

UDC 517.537.38

A. V. Pokrovskii (Inst. Math. Nat. Acad. Sci. Ukraine, Kyiv)

A NOTE ON THE REMOVABILITY OF TOTALLY DISCONNECTED SETS FOR ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS

ЗАУВАЖЕННЯ ПРО УСУВНІСТЬ СКРІЗЬ РОЗРИВНИХ МНОЖИН ДЛЯ АНАЛІТИЧНИХ ФУНКЦІЙ

We prove that each totally disconnected closed subset E of a domain G in the complex plane is removable for analytic functions $f(z)$ defined in $G \setminus E$ and such that for any point $z_0 \in E$ the real or imaginary part of $f(z)$ vanishes at z_0 .

Доведено, що будь-яка скрізь розривна замкнена підмножина E області G на комплексній площині є усувною для аналітичних функцій $f(z)$, визначених у $G \setminus E$ і таких, що для довільної точки $z_0 \in E$ дійсна або уявна частина $f(z)$ зникає в z_0 .

Let G be a domain in the complex plane \mathbb{C} , E a totally disconnected closed subset of G , and $f(z) = u(z) + iv(z)$ an analytic function in $G \setminus E$ ($u(z) = \operatorname{Re} f(z)$, $v(z) = \operatorname{Im} f(z)$). Fedorov [1] proved that, if $f(z)$ is continuously extended from $G \setminus E$ to G and $u(z)$ vanishes on E , then this extension is an analytic function in G . Ischanov [2] (see also [3, 4]) generalized this result as follows: if $u(z)$ vanishes on E , then $f(z)$ is analytically extended from $G \setminus E$ to G . The aim of this paper is to prove the following generalization of the mentioned results.

Theorem 1. *Let G be a domain in \mathbb{C} , E a totally disconnected closed subset of G , and $f(z) = u(z) + iv(z)$ an analytic function in $G \setminus E$ such that for any $z_0 \in E$ we have either $u(z) \rightarrow 0$ or $v(z) \rightarrow 0$ as $z \rightarrow z_0$, $z \in G \setminus E$. Then the function $f(z)$ can be analytically extended from $G \setminus E$ to G .*

Proof. Let the conditions of Theorem 1 be satisfied and let $z_0 \in E$. Then we have one of the following cases:

(a) the function $f(z)$ is bounded in the intersection of $G \setminus E$ with some neighborhood of the point z_0 ;

(b) $u(z) \rightarrow 0$ as $z \rightarrow z_0$, $z \in G \setminus E$, and $\limsup_{z \rightarrow z_0, z \in G \setminus E} |v(z)| = +\infty$;

(c) $v(z) \rightarrow 0$ as $z \rightarrow z_0$, $z \in G \setminus E$, and $\limsup_{z \rightarrow z_0, z \in G \setminus E} |u(z)| = +\infty$.

Consider the case (a). Then there is an $r > 0$ such that the disk $D(z_0, r) := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z - z_0| < r\}$ is contained in G and the function $f(z)$ is bounded in $D(z_0, r) \setminus E$. Define the function

$$f_1(z) := -if^2(z), \quad z \in D(z_0, r) \setminus E.$$

Then we have

$$u_1(z) := \operatorname{Re} f_1(z) = 2u(z)v(z), \quad v_1(z) = \operatorname{Im} f_1(z) = v^2(z) - u^2(z).$$

Since the functions $u(z)$ and $v(z)$ are bounded in $D(z_0, r) \setminus E$, then for any $\zeta \in D(z_0, r) \cap E$ we have $u_1(z) \rightarrow 0$ as $z \rightarrow \zeta$, $z \in D(z_0, r) \setminus E$. The Ischanov theorem implies the existence of an analytic extension $F(z)$ of the function $f_1(z)$ from $D(z_0, r) \setminus E$ to $D(z_0, r)$. Let $\zeta \in E \cap D(z_0, r)$. Suppose that $F(\zeta) \neq 0$ and take an $\varepsilon \in (0, r)$ such that

$$D(\zeta, \varepsilon) \subset D(z_0, r) \quad \text{and} \quad |F(z) - F(\zeta)| < |F(\zeta)|/2 \quad \text{for all } z \in D(\zeta, \varepsilon).$$

Then $\sqrt{iF(z)}$ is a univalent analytic function in $D(\zeta, \varepsilon)$, where the branch of the square root in $D(iF(\zeta), |F(\zeta)|/2)$ is fixed by the condition $\sqrt{iF(z)} = f(z)$ for all $z \in D(\zeta, \varepsilon) \setminus E$.

Thus we justified the existence of an analytic continuation $\bar{f}(z)$ of the function $f(z)$ from $D(z_0, r) \setminus E$ to $D(z_0, r) \setminus (F^{-1}(0) \cap E)$, where the set $F^{-1}(0) := \{z \in D(z_0, r) : F(z) = 0\}$ contains only isolated points. Since the function $\bar{f}(z)$ is bounded in $D(z_0, r) \setminus (F^{-1}(0) \cap E)$, then each point of the set $F^{-1}(0) \cap E$ is a removable singular point for the function $\bar{f}(z)$.

The above arguments show that we can assume without loss of generality in the proof of Theorem 1 that for any $z_0 \in E$ we have either the case (b) or the case (c). Fix an arbitrary domain $G_0 \Subset G$, define E_1 and E_2 as the sets consisting of all points $z_0 \in E \cap G_0$ satisfying the conditions (b) and (c), respectively, and denote

$$\text{dist}(E_1, E_2) := \inf\{|z_1 - z_2| : z_1 \in E_1, z_2 \in E_2\}.$$

Suppose that $\text{dist}(E_1, E_2) = 0$. Then there are sequences $\{z_{1n}\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset E_1$ and $\{z_{2n}\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset E_2$ such that $|z_{1n} - z_{2n}| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ whence the compactness of the set $E \cap \overline{G_0}$, where $\overline{G_0}$ is the closure of G_0 , implies the existence of a point $z_0 \in E \cap \overline{G_0}$ such that

$$\limsup_{\zeta \rightarrow z_0, \zeta \in G \setminus E} |u(\zeta)| = \limsup_{\zeta \rightarrow z_0, \zeta \in G \setminus E} |v(\zeta)| = +\infty.$$

Therefore, the case (b) or (c) is impossible. Hence, $\text{dist}(E_1, E_2) > 0$ and consequently E_1 and E_2 are totally disconnected closed subsets of G_0 such that for any $z_0 \in E_1$ we have $u(z) \rightarrow 0$ as $z \rightarrow z_0$, $z \in G_0 \setminus (E_1 \cup E_2)$, and for any $z_0 \in E_2$ we have $v(z) \rightarrow 0$ as $z \rightarrow z_0$, $z \in G_0 \setminus (E_1 \cup E_2)$. Since $\text{dist}(E_1, E_2) > 0$, then applying Ischanov's theorem once again we conclude that the function $f(z)$ has an analytic continuation from $G_0 \setminus E$ to G_0 . Taking into account the arbitrariness in the selection of the domain G_0 we complete the proof of Theorem 1.

References

1. W. Fédoroff, *Sur la continuité des fonctions analytiques*, Math. Sb., **32**, № 1, 115–121 (1924).
2. B. Zh. Ischanov, *On one Fedorov's theorem*, Vestn. Moskov. Univ. Ser. Mat., № 3, 34–37 (1981).
3. B. Zh. Ischanov, *Generalization of Fedorov's theorem for harmonic functions of several variables*, Vestn. Moskov. Univ. Ser. Mat., № 1, 100–102 (1986).
4. B. Zh. Ischanov, *Generalization of Fedorov's theorem to M-harmonic functions*, Math. Notes, **56**, № 5-6, 1132–1136 (1994).

Received 19.04.17