C_2 such that

$$C_1 \|u\|_{B_{p,q,\gamma}^{l,s}(R^n;E(A),E)} \leq \|Qu\|_{B_{p,q,\gamma}^s(R^n;E)} \leq C_2 \|u\|_{B_{p,q,\gamma}^{l,s}(R^n;E(A),E)}$$

for all $u \in B_{p,q,\gamma}^{l,s}(R^n; E(A), E)$.

By using the positivity properties of the convolution operator Q and the semigroup theory, in Section 3 we conclude that the above Cauchy problem has a unique solution satisfying the coercive estimate. In Sections 4 and 5, by putting concrete vector spaces instead of E and concrete linear operators instead of A , the maximal regularity properties of convolution differential operators are obtained in vector valued Besov spaces.

1. Notations and background. Let E be a Banach space and $\gamma = \gamma(x)$, $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$, be a positive measurable weighted function on a measurable subset $\Omega \subset R^n$. Let $L_{p,\gamma}(\Omega; E)$ denote the space of strongly E -valued functions that are defined on Ω with the norm

$$\|f\|_{L_{p,\gamma}(\Omega;E)} = \|f\|_{L_p(E;\gamma)} = \int_{\Omega} \left(\|f(x)\|_E^p \gamma(x) dx \right)^{1/p}, \quad 1 \leq p < \infty.$$

For $\gamma(x) \equiv 1$, the space $L_{p,\gamma}(\Omega; E)$ will be denoted by $L_p = L_p(\Omega; E)$,

$$\|f\|_{L_{\infty,\gamma}(\Omega;E)} = \|f\|_{L_{\infty}(E;\gamma)} = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in \Omega} \left[\gamma(x) \|f(x)\|_E \right].$$

The weighted $\gamma(x)$ we will consider satisfy an A_p condition; i.e., $\gamma(x) \in A_p$, $1 < p < \infty$, if there is a positive constant C such that

$$\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \gamma(x) dx \right) \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \gamma^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}(x) dx \right)^{p-1} \leq C$$

for all compacts $Q \subset R^n$.

Let \mathbb{C} be the set of complex numbers and

$$S_\varphi = \{ \lambda; \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, |\arg \lambda| \leq \varphi \} \cup \{0\}, \quad 0 \leq \varphi < \pi.$$

Let E_1 and E_2 be two Banach spaces and $L(E_1, E_2)$ denotes the spaces of bounded linear operators acting from E_1 to E_2 . For $E_1 = E_2 = E$ it will be denoted by $L(E)$.

A closed linear operator function $A = A(x)$ is said to be uniformly φ -positive in Banach space E , if $D(A(x))$ is dense in E and does not depend on x and there is a positive constant M so that

$$\left\| (A(x) + \lambda I)^{-1} \right\|_{L(E)} \leq M(1 + |\lambda|)^{-1}$$

for every $x \in R^n$ and $\lambda \in S_\varphi, \varphi \in [0, \pi)$, where I is an identity operator in E . Sometimes instead of $A + \lambda I$ we will write $A + \lambda$ and it will be denoted by A_λ . It is known [25] (§ 1.15.1) that there exists fractional powers A^θ of the positive operator A .

Let $E(A^\theta)$ denote the space $D(A^\theta)$ with graphical norm

$$\|u\|_{E(A^\theta)} = \left(\|u\|_E^p + \|A^\theta u\|_E^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad 1 \leq p < \infty, \quad -\infty < \theta < \infty.$$

Let $S = S(R^n; E)$, or simply $S(E)$ denotes Schwarz class, i.e., the space of E -valued rapidly decreasing smooth functions on R^n equipped with its usual topology generated by seminorms. $S(R^n; \mathbb{C})$ denoted by just S .

Let $S'(R^n; E)$ denote the space of all continuous linear operators, $L : S \rightarrow E$, equipped with the bounded convergence topology. Recall $S(R^n; E)$ is norm dense in $B_{p,q,\gamma}^s(R^n; E)$ when

$$1 \leq p < \infty, \quad 1 \leq q < \infty, \quad \gamma \in A_p.$$

We shall use Fourier analytic definition of weighted Besov spaces in this study. Therefore, we need to consider some subsets $\{J_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$ and $\{I_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$ of R^n . Let $\{J_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$ given by

$$J_0 = \{t \in R^n : |t| \leq 1\}, \quad J_k = \{t \in R^n : 2^{k-1} \leq |t| \leq 2^k\} \quad \text{for } k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Enlarge each J_k to form a sequence $\{I_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$ of overlapping subsets defined by

$$I_0 = \{t \in R^n : |t| \leq 2\}, \quad I_k = \{t \in R^n : 2^{k-1} \leq |t| \leq 2^{k+1}\} \quad \text{for } k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Next, we define the unity $\{\varphi_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ of functions from $S(R^n; R)$, where $\mathbb{N}_0 = \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$, $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ is the of natural numbers. Let $\psi \in S(R, R)$ be nonnegative function with support in $[2^{-1}, 2]$, which satisfies

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^\infty \psi(2^{-k}s) = 1 \quad \text{for } s \in R \setminus \{0\}$$

and

$$\varphi_k(t) = \psi(2^{-k}|t|), \quad \varphi_0(t) = 1 - \sum_{k=1}^\infty \varphi_k(t) \quad \text{for } k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad t \in R^n.$$

Let $\varphi_k \equiv 0$ if $k < 0$. Later, we will need the following useful properties:

$$\text{supp } \varphi_k \subset \bar{I}_k \quad \text{for each } k \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \varphi_k(s) = 1 \quad \text{for each } s \in R^n,$$

$$J_m \cap \text{supp } \varphi_k = \emptyset \quad \text{if } |m - k| > 1,$$

$$\varphi_{k-1}(s) + \varphi_k(s) + \varphi_{k+1}(s) = 1 \quad \text{for each } s \in \text{supp } \varphi_k, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Let $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$ and $s \in R$. The weighted Besov space is the set of all functions $f \in S'(R; E)$ for which

$$\|f\|_{B_{p,q}^s(E;\gamma)} = \|f\|_{B_{p,q,\gamma}(R^n;E)} = \left\| \left\{ 2^{ks} (\check{\varphi}_k * f) \right\}_{k=0}^{\infty} \right\|_{l_q(L_{p,\gamma}(R^n;E))} \equiv$$

$$\equiv \begin{cases} \left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{ksq} \|\check{\varphi}_k * f\|_{L_{p,\gamma}(R^n;E)}^q \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} & \text{if } q \neq \infty, \\ \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \left[2^{ks} \|\check{\varphi}_k * f\|_{L_{p,\gamma}(R^n;E)} \right] & \text{if } q = \infty \end{cases}$$

is finite; here p and s are main and smoothness indexes respectively.

Let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$, $|\alpha| = \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k$, where α_k are integers and $D^\alpha = D_1^{\alpha_1} D_2^{\alpha_2} \dots D_n^{\alpha_n}$. An E -valued generalized function $D^\alpha f$ is called a generalized derivative in the sense of Schwarz distributions of the function $f \in S(R^n; E)$, equality

$$\langle D^\alpha f, \varphi \rangle = (-1)^{|\alpha|} \langle f, D^\alpha \varphi \rangle$$

holds for all $\varphi \in S$.

Let F denote the Fourier transform. Through this section the Fourier transformation of a function f will be denoted by \hat{f} , $Ff = \hat{f}$ and $F^{-1}f = \check{f}$. It is known that

$$F(D_x^\alpha f) = (i\xi_1)^{\alpha_1} \dots (i\xi_n)^{\alpha_n} \hat{f}, \quad D_\xi^\alpha (F(f)) = F\left[(-ix_1)^{\alpha_1} \dots (-ix_n)^{\alpha_n} f\right]$$

for all $f \in S'(R^n; E)$, where $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n) \in R^n$.

Let E_0 and E be two Banach spaces and E_0 is continuously and densely embedded to E . Let l is a positive integer and $D_k^l = \frac{\partial^l}{\partial x_k^l}$. Consider the E -valued function spaces defined by

$$B_{p,q,\gamma}^{l,s}(\Omega; E_0, E) = \left\{ u : u \in B_{p,q,\gamma}^s(\Omega; E_0), D_k^l u \in B_{p,q,\gamma}^s(\Omega; E), \right.$$

$$\left. \|u\|_{B_{p,q,\gamma}^{l,s}(\Omega; E_0, E)} = \|u\|_{B_{p,q,\gamma}^s(\Omega; E_0)} + \sum_{k=1}^n \|D_k^l u\|_{B_{p,q,\gamma}^s(\Omega; E)} < \infty \right\}.$$

A function $u \in B_{p,q,\gamma}^{l,s}(R^n; E(A), E)$ satisfying the equation (1.1) a.e. on R^n is called a solution of (1.1).

The CDOE (1.1) is said to be weighted B -separable (or $B_{p,q,\gamma}^s(R^n; E)$ -separable) if for all $f \in B_{p,q,\gamma}^s(R^n; E)$ it has a unique solution $u \in B_{p,q,\gamma}^{l,s}(R^n; E(A), E)$ and

$$\sum_{|\alpha| \leq l} \|a_\alpha * D^\alpha u\|_{B_{p,q,\gamma}^s(R^n;E)} + \|A * u\|_{B_{p,q,\gamma}^s(R^n;E)} \leq C \|f\|_{B_{p,q,\gamma}^s(R^n;E)}.$$

Let E_1 and E_2 be two Banach spaces. A function $\Psi \in L_\infty(R^n; L(E_1, E_2))$ is called a multiplier from $B_{p,q,\gamma}^s(R^n; E_1)$ to $B_{p,q,\gamma}^s(R^n; E_2)$ for $p \in (1, \infty)$ and $q \in [1, \infty]$ if the map $u \rightarrow Ku = F^{-1}\Psi(\xi)Fu$, $u \in S(R^n; E_1)$ are well defined and extends to a bounded linear operator

$$K : B_{p,q,\gamma}^s(R^n; E_1) \rightarrow B_{p,q,\gamma}^s(R^n; E_2).$$

$M_p^q(E_1, E_2, s)$ denotes the collection of multiplier from $B_{p,q}^s(R^n; E_1)$ to $B_{p,q}^s(R^n; E_2)$. Let

$$\Phi_h = \{ \Psi_h \in M_p^q(E_1, E_2, s), h \in M(h) \}.$$

We say that Φ_h is a collection of uniformly bounded multipliers (UBM) if there exists a positive constant M independent on $h \in M(h)$ such that

$$\|F^{-1}\Psi_h F u\|_{B_{p,q}^s(R^n; E_2)} \leq M \|u\|_{B_{p,q}^s(R^n; E_1)}$$

for all $h \in M(h)$ and $u \in S(R^n; E_1)$.

Definition 1.1. Let E be a Banach space and $1 \leq p \leq 2$. Let E so that

$$\|Ff\|_{L_{p'}(R^n; E)} \leq C \|f\|_{L_p(R^n; E)} \quad \text{for each } f \in S(R^n, E),$$

where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$. Then the space E is said to be the Fourier type p .

Definition 1.2. Let $A = A(x)$, $x \in R^n$, be a closed linear operator in E with domain definition $D(A)$ independent of x . Then, the Fourier transformation of $A(x)$ is defined as

$$\langle \hat{A}u, \varphi \rangle = \langle Au, \hat{\varphi} \rangle, \quad u \in D(A), \quad \varphi \in S(R^n).$$

(For details see [3, p. 7].)

Let $h \in R, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $e_k, k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, be standart unit vectors of R^n . Let

$$\Delta_k(h)f(x) = f(x + he_k) - f(x).$$

Definition 1.3. Let $A = A(x)$ be a closed linear operator in E with the domain definition $D(A)$ independent of x . It is differentiable if

$$\left(\frac{\partial A}{\partial x_k} \right) u = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|\Delta_k(h)A(x)u\|_E}{h} < \infty, \quad u \in D(A).$$

Let $A = A(x)$, $x \in R^n$, be a closed linear operator in E with domain definition $D(A)$ independent of x and $u \in S^n(R^n; E(A))$. We define the convolution $A * u$ in the distribution sense (see, e.g., [3]).

The space $C^{(m)}(\Omega; E)$ will denote the spaces of E -valued uniformly bounded, m -times continuously differentiable functions on Ω .

Let us first recall an important fact [11] (Corollary 4.11) that will used in this section.

Theorem 1.1. Let $p, q \in [1, \infty]$. If $\Psi \in C^l(\mathbb{R}^n, L(E_1, E_2))$ satisfies for some positive constant K ,

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left\| (1 + |x|)^{|\alpha|} D^\alpha \Psi(x) \right\|_{L(E_1, E_2)} \leq K$$

for each multiindex α with $|\alpha| \leq l$, then Ψ is Fourier multiplier from $B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, E_1)$ to $B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, E_2)$ provided one of the following conditions hold:

- (a) E_1 and E_2 are arbitrary Banach spaces and $l = n + 1$;
- (b) E_1 and E_2 are uniformly convex Banach spaces and $l = n$;
- (c) E_1 and E_2 have Fourier type p and $l = \left\lceil \frac{n}{p} \right\rceil + 1$.

2. Convolution-elliptic operator equations. In this section we present the separability properties of the CDOE

$$(L + \lambda)u = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq l} a_\alpha * D^\alpha u + A * u + \lambda u = f(x),$$

where $A = A(x)$ is a linear operator in a Banach space E , $a_\alpha = a_\alpha(x)$ are complex valued functions and λ is a complex parameter.

We find the sufficient conditions under which the problem is separable in $B_{p,q,\gamma}^s(\mathbb{R}^n; E)$.

Condition 2.1. Let $a_\alpha \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that the following conditions satisfied:

$$L(\xi) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq l} \hat{a}_\alpha(\xi) (i\xi)^\alpha \in S_{\varphi_1}, \quad |L(\xi)| \geq C |a_0(\xi)| |\xi|^l,$$

$$|a_0(\xi)| = \max_{\alpha} |\hat{a}_\alpha(\xi)|.$$

For proving the main result of this section let at first, show the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. Suppose the Condition 2.1 holds. Assume $\hat{A}(\xi)$ is an uniformly φ -positive operator in a Banach space E with $0 < \varphi < \pi - \varphi_1$. Then operator functions

$$\sigma_0(\xi, \lambda) = \lambda G(\xi, \lambda), \quad \sigma_1(\xi, \lambda) = \hat{A}(\xi) G(\xi, \lambda),$$

$$\sigma_2(\xi, \lambda) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq l} |\lambda|^{1 - \frac{|\alpha|}{l}} \hat{a}_\alpha(\xi) (i\xi)^\alpha G(\xi, \lambda)$$

are uniformly bounded, where

$$G(\xi, \lambda) = \left[\hat{A}(\xi) + \lambda + L(\xi) \right]^{-1}.$$

Proof. Let us note that for the sake of simplicity we shall note change constants in every step. By virtue of [9] (Lemma 2.3), for $L(\xi) \in S_{\varphi_1}$, $\lambda \in S_\varphi$ and $\varphi_1 + \varphi < \pi$ there is a positive constant C so that

$$|\lambda + L(\xi)| \geq C (|\lambda| + |L(\xi)|). \tag{2.1}$$

By using the resolvent properties of positive operators and by (2.1) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\sigma_0(\xi, \lambda)\|_{L(E)} &\leq M|\lambda| \left[1 + |\lambda| + |L(\xi)|\right]^{-1} \leq M_0, \\ \|\sigma_1(\xi, \lambda)\|_{L(E)} &= \left\| I - (\lambda + L(\xi))G(\xi, \lambda) \right\|_{L(E)} \leq \\ &\leq 1 + |\lambda + L(\xi)| \|G(\xi, \lambda)\|_{L(E)} \leq 1 + M|\lambda + L(\xi)|(1 + |\lambda + L(\xi)|)^{-1} \leq M_1. \end{aligned}$$

Next, let us consider σ_2 . It is clear to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\sigma_2(\xi, \lambda)\|_{L(E)} &= \left\| \sum_{|\alpha| \leq l} |\lambda|^{1 - \frac{|\alpha|}{t}} \hat{a}_\alpha(\xi) (i\xi)^\alpha G(\xi, \lambda) \right\|_{L(E)} \leq \\ &\leq C \sum_{|\alpha| \leq l} |\lambda| |\hat{a}_\alpha(\xi)| \left[|\xi| |\lambda|^{-\frac{1}{t}} \right]^{|\alpha|} \|G(\xi, \lambda)\|_{L(E)} \leq \\ &\leq C \sum_{|\alpha| \leq l} |\lambda| |\hat{a}_\alpha(\xi)| \prod_{k=1}^n \left[|\xi_k| |\lambda|^{-\frac{1}{t}} \right]^{\alpha_k} \|G(\xi, \lambda)\|_{L(E)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\sigma_2(\xi, \lambda)$ is bounded if

$$\sum_{|\alpha| \leq l} |\lambda| |\hat{a}_\alpha(\xi)| \prod_{k=1}^n \left[|\xi_k| |\lambda|^{-\frac{1}{t}} \right]^{\alpha_k} \|G(\xi, \lambda)\|_{L(E)} \leq C.$$

Since \hat{A} is uniformly positive and $L(\xi) \in S_{\varphi_1}$, by using the well known inequalities

$$y_1^{\alpha_1} y_2^{\alpha_2} \dots y_n^{\alpha_n} \leq C \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^n y_k^l \right), \quad y_k \geq 0, \quad |\alpha| \leq l, \tag{2.2}$$

for $y_k = \left(|\lambda|^{-\frac{1}{t}} |\xi_k| \right)^{\alpha_k}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq l} |\lambda| |\hat{a}_\alpha(\xi)| \prod_{k=1}^n \left[|\xi_k| |\lambda|^{-\frac{1}{t}} \right]^{\alpha_k} \|G(\xi, \lambda)\|_{L(E)} &\leq \\ &\leq C \sum_{|\alpha| \leq l} |\lambda| |\hat{a}_\alpha(\xi)| \left[1 + \sum_{k=1}^n |\xi_k|^l |\lambda|^{-1} \right] \left[1 + |\lambda + L(\xi)| \right]^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account Condition 2.1 and by (2.2) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\sigma_2(\xi, \lambda)\|_{L(E)} &\leq C \left[|\lambda| + \sum_{k=1}^n |\xi_k|^l \right] \left[1 + |\lambda| + |L(\xi)| \right]^{-1} \leq \\ &\leq C \left[|\lambda| + \sum_{k=1}^n |\xi_k|^l \right] \left[1 + |\lambda| + \sum_{|\alpha| \leq l} |\hat{a}_\alpha(\xi)| |\xi^\alpha| \right]^{-1} \leq C. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.2. *Let all conditions of Lemma 2.1 hold. Let $\hat{a}_\alpha \in C^{(n)}(R^n)$, $[D^\beta \hat{A}(\xi)] \hat{A}^{-1}(\xi) \in C(R^n; L(E))$ for $|\beta| \leq n+1$ and*

$$\left\| [D^\beta \hat{A}(\xi)] \hat{A}^{-1}(\xi) \right\|_{L(E)} \leq C_1. \quad (2.3)$$

Then operator functions $D^\beta \sigma_j(\xi, \lambda)$, $j = 0, 1, 2$, are uniformly bounded.

Proof. Let us first estimate $\frac{\partial \sigma_1}{\partial \xi_i}$. It is easy to see that

$$|D^\beta \hat{a}_\alpha(\xi)| \leq C_2, \quad |\beta| \leq n+1. \quad (2.4)$$

Really,

$$\left\| \frac{\partial \sigma_1}{\partial \xi_i} \right\|_{L(E)} \leq \|I_1\|_{L(E)} + \|I_2\|_{L(E)} + \|I_3\|_{L(E)},$$

where

$$I_1 = \left[\frac{\partial \hat{A}(\xi)}{\partial \xi_i} \right] G(\xi, \lambda), \quad I_2 = \hat{A}(\xi) \left[\frac{\partial \hat{A}(\xi)}{\partial \xi_i} \right] [G(\xi, \lambda)]^2$$

and

$$I_3 = \hat{A}(\xi) \left[\frac{\partial L(\xi)}{\partial \xi_i} \right] [G(\xi, \lambda)]^2.$$

By using (2.3) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_1\|_{L(E)} &= \left\| \left[\frac{\partial \hat{A}(\xi)}{\partial \xi_i} \right] \hat{A}^{-1}(\xi) \hat{A}(\xi) G(\xi, \lambda) \right\|_{L(E)} \leq \\ &\leq \left\| \left[\frac{\partial \hat{A}(\xi)}{\partial \xi_i} \right] \hat{A}^{-1}(\xi) \right\|_{L(E)} \|\sigma_1(\xi, \lambda)\|_{L(E)} \leq C. \end{aligned}$$

Due to resolvent properties of \hat{A} and by using (2.3) we obtain

$$\|I_2\|_{L(E)} \leq \left\| \left[\frac{\partial \hat{A}(\xi)}{\partial \xi_i} \right] \hat{A}^{-1}(\xi) \right\|_{L(E)} \|\sigma_1(\xi, \lambda)\|_{L(E)}^2 \leq C.$$

By using (2.3), (2.4) for $\lambda \in S_\varphi$ and $L(\xi) \in S_{\varphi_1}$ with $\varphi_1 + \varphi < \pi$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_3\|_{L(E)} &\leq \left| \frac{\partial L(\xi)}{\partial \xi_i} \right| \|G(\xi, \lambda)\|_{L(E)} \|\sigma_1(\xi, \lambda)\|_{L(E)} \leq \\ &\leq C \sum_{|\alpha| \leq l} \left[\left| \frac{\partial \hat{a}_\alpha(\xi)}{\partial \xi_i} \right| |\xi^\alpha| + |\hat{a}_\alpha(\xi)| \left| \xi_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \xi_i^{\alpha_i-1} \dots \xi_n^{\alpha_n} \right| \right] [1 + |\lambda + L(\xi)|]^{-1} \leq C. \end{aligned}$$

Then by using (2.2) we get from above $\|I_3\|_{L(E)} \leq C$. In a similar way the uniform boundedness of $\sigma_0(\xi, \lambda)$ is proved. Next we shall prove $\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_i} \sigma_2(\xi, \lambda)$ is uniformly bounded. Similarly,

$$\left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_i} \sigma_2(\xi, \lambda) \right\|_{L(E)} \leq \|J_1\|_{L(E)} + \|J_2\|_{L(E)} + \|J_3\|_{L(E)} + \|J_4\|_{L(E)},$$

where

$$J_1 = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq l} |\lambda|^{1-\frac{|\alpha|}{t}} \left[\frac{\partial \hat{a}_\alpha(\xi)}{\partial \xi_i} \right] (i\xi)^\alpha G(\xi, \lambda),$$

$$J_2 = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq l} |\lambda|^{1-\frac{|\alpha|}{t}} \hat{a}_\alpha(\xi) i\alpha_i \xi_i^{-1} (i\xi)^\alpha G(\xi, \lambda),$$

$$J_3 = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq l} |\lambda|^{1-\frac{|\alpha|}{t}} \hat{a}_\alpha(\xi) (i\xi)^\alpha \left[\frac{\partial L(\xi)}{\partial \xi_i} \right] [G(\xi, \lambda)]^2$$

and

$$J_4 = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq l} |\lambda|^{1-\frac{|\alpha|}{t}} \hat{a}_\alpha(\xi) (i\xi)^\alpha \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_i} \hat{A}(\xi) \right] [G(\xi, \lambda)]^2.$$

Let us first show J_1 is uniformly bounded. Since,

$$\|J_1\|_{L(E)} \leq \sum_{|\alpha| \leq l} \left| \frac{\partial \hat{a}_\alpha(\xi)}{\partial \xi_i} \right| \left\| |\lambda|^{1-\frac{|\alpha|}{t}} (i\xi)^\alpha G(\xi, \lambda) \right\|_{L(E)}.$$

By resolvent properties of \hat{A} , by virtue of (2.1), (2.2) and (2.4) we obtain $\|J_1\|_{L(E)} \leq C$. In a similar way by using (2.1), (2.2) and (2.4) we get

$$\|J_k\|_{L(E)} \leq C, \quad k = 2, 3, 4.$$

Hence, operator functions $\frac{\partial \sigma_j}{\partial \xi_i}$, $j = 0, 1, 2$, are uniformly bounded. Now, it remains to show $D^\beta \sigma_j(\xi, \lambda)$ are uniformly bounded for $|\beta| \leq n + 1$. It is clear to see that

$$\left\| \frac{\partial^2 \sigma_1}{\partial \xi_i^2} \right\|_{L(E)} \leq \|I_4\|_{L(E)} + \|I_5\|_{L(E)} + \|I_6\|_{L(E)},$$

where

$$I_4 = \frac{\partial^2 \hat{A}(\xi)}{\partial \xi_i^2} G(\xi, \lambda) - \left[\frac{\partial \hat{A}(\xi)}{\partial \xi_i} \right]^2 [G(\xi, \lambda)]^2 - \frac{\partial \hat{A}(\xi)}{\partial \xi_i} \frac{\partial L(\xi)}{\partial \xi_i} [G(\xi, \lambda)]^2,$$

$$I_5 = \left[\frac{\partial \hat{A}(\xi)}{\partial \xi_i} \right]^2 [G(\xi, \lambda)]^2 + \frac{\partial \hat{A}(\xi)}{\partial \xi_i} \frac{\partial^2 \hat{A}(\xi)}{\partial \xi_i^2} [G(\xi, \lambda)]^2 -$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -2\hat{A}(\xi) \left[\frac{\partial \hat{A}(\xi)}{\partial \xi_i} \hat{A}(\xi) \right] \left[\frac{\partial \hat{A}(\xi)}{\partial \xi_i} + \frac{\partial L(\xi)}{\partial \xi_i} \right] [G(\xi, \lambda)]^3 + \\
 & + \hat{A}(\xi) \frac{\partial L(\xi)}{\partial \xi_i} \left[\frac{\partial \hat{A}(\xi)}{\partial \xi_i} + \frac{\partial L(\xi)}{\partial \xi_i} \right] [G(\xi, \lambda)]^3, \\
 I_6 & = \frac{\partial \hat{A}(\xi)}{\partial \xi_i} \frac{\partial L(\xi)}{\partial \xi_i} [G(\xi, \lambda)]^2 + \hat{A}(\xi) \frac{\partial^2 L(\xi)}{\partial \xi_i^2} [G(\xi, \lambda)]^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

By using resolvent properties of positive operator $\hat{A}(\xi)$ and conditions of lemma we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|I_4\|_{L(E)} & \leq \left\| \frac{\partial^2 \hat{A}(\xi)}{\partial \xi_i^2} \hat{A}^{-1}(\xi) \right\| \|\sigma_1\| + \left\| \frac{\partial \hat{A}(\xi)}{\partial \xi_i} \hat{A}^{-1}(\xi) \right\|^2 \|\sigma_1\|^2 + \\
 & + 2 \|\sigma_1\| \left\| \frac{\partial \hat{A}(\xi)}{\partial \xi_i} \hat{A}^{-1}(\xi) \right\| \left\| \left[\frac{\partial \hat{A}(\xi)}{\partial \xi_i} + \frac{\partial L}{\partial \xi_i} \right] \hat{A}^{-1}(\xi) \right\| \|\sigma_1\|^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then by using (2.1)–(2.4) we obtain from above $\|I_4\|_{L(E)} \leq M$. By using the same arguments we get

$$\|I_k\|_{L(E)} \leq M, \quad k = 5, 6.$$

From the representations of $\sigma_j(\xi, \lambda)$, $j = 0, 1, 2$, it easy to see that operator functions $D^\beta \sigma_j(\xi, \lambda)$ contain the similar terms as I_k ; namely, the functions $D^\beta \sigma_j(\xi, \lambda)$ will be represented as the combinations of principal terms

$$\left[D^m \hat{A}(\xi) + \xi^\sigma D^\gamma \hat{a}_\alpha(\xi) \right] \left[\hat{A}(\xi) + \lambda + L(\xi) \right]^{-|\beta|}, \tag{2.5}$$

where $|m| \leq |\beta|$ and $|\sigma| + |\gamma| \leq |\beta|$. So, by using the similar arguments as above we obtain

$$\|D^\beta \sigma_j(\xi, \lambda)\| \leq C, \quad j = 0, 1, 2.$$

Hence, we get operator functions $D^\beta \sigma_j(\xi, \lambda)$ are uniformly bounded for each multiindex $|\beta| \leq n + 1$.

Lemma 2.3. *Let all conditions of Lemma 2.2 are satisfied and*

$$|\xi|^\eta |D^\beta \hat{a}_\alpha(\xi)| \leq C_1, \quad |\xi|^\eta \left\| [D^\beta \hat{A}(\xi)] \hat{A}^{-1}(\xi) \right\|_{L(E)} \leq C_2. \tag{2.6}$$

Then the estimates hold

$$|\xi|^\eta \|D^\beta \sigma_j(\xi, \lambda)\|_{L(E)} \leq M, \quad j = 0, 1, 2,$$

for all $\eta \leq |\beta| \leq n + 1$ and $\xi \in R^n$.

Proof. Since, $D^\beta \sigma_j(\xi, \lambda)$ is in the form of (2.5), by reasoning as in Lemma 2.2, by (2.6) we have

$$\left\| |\xi_i|^\eta D^\beta \sigma_j(\xi, \lambda) \right\|_{L(E)} \leq C, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

that in its turn implies assertion of Lemma 2.3.

From Lemma 2.3 we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 2.1. *Let all conditions of Lemma 2.3 are satisfied, $p, q \in [1, \infty)$. Then operator-functions $\sigma_j(\xi, \lambda)$, $j = 0, 1, 2$, are UBM in $B_{p,q,\gamma}^s(R^n; E)$.*

Proof. To prove $\sigma_j(\xi, \lambda)$ are UBM in $B_{p,q,\gamma}^s(R^n; E)$, we need the following estimates:

$$\left\| (1 + |\xi|)^\eta D^\beta \sigma_j(\xi, \lambda) \right\|_{L_\infty(R^n, L(E))} \leq K, \quad K > 0,$$

for $\xi \in R^n \setminus 0$, $|\beta| \leq n + 1$. From Lemma 2.3 it follows $\sigma_i \in C^{(n)}(R^n \setminus 0, L(E))$ and

$$\left\| D^\beta \sigma_j(\xi, \lambda) \right\|_{L_\infty(L(E))} \leq K_1, \quad \left\| |\xi|^\eta D^\beta \sigma_j(\xi, \lambda) \right\|_{L_\infty(L(E))} \leq K_2, \quad \eta \leq |\beta| \leq n + 1.$$

Hence, operator functions $\sigma_i(\xi, \lambda)$ are Fourier multipliers in $B_{p,q,\gamma}^s(R^n, E)$. Let we denote $B_{p,q,\gamma}^s(R^n, E)$ by X .

Now we are ready to state the main result of the present section.

Condition 2.2. Suppose the following conditions be satisfied:

- (1) $L(\xi) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq l} \hat{a}_\alpha(\xi) (i\xi)^\alpha \in S_{\varphi_1}$, $|L(\xi)| \geq C|a_0(\xi)||\xi|^l$, $|a_0(\xi)| = \max_\alpha |\hat{a}_\alpha(\xi)|$, $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n) \in R^n$;
- (2) E is a Banach space;
- (3) $\hat{A}(\xi)$ is an uniformly φ -positive operator in E , with $0 < \varphi < \pi - \varphi_1$ and

$$[D^\beta \hat{A}(\xi)] \hat{A}^{-1}(\xi) \in C(R^n; L(E)),$$

$$\hat{a}_\alpha \in C(R^n), \quad \left| |\xi|^k \left| D^\beta \hat{a}_\alpha(\xi) \right| \right| \leq C_1, \quad k \leq |\beta| \leq n + 1,$$

$$\left| |\xi|^k \left\| [D^\beta \hat{A}(\xi)] \hat{A}^{-1}(\xi) \right\|_{L(E)} \right| \leq C_2, \quad k \leq |\beta| \leq n + 1.$$

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose the Condition 2.2 is satisfied, then for $f \in B_{p,q,\gamma}^s(R^n; E)$, $p, q \in [1, \infty)$, the equation (1.1) has a unique solution $u \in B_{p,q,\gamma}^{l,s}(R^n; E(A), E)$ and the following coercive uniform estimate holds:*

$$|\lambda| \|u\|_X + \sum_{|\alpha| \leq l} |\lambda|^{1 - \frac{|\alpha|}{l}} \|a_\alpha * D^\alpha u\|_X + \|A * u\|_X \leq C \|f\|_X \tag{2.7}$$

for $\lambda \in S_\varphi$ with $|\lambda| \geq \lambda_0 > 0$.

Proof. By applying the Fourier transform to equation (1.1), we obtain

$$[\hat{A}(\xi) + L(\xi) + \lambda] u^\wedge(\xi) = f^\wedge(\xi).$$

Since $L(\xi) \in S_{\varphi_1}$ for $\xi \in R^n$ and \hat{A} is a positive operator in E , we get $[\hat{A}(\xi) + L(\xi) + \lambda]^{-1} \in L(E)$. So we obtain that the solution of the equation (1.1) can be represented in the form

$$u(x) = F^{-1} [\hat{A}(\xi) + \lambda + L(\xi)]^{-1} f^\wedge.$$

There are positive constants C_1 and C_2 such that

$$\begin{aligned} C_1 |\lambda| \|u\|_X &\leq \|F^{-1} [\sigma_0(\xi, \lambda) f^\wedge]\|_X \leq C_2 |\lambda| \|u\|_X, \\ C_1 \|A * u\|_X &\leq \|F^{-1} [\sigma_1(\xi, \lambda) f^\wedge]\|_X \leq C_2 \|A * u\|_X, \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

$$\begin{aligned} C_1 \sum_{|\alpha| \leq l} |\lambda|^{1-\frac{|\alpha|}{t}} \|a_\alpha * D^\alpha u\|_X &\leq \|F^{-1}[\sigma_2(\xi, \lambda)f]\|_X \leq \\ &\leq C_2 \sum_{|\alpha| \leq l} |\lambda|^{1-\frac{|\alpha|}{t}} \|a_\alpha * D^\alpha u\|_X, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_0(\xi, \lambda) &= \lambda[\hat{A}(\xi) + (\lambda + L(\xi))]^{-1}, \quad \sigma_1(\xi, \lambda) = \hat{A}(\xi)[\hat{A}(\xi) + \lambda + L(\xi)]^{-1}, \\ \sigma_2(\xi, \lambda) &= \sum_{|\alpha| \leq l} |\lambda|^{1-\frac{|\alpha|}{t}} \hat{a}_\alpha(\xi)(i\xi)^\alpha [\hat{A}(\xi) + \lambda + L(\xi)]^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

To show the estimate (2.7) it is enough to prove that operator functions $\sigma_j(\xi, \lambda)$, $j = 0, 1, 2$, are UBM in X . This fact is obtained from the Lemma 2.3. That is we obtain the assertion.

Let Q be the operator in $X = B_{p,q,\gamma}^s(R^n; E)$ generated by problem (1.1) for $\lambda = 0$, i.e.,

$$D(Q) \subset X_0 = B_{p,q,\gamma}^{l,s}(R^n; E(A), E), \quad Qu = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq l} a_\alpha * D^\alpha u + A * u.$$

Result 2.1. Assume all conditions of Theorem 2.1 hold. Then for all $\lambda \in S_\varphi$, $|\lambda| \geq \lambda_0 > 0$, there exist the resolvent of operator Q and the following estimate holds:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq l} |\lambda|^{1-\frac{|\alpha|}{t}} \|a_\alpha * [D^\alpha(Q + \lambda)^{-1}]\|_{L(X)} + \\ + |\lambda| \|(Q + \lambda)^{-1}\|_{L(X)} + \|A * (Q + \lambda)^{-1}\|_{L(X)} \leq C. \end{aligned}$$

Condition 2.3. Let

$$D(A) = D(\hat{A}) = D(\hat{A}(\xi_0)), \quad \xi_0 \in R^n.$$

Moreover, there are positive constants C_1 and C_2 so that for $u \in D(A)$, $x \in R^n$,

$$C_1 \|\hat{A}(\xi_0)u\| \leq \|A(x)u\| \leq C_2 \|\hat{A}(\xi_0)u\|.$$

Remark 2.1. The Condition 2.2 is checked for regular elliptic operators with sufficiently smooth coefficients. Really, by setting $E = L_{p_1}(\Omega)$, $p_1 \in (1, \infty)$, for bounded domain $\Omega \subset R^m$ with enough smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$ and by putting regular elliptic operators instead of $A(x)$ and $\hat{A}(\xi)$ one can get it. So, by virtue of [17, 19] we obtain that the operators $A(x)$, $\hat{A}(\xi)$ are positive and the above estimates hold.

Theorem 2.2. Assume all conditions of Theorem 2.1 and Condition 2.3 are satisfied. Then the problem (1.1) has a unique solution $u \in X_0$ and the coercive uniform estimate holds

$$\sum_{|\alpha| \leq l} |\lambda|^{1-\frac{|\alpha|}{t}} \|D^\alpha u\|_X + \|Au\|_X \leq C\|f\|_X$$

for all $f \in X$, $p, q \in [1, \infty)$ and $\lambda \in S_\varphi$ with $|\lambda| \geq \lambda_0 > 0$.

Proof. By applying the Fourier transform to equation (1.1), we have $\hat{u}(\xi) = D(\xi, \lambda)f^{\wedge}(\xi)$, where

$$D(\xi, \lambda) = [\hat{A}(\xi) + L(\xi) + \lambda]^{-1}.$$

So we obtain that the solution of equation (1.1) can be represented as $u(x) = F^{-1}D(\xi, \lambda)f^{\wedge}$. Moreover, by Condition 2.2 we get

$$\|AF^{-1}D(\xi, \lambda)f^{\wedge}\|_X \leq M\|\hat{A}(\xi_0)F^{-1}D(\xi, \lambda)f^{\wedge}\|_X.$$

Hence, by using (2.8) it sufficient to show that the operator functions

$$\sum_{|\alpha|\leq l} |\lambda|^{1-\frac{|\alpha|}{l}} \xi^\alpha D(\xi, \lambda) \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{A}(\xi_0)D(\xi, \lambda)$$

are UBMs in X . Really, in view of (3) part of Condition 2.2 these fact are proved by reasoning as in Lemma 2.3.

Condition 2.4. There are positive constants C_1 and C_2 such that

$$C_1 \sum_{k=1}^n |a_k \xi_k|^l \leq |L(\xi)| \leq C_2 \sum_{k=1}^n |a_k \xi_k|^l, \quad \xi \neq 0,$$

and

$$D(A) = D(\hat{A}) = D(A(x_0)), \quad \hat{A}(\xi)A^{-1}(x_0) \in L_\infty(R^n; B(E)), \quad \xi, x_0 \in R^n,$$

$$C_1 \|A(x_0)u\| \leq \|A(x)u\| \leq C_2 \|A(x_0)u\|, \quad u \in D(A), \quad x \in R^n.$$

Theorem 2.3. Let all conditions of Theorem 2.2 and Condition 2.4 hold. Then for $u \in X_0$ there are positive constants M_1 and M_2 so that

$$M_1 \|u\|_{X_0} \leq \sum_{|\alpha|\leq l} \|a_\alpha * D^\alpha u\|_X + \|A * u\|_X \leq M_2 \|u\|_{X_0}.$$

Proof. The left part of the above inequality is obtained from Theorem 2.2. So, it remains to prove the right-hand side of the estimate. Really, from Condition 2.3 we have

$$\|A * u\|_X \leq M \|F^{-1} \hat{A} \hat{u}\|_X \leq C \|F^{-1} \hat{A} A^{-1}(x_0) A(x_0) \hat{u}\|_X \leq$$

$$\leq C \|F^{-1} A(x_0) \hat{u}\|_X \leq C \|Au\|_X, \quad u \in X_0.$$

Hence, applying the Fourier transform to equation (1.1) and by reasoning as Theorem 2.2, it is sufficient to prove that the function

$$\sum_{|\alpha|\leq l} \hat{a}_\alpha \xi^\alpha \left[\sum_{k=1}^n \xi_k^{l_k} \right]^{-1}$$

is a multiplier in X . Then by using Condition 2.3 and proof of Lemma 2.3 we get the desired result.

Result 2.2. Theorem 2.3 implies the estimate

$$C_1 \|u\|_{X_0} \leq \|Qu\|_X \leq C_2 \|u\|_{X_0}$$

for $u \in X_0$.

Result 2.3. Result 2.1 particularly implies that the operator $Q + a$ is positive in X for $a > 0$, i.e., if \hat{A} is uniformly positive for $\varphi \in \left(\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi\right)$, then it is clear to see that the operator $Q + a$ is a generator of an analytic semigroup in X .

3. The Cauchy problem for parabolic CDOE. In this section we derive the maximal regularity properties of parabolic CDOE.

Let E_0 and E be two Banach spaces, where E_0 is continuously and densely embedded into E . Let $X = B_{p,q,\gamma}^s(R^n; E)$, $Y = B_{p,q,\gamma}^s(R_+; X)$ and $X_0 = B_{p,q,\gamma}^{l,s}(R^n; E_0, E)$. $\tilde{B}_{p,q,\gamma}^{l,1,s}(R_+; E_0, E)$ denotes the space of all functions $u \in \tilde{B}_{p,q,\gamma}^{l,1,s}(R_+; E_0, E)$ that possess the generalized derivatives

$$D_t u, D_x^\alpha u \in B_{p,q,\gamma}^s(R_+; X)$$

with the norm

$$\|u\|_{\tilde{B}_{p,q,\gamma}^{l,1,s}(R_+; E_0, E)} = \|u\|_{B_{p,q,\gamma}^s(R_+; X)} + \|D_t u\|_{B_{p,q,\gamma}^s(R_+; X)} + \|D^\alpha u\|_{B_{p,q,\gamma}^s(R_+; X)}.$$

Consider the Cauchy problem for parabolic CDOE

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \sum_{|\alpha| \leq l} a_\alpha * D^\alpha u + A * u = f(t, x), \quad u(0, x) = 0, \tag{3.1}$$

where $A = A(x)$ is a linear operator in E , $a_\alpha = a_\alpha(x)$ are complex-valued functions.

Theorem 3.1. Assume Condition 2.2 holds for $\varphi \in \left(\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi\right)$, $s > 0$. Suppose $\gamma \in A_p$ and E is a Banach space satisfies the B -weighted multiplier condition. Then for $f \in Y$ the problem (3.1) has a unique solution $u \in \tilde{B}_{p,q}^{l,1,s}(R_+^{n+1}; E(A), E)$ satisfying the estimate

$$\left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_Y + \sum_{|\alpha| \leq l} \|a_\alpha * D^\alpha u\|_Y + \|A * u\|_Y \leq C \|f\|_Y. \tag{3.2}$$

Proof. It is clear to see that

$$\tilde{B}_{p,q}^{l,1,s}(R_+^{n+1}; E(A), E) = B_{p,q}^{1,s}(R_+; D(Q), X).$$

From the Result 2.1 that the operator Q is positive in X for $\varphi > \frac{\pi}{2}$. Then it is known that the operator Q generated an analytic semigroup in X . It is easy to see that the problem (3.1) can be expressed as the following problem:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + Qu(t) = f(t), \quad u(0) = 0, \quad t \in R_+. \tag{3.3}$$

In view of resolvent properties of Q , $\varphi \in \left(\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi\right)$, by virtue of [3] (Proposition 8.10), [15, 19] and by Result 2.2 we obtain that, for $f \in Y$ the equation (3.3) has a unique solution $u \in B_{p,q}^{1,s}(R_+; D(Q), X)$ satisfying

$$\left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_X + \|Qu\|_X \leq C \|f\|_X. \tag{3.4}$$

By Theorem 2.1 and estimate (3.4) we obtain (3.2).

4. Degenerate convolution elliptic equations. Consider the E -valued weighted Besov spaces $B_{p,q,\gamma}^{[l],s}(\Omega; E_0, E)$ defined as

$$B_{p,q,\gamma}^{[l],s}(\Omega; E_0, E) = \left\{ u; u \in B_{p,q,\gamma}^s(\Omega; E_0), D_{x_k}^{[l]} u \in B_{p,q,\gamma}^s(\Omega; E) \right\},$$

$$\|u\|_{B_{p,q,\gamma}^{[l],s}(\Omega; E_0, E)} = \|u\|_{B_{p,q,\gamma}^s(\Omega; E_0)} + \sum_{k=1}^n \left\| D_{x_k}^{[l]} u \right\|_{B_{p,q,\gamma}^s(\Omega; E)} < \infty.$$

Let us consider the following degenerate elliptic CDOE:

$$(L + \lambda)u = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq l} a_\alpha * D^{[\alpha]} u + A * u + \lambda u = f. \tag{4.1}$$

We shall show that for all $f \in B_{p,q,\gamma}^s(R^n; E)$ and sufficiently large $|\lambda|$, $\lambda \in S_\varphi$ the equation (4.1) has a unique solution $u \in B_{p,q,\gamma}^{[l],s}(R^n; E(A), E)$ and the coercive uniform estimate

$$\sum_{|\alpha| \leq l} |\lambda|^{1-\frac{|\alpha|}{l}} \left\| a_\alpha * D^{[\alpha]} u \right\|_{B_{p,q,\gamma}^s(R^n; E)} + \|A * u\|_{B_{p,q,\gamma}^s(R^n; E)} + |\lambda| \|u\|_{B_{p,q,\gamma}^s(R^n; E)} \leq$$

$$\leq C \|f\|_{B_{p,q,\gamma}^s(R^n; E)} \tag{4.2}$$

holds.

Recall that $\tilde{\gamma}_k(x)$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, are positive measurable functions in R and

$$D^{[\alpha]} = D_1^{[\alpha_1]} D_2^{[\alpha_2]} \dots D_n^{[\alpha_n]}, \quad D_k^{[a_k]} = \left(\tilde{\gamma}_k(x_k) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \right)^{a_k}, \quad \tilde{\gamma} = (\tilde{\gamma}_1, \tilde{\gamma}_2, \dots, \tilde{\gamma}_n).$$

It is clear that under the substitution

$$z_k = \int_0^{x_k} \tilde{\gamma}_k^{-1}(y) dy \tag{4.3}$$

spaces $B_{p,q}^s(R^n; E)$ and $B_{p,q}^{[l],s}(R^n; E(A), E)$ are mapped isomorphically onto the weighted spaces $B_{p,q,\gamma}^s(R^n; E)$, $B_{p,q,\gamma}^{[l],s}(R^n; E(A), E)$ respectively, where

$$\gamma = \prod_{k=1}^n \tilde{\gamma}_k(x_k(z_k)).$$

Moreover, under substitution (4.3) the degenerate problem (4.1) is reduced to the following nondegenerate problem:

$$(L + \lambda)u = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq l} a_\alpha * D^\alpha u + A * u + \lambda u = f \tag{4.4}$$

considered in weighted space $B_{p,q,\gamma}^s(R^n; E)$, where A is a linear operator in Banach space E and a_α are complex numbers.

Now, we consider Cauchy problem the degenerate parabolic convolution equation

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \sum_{|\alpha| \leq l} a_\alpha * D^{[\alpha]} u + A * u = f(t, x), \tag{4.5}$$

$$u(0, x) = 0, \quad t \in R_+, \quad x \in R.$$

In a similar way, under the substitution (4.3) the degenerate Cauchy problem (4.5) considered in $B_{p,q}^s(R^n; E)$ is transformed into undegenerate Cauchy problem (3.1) considered in the weighted space $B_{p,q,\gamma}^s(R^n; E)$.

Let H be the operator generated by problem (4.1), i.e.,

$$D(H) = B_{p,q,\gamma}^{[l],s}(R^n; E(A), E), \quad Hu = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq l} a_\alpha * D^{[\alpha]} u + A * u,$$

and we denote $B_{p,q,\gamma}^s(R^n; E)$ by X .

From Theorems 2.1 and 3.1 we obtain the following results.

Result 4.1. Under conditions of Theorem 2.1 and (4.3) the equation (4.1) has a unique solution $u(x)$ that belongs to space $B_{p,q,\gamma}^{[l],s}(R^n; E(A), E)$ and the coercive uniform estimate

$$\sum_{|\alpha| \leq l} |\lambda|^{1-\frac{|\alpha|}{l}} \|a_\alpha * D^{[\alpha]} u\|_X + \|A * u\|_X + |\lambda| \|u\|_X \leq C \|f\|_X$$

holds for all $f \in B_{p,q,\gamma}^s(R^n; E)$ and for sufficiently large $\lambda \in S_\varphi$.

Moreover, for $\lambda \in S_\varphi$ there exist the resolvent of operator H and has the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{|\alpha| \leq l} |\lambda|^{1-\frac{|\alpha|}{l}} \|a_\alpha * D^{[\alpha]} (H + \lambda)^{-1}\|_{L(X)} + \\ & + \|A * (H + \lambda)^{-1}\|_{L(X)} + \|\lambda(H + \lambda)^{-1}\|_{L(X)} \leq C. \end{aligned}$$

Result 4.2. For all $f \in B_{p,q,\gamma}^s(R_+; X)$ there is unique solution $u(t, x)$ of problem (4.1) satisfying the following coercive estimate:

$$\left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_Y + \sum_{|\alpha| \leq l} \|a_\alpha * D^{[\alpha]} u\|_Y + \|A * u\|_Y \leq C \|f\|_Y.$$

5. Boundary-value problems for CDEs. In this section the boundary-value problems (BVPs) for the anisotropic type integro-differential equations is studied. The maximal regularity properties of this problem in weighted mixed $B_{p,q,\gamma}^s$ norm is obtained. In this direction it can be mention, e.g., the works [3, 10, 11, 16, 19]. Let $\tilde{\Omega} = R^n \times \Omega$, where $\Omega \subset R^\mu$ is an open connected set with compact C^{2m} -boundary $\partial\Omega$. Consider the BVP for CDE

$$(L + \lambda)u = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq l} a_\alpha * D^\alpha u + \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2m} (b_\alpha c_\alpha D_y^\alpha) * u + \lambda u = f(x, y), \tag{5.1}$$

$$x \in R^n, \quad y \in \Omega \subset R^\mu,$$

$$B_j u = \sum_{|\beta| \leq m_j} b_{j\beta}(y) D_y^\beta u(x, y) = 0, \quad y \in \partial\Omega, \tag{5.2}$$

where

$$D_j = -i \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j}, \quad y = (y_1, \dots, y_\mu), \quad a_\alpha = a_\alpha(x), \quad b_\alpha = b_\alpha(x), \quad c_\alpha = c_\alpha(y),$$

$$\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n), \quad u = u(x, y), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

Let $\tilde{\Omega} = R^n \times \Omega$, $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, p)$, and $\gamma(x) = |x|^\alpha$, $L_{\mathbf{p},\gamma}(\tilde{\Omega})$ will be denote the space of all \mathbf{p} -summable scalar-valued functions with weighted mixed norm (see, e.g., [7], § 1), i.e., the space of all measurable functions f defined on $\tilde{\Omega}$, for which

$$\|f\|_{L_{\mathbf{p},\gamma}(\tilde{\Omega})} = \left(\int_{R^n} \left(\int_{\Omega} |f(x, y)|^{p_1} \gamma(x) dx \right)^{\frac{p}{p_1}} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty.$$

Analogously $B_{\mathbf{p},q,\gamma}^s(\tilde{\Omega})$ denotes the Besov space with corresponding weighted mixed norm [7] (§ 18) and let

$$\tilde{B}_{\mathbf{p},q,\gamma}^s(\tilde{\Omega}) = B_{p,q,\gamma}^s(R^n; B_{p_1,q,\gamma}^s(\Omega)),$$

$$\tilde{B}_{\mathbf{p},q,\gamma}^{l,2m,s}(\tilde{\Omega}) = B_{p,q,\gamma}^{l,s}(R^n; B_{p_1,q,\gamma}^{2m,s}(\Omega), B_{p_1,q,\gamma}^s(\Omega)).$$

Let Q denote the operator generated by BVP (5.1), (5.2).

In general, $l \neq 2m$ so equation (5.1) is anisotropic. For $l = 2m$ we get isotropic equation.

Theorem 5.1. *Let the following conditions be satisfied:*

- (1) $c_\alpha \in C(\tilde{\Omega})$ for each $|\alpha| = 2m$ and $c_\alpha \in L_\infty(\Omega) + L_{r_k}(\Omega)$ for each $|\alpha| = k < 2m$ with $r_k \geq p_1$, $p_1 \in (1, \infty)$ and $2m - k > \frac{l}{r_k}$, $-1 < \alpha < p - 1$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$;
- (2) $b_{j\beta} \in C^{2m-m_j}(\partial\Omega)$ for each j, β , $m_j < 2m$, $p, q \in (1, \infty)$;
- (3) for $y \in \tilde{\Omega}$, $\xi \in R^\mu$, $\lambda \in S_{\varphi_0}$, $\varphi_0 \in \left(0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$, $|\xi| + |\lambda| \neq 0$, let $\lambda + \sum_{|\alpha|=2m} c_\alpha(y) \xi^\alpha \neq 0$;
- (4) for each $y_0 \in \partial\Omega$ local BVP in local coordinates corresponding to y_0

$$\lambda + \sum_{|\alpha|=2m} c_\alpha(y_0) D^\alpha g(y) = 0,$$

$$B_{j0}g = \sum_{|\beta|=m_j} b_{j\beta}(y_0) D^\beta g(y) = h_j, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, m,$$

has a unique solution $g(y) \in C_0(R_+)$ for all $h = (h_1, h_2, \dots, h_m) \in R^m$ and for $\xi' \in R^{\mu-1}$ with $|\xi'| + |\lambda| \neq 0$;

(5) the (1) part of Condition 2.2 satisfied; $\hat{a}_\alpha, \hat{b}_\alpha \in C^{(n)}(R^n)$ and there are positive constants C_1 and C_2 , so that

$$|\xi|^k |D^\beta \hat{a}_\alpha(\xi)| \leq C_1, \quad |\xi|^k |D^\beta \hat{b}_\alpha(\xi)| \leq C_2$$

for all $k \leq |\beta| \leq n + 1$ and $\xi \in R^n \setminus \{0\}$.

Then for all $f \in \tilde{B}_{p,q,\gamma}^s(\tilde{\Omega})$ problem (5.1), (5.2) has a unique solution $u \in \tilde{B}_{p,q,\gamma}^{l,2m,s}(\tilde{\Omega})$ and the following coercive uniform estimate holds:

$$\sum_{|\alpha| \leq l} |\lambda|^{1-\frac{|\alpha|}{l}} \|a_\alpha * D^\alpha u\|_{\tilde{B}_{p,q,\gamma}^s(\tilde{\Omega})} + |\lambda| \|u\|_{\tilde{B}_{p,q,\gamma}^s(\tilde{\Omega})} + \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2m} \|b_\alpha c_\alpha D^\alpha * u\|_{\tilde{B}_{p,q,\gamma}^s(\tilde{\Omega})} \leq C \|f\|_{\tilde{B}_{p,q,\gamma}^s(\tilde{\Omega})}$$

for $\lambda \in S_\varphi$ and $\varphi \in [0, \pi)$.

Proof. Let $X = B_{p_1,q,\gamma}^s(\Omega)$. Consider the operator A defined by the following equalities:

$$D(A) = B_{p_1,q}^{2m,s}(\Omega; B_j u = 0), \quad A(x)u = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2m} b_\alpha(x) c_\alpha(y) D^\alpha u(y). \tag{5.3}$$

The problem (5.1), (5.2) can be rewritten in the form of (1.1), where $u(x) = u(x, \cdot)$, $f(x) = f(x, \cdot)$ are functions with values in $X = B_{p_1,q,\gamma}^s(\Omega)$. It is easy to see that $\hat{A}(\xi)$ and $D^\beta \hat{A}(\xi)$ are operators in X defined by

$$\begin{aligned} D(\hat{A}) &= D(D^\beta \hat{A}) = B_{p_1,q}^{2m,s}(\Omega; B_j u = 0), \\ \hat{A}(\xi)u &= \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2m} \hat{b}_\alpha(\xi) c_\alpha(y) D^\alpha u(y), \quad |\beta| \leq n, \\ D_\xi^\beta \hat{A}(\xi)u &= \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2m} D_\xi^\beta \hat{b}_\alpha(\xi) c_\alpha(y) D^\alpha u(y). \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

In view of conditions (1)–(5) and by virtue of [17, 19] the operators $\hat{A}(\xi) + \lambda$ and $D^\beta \hat{A}(\xi) + \lambda$ for sufficiently large $\lambda > 0$ are uniformly positive in X . Moreover, following problems for $f \in X$ and for $\arg \lambda \in S_{\varphi_0}$, $|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty$:

$$\lambda u(y) + \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2m} \hat{b}_\alpha(\xi) c_\alpha(y) D^\alpha u(y) = f(y), \tag{5.5}$$

$$B_j u = \sum_{|\beta| \leq m_j} b_{j\beta}(y) D^\beta u(y) = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, m,$$

$$\lambda u(y) + \sum_{\alpha \leq 2m} D^\beta \hat{b}_\alpha(\xi) c_\alpha(y) D^\alpha u(y) = f(y), \tag{5.6}$$

$$B_j u = \sum_{|\beta| \leq m_j} b_{j\beta}(y) D^\beta u(y) = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, m,$$

has unique solutions belong to $B_{p_1,q,\gamma}^{2m,s}(\Omega)$ and the coercive estimates hold

$$\|u\|_{B_{p_1,q,\gamma}^{2m,s}(\Omega)} \leq C \|(\hat{A}(\xi) + \lambda)u\|_X, \quad \|u\|_{B_{p_1,q,\gamma}^{2m,s}(\Omega)} \leq C \|(D^\beta \hat{A}(\xi) + \lambda)u\|_X \tag{5.7}$$

for solutions of problems (5.5) and (5.6) respectively. Then by (5.4) in view of (5) condition and by virtue of embedding theorems [7] (§ 18.4) and [21] we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\hat{A}(\xi) + \lambda)u\|_X &\leq C\|u\|_{B_{p_1,q,\gamma}^{2m,s}(\Omega)} \leq C\|(\hat{A}(\xi) + \lambda)u\|_X, \\ \|(D^\beta \hat{A}(\xi) + \lambda)u\|_X &\leq C\|u\|_{B_{p_1,q,\gamma}^{2m,s}(\Omega)} \leq C\|(D^\beta \hat{A}(\xi) + \lambda)u\|_X. \end{aligned} \tag{5.8}$$

Moreover by using condition (5), for $u \in B_{p_1,q,\gamma}^{2m,s}(\Omega)$ we have

$$|\xi|^k \|(D^\beta \hat{A}(\xi) + \lambda)u\|_X \leq C\|(\hat{A}(\xi) + \lambda)u\|_X,$$

i.e., all conditions of Theorem 2.1 hold and we obtain the assertion.

6. The system of infinite many integro-differential equations. Consider the following infinity system of convolution equation:

$$\sum_{|\alpha| \leq l} a_\alpha * D^\alpha u_m + \sum_{j=1}^\infty d_j * u_j(x) + \lambda u = f_m(x), \quad x \in R^n, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, \infty. \tag{6.1}$$

Condition 6.1. There are positive constants C_1 and C_2 so that for $\{d_j(x)\}_1^\infty \in l_r$ for all $x \in R^n$ and some $x_0 \in R^n$,

$$C_1|d_j(x_0)| \leq |d_j(x)| \leq C_2|d_j(x_0)|.$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} D(x) &= \{d_m(x)\}, \quad d_m > 0, \quad u = \{u_m\}, \quad D * u = \{d_m * u_m\}, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, \infty, \\ l_r(D) &= \left\{ u : u \in l_r, \|u\|_{l_r(D)} = \|D * u\|_{l_r} = \left(\sum_{m=1}^\infty |d_m(x_0) * u_m|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} < \infty \right\}, \quad 1 < r < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Let Q be a differential operator in $X = B_{p,q,\gamma}^s(R^n; l_r)$ generated by problem (6.1) and $B = L(B_{p,q,\gamma}^s(R^n; l_r))$. Here $\gamma(x) = |x|^\alpha$, $-1 < \alpha < p - 1$.

Theorem 6.1. Suppose the first part of Conditions 2.1 and 6.1 hold, $\hat{a}_\alpha, \hat{d}_m \in C^{(n)}(R^n)$ and there are positive constants C_1 and C_2 so that

$$|\xi|^k |D^\beta \hat{a}_\alpha(\xi)| \leq C_1, \quad |\xi|^k |D^\beta \hat{d}_m(\xi)| \leq C_2$$

for all $k \leq |\beta| \leq n + 1$ and $\xi \in R^n \setminus \{0\}$.

Then:

(a) for all $f(x) = \{f_m(x)\}_1^\infty \in B_{p,q,\gamma}^s(R^n; l_r(D))$ for $\lambda \in S_\varphi$, $\varphi \in [0, \pi)$, problem (6.1) has a unique solution $u = \{u_m(x)\}_1^\infty$ that belongs to space $B_{p,q,\gamma}^{l,s}(R^n; l_r(D), l_r)$ and the coercive uniform estimate

$$\sum_{|\alpha| \leq l} |\lambda|^{1-\frac{|\alpha|}{l}} \|a_\alpha * D^\alpha u\|_X + \|D * u\|_X + |\lambda| \|u\|_X \leq C \|f\|_X \tag{6.2}$$

holds for the solution of the problem (6.1);

(b) for $\lambda \in S_\varphi$ there exists a resolvent $(Q + \lambda)^{-1}$ of operator Q and

$$\sum_{|\alpha| \leq l} |\lambda|^{1 - \frac{|\alpha|}{t}} \|a_\alpha * [D^\alpha (Q + \lambda)^{-1}]\|_B + \|D * (Q + \lambda)^{-1}\|_B + \|\lambda(Q + \lambda)^{-1}\|_B \leq C. \quad (6.3)$$

Proof. Really, let $E = l_r$, A be infinite matrices, such that

$$A = [d_m(x)\delta_{jm}], \quad m, j = 1, 2, \dots, \infty.$$

Then

$$\hat{A}(\xi) = [\hat{d}_m(\xi)\delta_{jm}], \quad D^\beta \hat{A}(\xi) = [D^\beta \hat{d}_m(\xi)\delta_{jm}], \quad m, j = 1, 2, \dots, \infty.$$

It is clear to see that \hat{A} and $D^\beta \hat{A}(\xi)$ are uniformly positive in l_r . Therefore, by virtue of Theorem 2.1 and Result 2.1 we obtain that the problem (6.1) for all $f \in X$ and $\lambda \in S_\varphi$ has a unique solution $u \in B_{p,q,\gamma}^{l,s}(R^n; l_r(D), l_r)$ and estimates (6.2), (6.3) are satisfied.

Remark 6.1. There are a lot of positive operators in concrete Banach spaces. Therefore, putting concrete Banach spaces instead of E and concrete positive differential, pseudodifferential operators, or finite, infinite matrices, etc. instead of operator A on (1.1) or (3.1) we can obtain the maximal regularity properties of different class of convolution equations and Cauchy problems for parabolic CDOEs or system of equations by virtue of Theorems 2.1 and 3.1.

References

1. Agarwal R. P., Bohner R., Shakhmurov V. B. Maximal regular boundary value problems in Banach-valued weighted spaces // Bound. Value Probl. – 2005. – **1**. – P. 9–42.
2. Amann H. Linear and quasi-linear equations. – Birkhäuser, 1995. – Vol. 1.
3. Amann H. Operator-valued Fourier multipliers, vector-valued Besov spaces, and applications // Math. Nachr. – 1997. – **186**. – S. 5–56.
4. Arendt W., Bu S. Tools for maximal regularity // Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. – 2003. – **134**. – P. 317–336.
5. Ashyralyev A. A survey of results in the theory of fractional spaces generated by positive operators // TWMS J. Pure and Appl. Math. – 2015. – **6**, № 2. – P. 129–157.
6. Bhalekar S., Patade J. Analytical solutions of nonlinear equations with proportional delays // Appl. and Comput. Math. – 2016. – **15**, № 3. – P. 331–345.
7. Besov O. V., Ilin V. P., Nikolskii S. M. Integral representations of functions and embedding theorems. – Moscow, 1975.
8. Bota C., Caruntu B., Lazureanu C. The least square homotopy perturbation method for boundary value problems // Appl. and Comput. Math. – 2017. – **16**, № 1. – P. 39–47.
9. Dore G., Yakubov S. Semigroup estimates and non coercive boundary value problems // Semigroup Forum. – 2000. – **60**. – P. 93–121.
10. Engler H. Strong solutions of quasilinear integro-differential equations with singular kernels in several space dimension // Electron. J. Different. Equat. – 1995. – **1995**, № 2. – P. 1–16.
11. Girardi M., Weis L. Operator-valued multiplier theorems on Besov spaces // Math. Nachr. – 2003. – **251**. – S. 34–51.
12. Gorbachuk V. I., Gorbachuk M. L. Boundary value problems for differential-operator equations. – Kiev: Naukova Dumka, 1984.
13. Huang Y., Liu Z. H., Wang R. Quasilinearization for higher order impulsive fractional differential equations // Appl. and Comput. Math. – 2016. – **15**, № 2. – P. 159–171.
14. Keyantuo V., Lizama C. Maximal regularity for a class of integro-differential equations with infinite delay in Banach spaces // Stud. Math. – 2005. – **168**. – P. 25–50.

15. *Musaev H. K., Shakhmurov V. B.* Regularity properties of degenerate convolution-elliptic equations // *Bound. Value Probl.* – 2016. – **50**. – P. 1–19.
16. *Poblete V.* Solutions of second-order integro-differential equations on periodic Besov spaces // *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* – 2007. – **50**, № 2. – P. 477–492.
17. *Prüss J.* Evolutionary integral equations and applications. – Basel: Birkhäuser, 1993.
18. *Shakhmurov V. B.* B -separable anisotropic convolution operators and applications // *Georg. Math. J.* – 2013. – **20**, № 1. – P. 129–149.
19. *Shakhmurov V. B.* Embedding operators in vector-valued weighted Besov spaces and applications // *J. Funct. Spaces and Appl.* – 2012. – **2012**. – 15 p.
20. *Shakhmurov V. B.* Embedding theorems in Banach-valued B -spaces and maximal B -regular differential operator equations // *J. Inequal. and Appl.* – 2006. – P. 1–22.
21. *Shakhmurov V. B., Musaev H. K.* Separability properties of convolution-differential operator equations in weighted L_p spaces // *Appl. and Comput. Math.* – 2015. – **14**, № 2. – P. 221–233.
22. *Shakhmurov V. B., Musaev H.* Maximal regular convolution-differential equations in weighted Besov spaces // *Appl. and Comput. Math.* – 2017. – **16**, № 2. – P. 190–200.
23. *Shakhmurov V. B., Shakhmurov R.* Maximal B -regular integro-differential equations // *Chinese Ann. Math.* – 2008. – **30**, № 1. – P. 39–50.
24. *Shakhmurov V. B., Shakhmurov R.* Sectorial operators with convolution term // *Math. Inequal. and Appl.* – 2010. – **13**, № 2. – P. 387–404.
25. *Triebel H.* Interpolation theory. Function spaces. Differential operators. – Amsterdam: North-Holland, 1978.
26. *Vashisht L. K.* Banach frames generated by compact operators associated with a boundary value problem // *TWMS J. Pure and Appl. Math.* – 2015. – **6**, № 2. – P. 254–258.
27. *Vergara V.* Maximal regularity and global well-posedness for a phase field system with memory // *J. Integral Equat. and Appl.* – 2007. – **19**, № 1. – P. 93–115.
28. *Yakubov S., Yakubov Ya.* Differential-operator equations. Ordinary and partial differential equations. – Boca Raton: Chapman and Hall / CRC, 2000.
29. *Zhang Lihong, Ahmad Bashir, Wang Guotao.* Existence and approximation of positive solutions for nonlinear fractional integro-differential boundary value problems on an unbounded domain // *Appl. and Comput. Math.* – 2016. – **15**, № 2. – P. 149–158.

Received 05.04.16