

2-QUASI CROSSED MODULES OF COMMUTATIVE ALGEBRAS**2-КВАЗИ СХРЕЩЕНІ МОДУЛІ КОМУТАТИВНИХ АЛГЕБР**

We define 2-quasi crossed modules of commutative algebras obtained by relaxing some 2-crossed module conditions. Moreover, we prove that there exists a functorial relationship between these two structures which enables us to construct the coproduct object in the category of 2-crossed modules of commutative algebras.

Дано визначення 2-квазі схрещених модулів комутативних алгебр на базі послаблення деяких умов для 2-схрещених модулів. Крім того, доведено, що існує функторне співвідношення між цими двома структурами, яке дозволяє збудувати об'єкт ко-добутку у категорії 2-схрещених модулів комутативних алгебр.

1. Introduction. Crossed modules of groups [14] are given by a group homomorphism $\partial: E \rightarrow G$, together with an action \triangleright of G on E , such that the following Peiffer relations:

$$\text{XM1: } \partial(g \triangleright e) = g \partial(e) g^{-1}, \quad \text{XM2: } \partial(e) \triangleright f = e f e^{-1}$$

are satisfied, for all $e, f \in E$, $g \in G$. Without the second condition, we call it a precrossed module.

2-crossed modules of groups [7] are given by a group complex $L \xrightarrow{\delta} E \xrightarrow{\partial} G$, satisfying certain conditions together with the actions of G on L and E , making it a complex of G -modules, where G acts on itself by conjugation. The first Peiffer relation for the map $\partial: E \rightarrow G$ automatically holds, thus $\partial: E \rightarrow G$ is a precrossed module. The second Peiffer relation does not hold in general. However the Peiffer lifting $\{-, -\}: E \times E \rightarrow L$ measures how far the second Peiffer relation is from being satisfied, namely: $\delta(\{e, f\}) = (e f e^{-1})(\partial(e) \triangleright f^{-1})$, for all $e, f \in E$. The category of 2-crossed modules is equivalent to a reflexive subcategory of the category of simplicial groups with Moore complex of length two [11].

As for the group case, 2-crossed modules of commutative algebras are introduced in [8] to obtain a method for computing the (co)homology groups of a commutative algebra with coefficients which coincides with the Andre–Quillen theory for $n = 0, 1, 2, 3$. Consequently, without simplicial theory, they get the Jacobi–Zariski sequence. The construction of 2-crossed modules of commutative algebras depends on, essentially switching actions by automorphisms to actions by multipliers under certain conditions. A 2-crossed module of commutative algebras $L \xrightarrow{\partial_2} E \xrightarrow{\partial_1} R$ has an underlying complex of commutative algebras and the following data: we have the algebra actions \triangleright of R on E , L ; and a Peiffer lifting map $\{-, -\}: E \times E \rightarrow L$ satisfying the conditions given in Definition 2.4.

As in the group case, simplicial commutative algebras and 2-crossed modules of commutative algebras are closely related. A simplicial commutative algebra [2, 10] $A = (A_n, d_n^i, s_n^i)$, i.e., a simplicial object in the category of commutative algebras, is given by a collection of algebra morphisms $d_n^i: A_n \rightarrow A_{n-1}$, $i = 0, \dots, n$, and $s_n^i: A_n \rightarrow A_{n+1}$, $i = 0, \dots, n$, called boundaries and degeneracies respectively, such that satisfying the well known simplicial identities. The Moore complex of the simplicial commutative algebra A is the complex

$$N(A) = \left(\dots \xrightarrow{d_{(n+1)}} N(A)_n \xrightarrow{d_n} \dots \xrightarrow{d_3} N(A)_2 \xrightarrow{d_2} N(A)_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} A_0 \right),$$

where $N(A)_n = \bigcap_{i=0}^{n-1} \ker(d_n^i) \subset A_n$ at level n and the boundary $d_n: N(A)_n \rightarrow N(A)_{n-1}$ is the restriction of $d_n^n: A_n \rightarrow A_{(n-1)}$. We say that the Moore complex of a simplicial commutative algebra A has length n if $N(A)_i$ is trivial for all $i > n$.

If A has Moore complex of length one, then $N(A)_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} A_0$ defines a crossed module [12]. One level further, a simplicial commutative algebra A with Moore complex of length two, corresponds to a 2-crossed module $N(A)_2 \xrightarrow{d_2} N(A)_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} A_0$; see [3] for details. Conversely, one can get the corresponding simplicial commutative algebra by using a 2-crossed module. This gives an equivalence between the categories of simplicial commutative algebras with Moore complex of length two, and that of 2-crossed modules of commutative algebras [9].

The crossed modules of groups with a fixed codomain G are called crossed G -modules. For any two crossed G -modules of groups $\partial: E \rightarrow G$ and $\partial': E' \rightarrow G$, the coproduct is defined via the quotient of the free group $E * E'$ by Brown in [4]. However, we should replace the free group structure by the semi-direct product when we work in the category of commutative algebras [13].

However, the construction of the coproduct of 2-crossed module is definitely more complicated than crossed modules. Because 2-crossed modules have much more data than crossed modules. To overcome this difficulty, it was necessary to define something weaker than a 2-crossed module, yet with some functorial relations (adjunction) again with 2-crossed modules. For this aim, in this paper, we first define 2-quasi crossed modules in the category of commutative algebras inspired by [6]. Afterwards, we give an adjunction between the category of 2-crossed modules and the category of 2-quasi crossed modules. This adjunction allow us to define the coproduct object with the category theoretical point of view.

2. Preliminaries. We fix a commutative ring κ , not necessarily with 1. All algebras considered will be associative and commutative over κ , but not necessarily with a multiplicative identity.

If E and R are two algebras, a bilinear map $(r, e) \in R \times E \mapsto r \triangleright e \in E$ is called an algebra action of R on E if, for all $e, e' \in E$ and $r, r' \in R$, we have

$$A1: r \triangleright (ee') = (r \triangleright e) e' = e (r \triangleright e'), \quad A2: (rr') \triangleright e = r \triangleright (r' \triangleright e).$$

Then we get the semidirect product $E \rtimes R$ with

$$(e, r) (e', r') = (r \triangleright e' + r' \triangleright e + ee', rr'),$$

for all $e, e' \in E$ and $r, r' \in R$.

Convention: Let $L \rightarrow E \rightarrow R$ be a chain complex of R -algebras. The actions of R on E and L will be both denoted by " \triangleright " in the rest of the paper. We say that the subalgebra E' of E is R -invariant if $r \triangleright e' \in E'$ for all $e' \in E'$ and $r \in R$. A function $f: L \rightarrow E$ is said to be R -equivariant if $f(r \triangleright l) = r \triangleright f(l)$, for all $l \in L$ and $r \in R$. Remark that R has a natural R -algebra structure where the action is defined via its multiplication.

2.1. Crossed modules of algebras.

Definition 2.1. A precrossed module of algebras (E, R, ∂) , is given by an algebra homomorphism $\partial: E \rightarrow R$, together with an action \triangleright of R on E , such that the following relation, called the "first Peiffer relation", holds

$$(XM1) \quad \partial(r \triangleright e) = r \partial(e), \text{ for all } e \in E \text{ and } r \in R.$$

A crossed module of algebras (E, R, ∂) is a precrossed module satisfying, furthermore, the "second Peiffer relation"

$$(XM2) \quad \partial(e) \triangleright e' = ee', \text{ for all } e, e' \in E.$$

Example 2.1. Let R be an algebra and $E \trianglelefteq R$ be any ideal of R . Then (E, R, i) , where $i: E \rightarrow R$ is the inclusion map, is a crossed module. We use the multiplication in R to define the action of R on E .

Definition 2.2. A crossed module morphism $(f_1, f_0): (E, R) \rightarrow (E', R')$ consists of algebra homomorphisms $f_0: R \rightarrow R'$ and $f_1: E \rightarrow E'$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow{\partial} & R \\ f_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow f_0 \\ E' & \xrightarrow{\partial'} & R' \end{array}$$

and preserve the action, namely $f_1(r \triangleright e) = f_0(e) \triangleright f_1(e)$, for all $r \in R$ and $e \in E$.

Thus we get the category of crossed modules of algebras denoted by $XMod$.

Definition 2.3. The category of crossed modules with fixed codomain R is the full subcategory of $XMod$ that is denoted by $XMod/R$. These crossed modules will be called crossed R -modules.

2.2. 2-crossed modules of algebras.

Definition 2.4. A 2-crossed module of algebras $L \xrightarrow{\partial_2} E \xrightarrow{\partial_1} R$ is given by a chain complex of R -algebra homomorphisms ($\partial_1 \circ \partial_2 = 0$), equipped with an R -equivariant bilinear map namely $r \triangleright \{e, e'\} = \{r \triangleright e, e'\} = \{e, r \triangleright e'\}$, called Peiffer lifting

$$\{-, -\}: E \otimes_R E \longrightarrow L,$$

such that satisfying:

- (2XM1) $\partial_2\{e, e'\} = ee' - \partial_1(e') \triangleright e,$
- (2XM2) $\{\partial_2(l), \partial_2(l')\} = ll',$
- (2XM3) $\{e, e'e''\} = \{ee', e''\} + \partial_1(e'') \triangleright \{e, e'\},$
- (2XM4) $\{e, \partial_2(l)\} - \{\partial_2(l), e\} = \partial_1(e) \triangleright l,$

for all $l, l' \in L, e, e', e'' \in E$, and $r \in R$.

Remark 2.1. Note that $\partial_2: L \rightarrow E$ is a crossed module, where E acts on L with

$$e \triangleright l = \{e, \partial_2(l)\}.$$

However, $\partial_1: E \rightarrow R$ is a precrossed module in general. The Peiffer lifting in E measures exactly the failure of $\partial_1: E \rightarrow R$ to be a crossed module.

Example 2.2. Let (E, R, ∂) be a precrossed module. $\ker(\partial) \xrightarrow{i} E \xrightarrow{\partial} R$, where $i: \ker(\partial) \rightarrow E$ is the inclusion map, is a 2-crossed module, where

$$\{-, -\}: (e, e') \in E \otimes_R E \longmapsto \{e, e'\} = ee' - \partial(e) \triangleright e' \in \ker(\partial).$$

Notation. Any 2-crossed module of algebras will be denoted by $(L, E, R, \partial_1, \partial_2)$.

Definition 2.5. Given 2-crossed modules $(L, E, R, \partial_1, \partial_2)$ and $(L', E', R', \partial'_1, \partial'_2)$, a 2-crossed module morphism consists of algebra homomorphisms $f_0: R \rightarrow R'$, $f_1: E \rightarrow E'$ and $f_2: L \rightarrow L'$, making the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} L & \xrightarrow{\partial_2} & E & \xrightarrow{\partial_1} & R \\ \downarrow f_2 & & \downarrow f_1 & & \downarrow f_0 \\ L' & \xrightarrow{\partial'_2} & E' & \xrightarrow{\partial'_1} & R' \end{array}$$

commutative and preserving the actions of R, R' , and the Peiffer lifting, namely

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(r \triangleright e) &= f_0(r) \triangleright f_1(e), \quad \text{for all } e \in E \text{ and } r \in R, \\ f_2(r \triangleright l) &= f_0(r) \triangleright f_2(l), \quad \text{for all } l \in L \text{ and } r \in R, \\ f_2\{e, e'\} &= \{f_1(e), f_1(e')\}, \quad \text{for all } e, e' \in E. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we get the category of 2-crossed modules of algebras that is denoted by $X_2\text{Mod}$.

Definition 2.6. The category of 2-crossed modules with fixed tail $(E \rightarrow R)$ is the full subcategory of $X_2\text{Mod}$ that is denoted by $X_2\text{Mod}/(E \rightarrow R)$. This type of 2-crossed modules will be called 2-crossed $(R \rightarrow E)$ -modules.

3. 2-quasi crossed modules of algebras.

Definition 3.1. A 2-quasi crossed module of algebras is a chain complex of R -algebra homomorphisms $L \xrightarrow{\partial_2} E \xrightarrow{\partial_1} R$, together with an R -equivariant bilinear map

$$\{-, -\}: E \otimes_R E \longrightarrow L,$$

satisfying the following axioms:

- (2QX1) $\partial_2\{e, e'\} = ee' - \partial_1(e') \triangleright e$,
- (2QX2) $\{e, e'e''\} = \{ee', e''\} + \partial_1(e'') \triangleright \{e, e'\}$,
- (2QX3) $\{e', e\} \partial_1(e') \triangleright \{e, \partial_2(l)\} = \{ee'e, \partial_2(\partial_1(e') \triangleright l)\} - (\partial_1(e') \triangleright e)\{e, \partial_2(\partial_1(e') \triangleright l)\}$

for all $l \in L$ and $e, e', e'' \in E$.

Definition 3.2. 2-quasi crossed module morphisms can be defined in a similar way. Therefore, we get the category of 2-quasi crossed modules of algebras denoted by $QX_2\text{Mod}$.

The category of 2-quasi $(E \rightarrow R)$ -modules, namely $QX_2\text{Mod}/(E \rightarrow R)$ can also be defined according to Definition 2.6.

3.1. 2-crossed modules vs 2-quasi crossed modules.

Lemma 3.1. Any 2-crossed module is a 2-quasi crossed module. This leads an inclusion functor

$$X_2\text{Mod} \longrightarrow QX_2\text{Mod}. \tag{1}$$

Proof. Let $L \xrightarrow{\partial_2} E \xrightarrow{\partial_1} R$ be a 2-crossed module. We only have to prove that axiom 2QX3 is verified. So we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \{e', e\} \partial_1(e') \triangleright \{e, \partial_2(l)\} &= (e'e - \partial_1(e) \triangleright e')\{e, \partial_2(\partial_1(e') \triangleright l)\} = \\ &= e'e \{e, \partial_2(\partial_1(e') \triangleright l)\} - (\partial_1(e) \triangleright e')\{e, \partial_2(\partial_1(e') \triangleright l)\} = \\ &= e'e (e \cdot (\partial_1(e') \triangleright l)) - (\partial_1(e') \triangleright e) \cdot (e \cdot (\partial_1(e') \triangleright l)) = \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= e(e'e(\partial_1(e') \triangleright l) - e((\partial_1(e') \triangleright e) \cdot (\partial_1(e') \triangleright l))) = \\
 &= e(\{e'e, \partial_2(\partial_1(e') \triangleright l)\} - \{\partial_1(e') \triangleright e, \partial_2(\partial_1(e') \triangleright l)\}) = \\
 &= \{ee'e, \partial_2(\partial_1(e') \triangleright l)\} - \{e(\partial_1(e') \triangleright e), \partial_2(\partial_1(e') \triangleright l)\} = \\
 &= \{ee'e, \partial_2(\partial_1(e') \triangleright l)\} - (\partial_1(e') \triangleright e)\{e, \partial_2(\partial_1(e') \triangleright l)\},
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $l \in L$ and $e, e' \in E$, that completes the proof.

Lemma 3.2. Let $L \xrightarrow{\partial_2} E \xrightarrow{\partial_1} R$ be a 2-quasi crossed module and let $[L, L]$ be the ideal of L generated by the elements of the form

$$\begin{aligned}
 e \star l &= \partial_1(e) \triangleright l - \{e, \partial_2(l)\} + \{\partial_2(l), e\}, \\
 l_0 \# l_1 &= l_0 l_1 - \{\partial_2(l_0), \partial_2(l_1)\},
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $l, l_0, l_1 \in L$ and $e \in E$. Then $[L, L]$ is an R -invariant ideal of L .

Proof. For all $r \in R$, $e \in E$ and $l_0, l_1, l_2 \in L$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 r \triangleright (e \star l) &= r \triangleright (\partial_1(e) \triangleright l - \{e, \partial_2(l)\} + \{\partial_2(l), e\}) = \\
 &= r \triangleright (\partial_1(e) \triangleright l) - r \triangleright (\{e, \partial_2(l)\} + \{\partial_2(l), e\}) = \\
 &= r \partial_1(e) \triangleright l - r \triangleright \{e, \partial_2(l)\} + r \triangleright \{\partial_2(l), e\} = \\
 &= \partial_1(r \triangleright e) \triangleright l - \{r \triangleright e, \partial_2(l)\} + \{\partial_2(l), r \triangleright e\},
 \end{aligned}$$

Fix $r \triangleright e = e' \in E$; it follows

$$\partial_1(e') \triangleright l - \{e', \partial_2(l)\} + \{\partial_2(l), e'\} = e' \star l \in [L, L].$$

If we handle the second type of elements, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 r \triangleright (l_0 \# l_1) &= r \triangleright (l_0 l_1 - \{\partial_2(l_0), \partial_2(l_1)\}) = \\
 &= r \triangleright (l_0 l_1) - r \triangleright (\{\partial_2(l_0), \partial_2(l_1)\}) = \\
 &= (r \triangleright l_0) l_1 - \{r \triangleright \partial_2(l_0), \partial_2(l_1)\} = \\
 &= (r \triangleright l_0) l_1 - \{\partial_2(r \triangleright l_0), \partial_2(l_1)\},
 \end{aligned}$$

Fix $r \triangleright l_0 = l_2 \in L$; then it follows

$$l_2 l_1 - \{\partial_2(l_2), \partial_2(l_1)\} = l_2 \# l_1 \in [L, L]$$

and proves that $[L, L]$ is an R -invariant ideal.

Proposition 3.1. Hence we get the quotient R -algebra

$$L^{cr} = L/[L, L].$$

Lemma 3.3. The quotient map $\phi: L \rightarrow L/[L, L]$ provides an induced functor

$$(\)_{cr}^* : \text{QX}_2\text{Mod} \rightarrow \text{X}_2\text{Mod}$$

which maps any 2-quasi crossed module $L \xrightarrow{\partial_2} E \xrightarrow{\partial_1} R$ to a 2-crossed module

$$L^{cr} \xrightarrow{\partial_2^{cr}} E \xrightarrow{\partial_1} R \tag{2}$$

with the new Peiffer lifting $\{-, -\}^{cr} : E \otimes_R E \rightarrow L^{cr}$ given by the composition

$$E \otimes_R E \xrightarrow{\{-, -\}} L \xrightarrow{\phi} L^{cr}.$$

Proof. Axioms 2XM2 and 2XM4 are satisfied as the elements $e \star l$ and $l_0 \# l_1$ are already quotiented out in the definition of L^{cr} .

Since $\partial_2(e \star l) = \partial_2(l_0 \# l_1) = 0$, we also have

$$\partial_2([L, L]) = 0,$$

for all $e \in E$ and $l, l_0, l_1 \in L$.

Moreover we get

$$\begin{aligned} (2XM1) \quad \partial_2^{cr} \{e, e'\}^{cr} &= \partial_2^{cr} (\phi\{e, e'\}) = \\ &= \partial_2(\{e, e'\} + [L, L]) = \\ &= \partial_2\{e, e'\} + \partial_2([L, L]) = \\ &= ee' - \partial_1(e') \triangleright e \quad (\because 2QX1) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (2XM3) \quad \{e, e'e''\}^{cr} &= \phi\{e, e'e''\} = \{e, e'e''\} + [L, L] = \\ &= (\{ee', e''\} + \partial_1(e'') \triangleright \{e, e'\}) + [L, L] \quad (\because 2QX2) = \\ &= (\{ee', e''\} + [L, L]) + (\partial_1(e'') \triangleright \{e, e'\} + [L, L]) = \\ &= (\{ee', e''\} + [L, L]) + \partial_1(e'') \triangleright (\{e, e'\} + [L, L]) = \\ &= \phi\{ee', e''\} + \partial_1(e'') \triangleright \phi\{e, e'\} = \\ &= \{ee', e''\}^{cr} + \partial_1(e'') \triangleright \{e, e'\}^{cr} \end{aligned}$$

for all $e, e', e'' \in E$, that completes the proof.

Remark that, we used the fact that $[L, L]$ is R -invariant, in the above calculations.

Corollary 3.1. We get the following adjunction:

$$\text{QX}_2\text{Mod} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{(\)_{cr}^*} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \end{array} \text{X}_2\text{Mod}. \tag{3}$$

3.2. Simplicial algebras vs 2-quasi crossed modules of algebras. We know that the category of 2-crossed modules of algebras is equivalent to the category of simplicial algebras with Moore complex of length two. This equivalence is proven by higher dimensional Peiffer elements in [3] with

the method introduced in [5]. Briefly, for a given simplicial algebra $A = (A_n, d_n^i, s_n^i)$ with Moore complex of length two, the subalgebras generated by

$$(\ker d_0)(\ker d_1 \cap \ker d_2), \quad (\ker d_1)(\ker d_0 \cap \ker d_2), \quad (\ker d_2)(\ker d_0 \cap \ker d_1)$$

of A_2 are all trivial. However, the notion of 2-quasi crossed modules arises by weakening some of these conditions as follows:

- define A'_1 be the subalgebra of $\ker(d_1: A_2 \rightarrow A_1)$ generated by the elements in the form $s_1(x) - s_0(x)$, for all $x \in A_1$,
- define A'_2 be the subalgebra of $\ker(d_2: A_2 \rightarrow A_1)$ generated by the elements in the form $s_0(x) - s_1 s_0 d_1(x)$, for all $x \in A_1$.

Then we get the definition of 2-quasi crossed modules corresponding to the 2-truncated simplicial algebras with the following trivial subalgebras of A_2 :

$$(A'_1)(\ker d_0 \cap \ker d_2), \quad (A'_2)(\ker d_0 \cap \ker d_1).$$

4. Coproduct of 2-quasi crossed modules. Let us recall the coproduct of crossed modules of algebras from [13].

4.1. Coproduct of crossed modules. Let (A, R, ∂_1) and (B, R, ∂_2) be two crossed R -modules. There exists an action of B on A with

$$b \triangleright a = \partial_2(b) \triangleright a. \tag{4}$$

Then, we have the semidirect product $B \rtimes A$. Define $\partial: B \rtimes A \rightarrow R$ by

$$\partial(b, a) = \partial_2(b) + \partial_1(a).$$

for all $(b, a) \in B \rtimes A$. Here ∂ becomes a precrossed module where R acts on $B \rtimes A$ in a natural way, since

$$\begin{aligned} \partial(r \triangleright (b, a)) &= \partial(r \triangleright b, r \triangleright a) = \partial_2(r \triangleright b) + \partial_1(r \triangleright a) = \\ &= r \partial_2(b) + r \partial_1(a) = r (\partial_2(b) + \partial_1(a)) = r \partial(b, a), \end{aligned}$$

for all $r \in R$ and $(b, a) \in B \rtimes A$.

Let P be the ideal of $B \rtimes A$ generated by the elements of the form

$$(b, a)(b', a') - \partial(b, a) \triangleright (b', a')$$

for all $(b, a), (b', a') \in B \rtimes A$. On the other hand, we have (by using (4))

$$\begin{aligned} (b, a)(b', a') - \partial(b, a) \triangleright (b', a') &= (b, a) \cdot (b', a') - (\partial_2(b) + \partial_1(a)) \triangleright (b', a') = \\ &= (bb', \partial_2(b) \triangleright a' + \partial_2(b') \triangleright a + aa') - ((\partial_2(b) + \partial_1(a)) \triangleright b', (\partial_2(b) + \partial_1(a)) \triangleright a') = \\ &= (bb', \partial_2(b) \triangleright a' + \partial_2(b') \triangleright a + aa') - (\partial_2(b) \triangleright b' + \partial_1(a) \triangleright b', \partial_2(b) \triangleright a' + \partial_1(a) \triangleright a') = \\ &= (bb', \partial_2(b) \triangleright a' + \partial_2(b') \triangleright a + aa') - (bb' + \partial_1(a) \triangleright b', \partial_2(b) \triangleright a' + aa') = \\ &= (-\partial_1(a) \triangleright b', \partial_2(b') \triangleright a). \end{aligned}$$

That means P is generated by the elements

$$(-\partial_1(a) \triangleright b', \partial_2(b') \triangleright a).$$

Remark that $\partial(P) = 0$. Hence we have the induced morphism

$$\bar{\partial}: (B \times A)/P \rightarrow R,$$

defined by

$$\bar{\partial}((b, a) + P) = \partial_2(b) + \partial_1(a),$$

gives us a crossed module since, for all $(b, a), (b', a') \in B \times A$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\partial}((b, a) + P) \triangleright ((b', a') + P) &= (\partial_2(b) + \partial_1(a)) \triangleright ((b', a') + P) = \\ &= (\partial_2(b) \triangleright b' + \partial_1(a) \triangleright b', \partial_2(b) \triangleright a' + \partial_1(a) \triangleright a') = \\ &= (bb' + \partial_1(a) \triangleright b', \partial_2(b) \triangleright a' + aa'). \end{aligned}$$

We know that $(-\partial_1(a) \triangleright b', \partial_2(b') \triangleright a) \in P$, it follows

$$\begin{aligned} (bb' + \partial_1(a) \triangleright b', \partial_2(b) \triangleright a' + aa') &= (bb', \partial_2(b') \triangleright a + \partial_2(b) \triangleright a' + aa') = \\ &= ((b, a)(b', a')) + P = ((b, a) + P)((b', a') + P). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we get the crossed module $((B \times A)/P, R, \bar{\partial})$ which is the coproduct in XMod/R .

4.2. Coproduct of 2-quasi crossed modules. Let us fix two 2-quasi crossed $(E \rightarrow R)$ -modules

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A} &= L_1 \xrightarrow{\partial_2} E \xrightarrow{\partial_1} R, \quad \{-, -\}_1: E \times E \rightarrow L_1, \\ \mathcal{A}' &= L_2 \xrightarrow{\partial'_2} E \xrightarrow{\partial_1} R, \quad \{-, -\}_2: E \times E \rightarrow L_2 \end{aligned}$$

throughout the entire section.

Remark that, we have

$$\partial_2\{e, e'\}_1 = \partial'_2\{e, e'\}_2 = ee' - \partial_1(e') \triangleright e,$$

when we consider \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{A}' .

Construct $L_1 \times L_2$ in the sense of subsection 4.1. Then let P be the ideal of $L_1 \times L_2$ generated by the elements

$$(\epsilon_1\{e, e'\}_1, \epsilon_2\{e, e'\}_2),$$

where $\epsilon_i = \pm 1$ and $\epsilon_1 \neq \epsilon_2$.

Define the Peiffer lifting

$$\{-, -\}: E \times E \rightarrow (L_1 \times L_2)/P,$$

with

$$\{e, e'\} = (\{e, e'\}_1, 0) + P = (0, \{e, e'\}_2) + P,$$

by considering $(\{e, e'\}_1, -\{e, e'\}_2) \in P$.

E acts on $(L_1 \times L_2)/P$ in a natural way, namely

$$e \triangleright ((l, l') + P) = (e \triangleright l, e \triangleright l') + P,$$

for all $e \in E$ and $(l, l') \in L_1 \times L_2$.

There exists an induced morphism

$$\bar{\partial}: (L_1 \times L_2)/P \rightarrow E,$$

where

$$\bar{\partial}((l_1, l_2) + P) = \partial_2(l_1) + \partial'_2(l_2),$$

by using the fact that $\partial(P) = 0$.

Thus we get a 2-quasi crossed module

$$(L_1 \times L_2)/P \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} E \xrightarrow{\partial_1} R$$

since

$$\begin{aligned} (2QX1) \quad \bar{\partial}\{e, e'\} &= \bar{\partial}(\{e, e'\}_1, 0) + P = \\ &= \partial_2\{e, e'\}_1 = ee' - \partial_1(e') \triangleright e, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (2QX2) \quad \{e, e'e''\} &= (\{e, e'e''\}_1, 0) + P = \\ &= (\{ee', e''\}_1 + \partial_1(e'') \triangleright \{e, e'\}_1, 0) + P = \\ &= (\{ee', e''\}_1, 0) + P + (\partial_1(e'') \triangleright \{e, e'\}_1, 0) + P = \\ &= \{ee', e''\} + \partial_1(e'') \triangleright \{e, e'\} \end{aligned}$$

and also

$$\begin{aligned} 2QX3) \quad \{e, e'\} \partial_1(e) \triangleright \{e', \bar{\partial}((l, l') + P)\} &= \{e, e'\} \partial_1(e) \triangleright \{e', \partial_2(l) + \partial'_2(l')\} = \\ &= \{e, e'\} \partial_1(e) \triangleright (\{e', \partial_2(l)\} + \{e', \partial'_2(l')\}) = \\ &= \{e, e'\} (\partial_1(e) \triangleright \{e', \partial_2(l)\} + \partial_1(e) \triangleright \{e', \partial'_2(l')\}) = \\ &= \{e, e'\} \partial_1(e) \triangleright \{e', \partial_2(l)\} + \{e, e'\} \partial_1(e) \triangleright \{e', \partial'_2(l')\} = \\ &= ((\{e, e'\}_1, 0) + P) \partial_1(e) \triangleright ((\{e', \partial_2(l)\}_1, 0) + P) + \\ &+ ((0, \{e, e'\}_2) + P) \partial_1(e) \triangleright ((0, \{e', \partial'_2(l')\}) + P) = \\ &= ((\{e, e'\}_1, 0) \partial_1(e) \triangleright (\{e', \partial_2(l)\}_1, 0) + P) + \\ &+ ((0, \{e, e'\}_2) \partial_1(e) \triangleright (0, \{e', \partial'_2(l')\}) + P) = \\ &= ((\{e, e'\}_1 \partial_1(e) \triangleright \{e', \partial_2(l)\}_1, 0) + P) + \\ &+ ((0, \{e, e'\}_2 \partial_1(e) \triangleright \{e', \partial'_2(l')\}_2) + P) = \\ &= ((\{e'ee', \partial_2(\partial_1(e) \triangleright l)\}_1 - (\partial_1(e) \triangleright e')\{e', \partial_2(\partial_1(e) \triangleright l)\}_1, 0) + P) + \\ &+ ((0, \{e'ee', \partial'_2(\partial_1(e) \triangleright l')\}_2 - (\partial_1(e) \triangleright e')\{e', \partial'_2(\partial_1(e) \triangleright l')\}_2) + P) = \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= ((\{e'ee', \partial_2(\partial_1(e) \triangleright l)\}_1, 0) + P) - (\partial_1(e) \triangleright e')((\{e', \partial_2(\partial_1(e) \triangleright l)\}_1, 0) + P) + \\
 &+ ((0, \{e'ee', \partial'_2(\partial_1(e) \triangleright l')\}_2) + P) - (\partial_1(e) \triangleright e')((0, \{e', \partial'_2(\partial_1(e) \triangleright l')\}_2)) + P) = \\
 &= \{e'ee', \partial_2(\partial_1(e) \triangleright l)\} - (\partial_1(e) \triangleright e')\{e', \partial_2(\partial_1(e) \triangleright l)\} + \\
 &+ \{e'ee', \partial'_2(\partial_1(e) \triangleright l')\} - (\partial_1(e) \triangleright e')\{e', \partial'_2(\partial_1(e) \triangleright l')\} = \\
 &= \{e'ee', \partial_2(\partial_1(e) \triangleright l) + \partial'_2(\partial_1(e) \triangleright l')\} - \\
 &- (\partial_1(e) \triangleright e')\{e', \partial_2(\partial_1(e) \triangleright l) + \partial'_2(\partial_1(e) \triangleright l')\} = \\
 &= \{e'ee', \bar{\partial}((\partial_1(e) \triangleright l, \partial_1(e) \triangleright l') + P)\} - \\
 &- (\partial_1(e) \triangleright e')\{e', \bar{\partial}(\partial_1(e) \triangleright l, \partial_1(e) \triangleright l') + P)\} = \\
 &= \{e'ee', \bar{\partial}(\partial_1(e) \triangleright ((l, l') + P))\} - (\partial_1(e) \triangleright e')\{e', \bar{\partial}(\partial_1(e) \triangleright ((l, l') + P))\},
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $e, e', e'' \in E$ and $((l, l') + P) \in (L_1 \times L_2)/P$.

Theorem 4.1. *Given two 2-quasi crossed $(E \rightarrow R)$ -modules \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{A}' , we have the coproduct*

$$\mathcal{A} \coprod_{\text{QX}_2\text{Mod}} \mathcal{A}' = (L_1 \times L_2)/P \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} E \xrightarrow{\partial_1} R$$

in the category $\text{QX}_2\text{Mod}/(E \rightarrow R)$.

Proof. Let

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 L_1 & \xrightarrow{\partial_2} & E & \xrightarrow{\partial_1} & R \\
 \downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow id & & \downarrow id \\
 D & \xrightarrow{\partial''_2} & E & \xrightarrow{\partial_1} & R
 \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 L_2 & \xrightarrow{\partial'_2} & E & \xrightarrow{\partial_1} & R \\
 \downarrow \beta & & \downarrow id & & \downarrow id \\
 D & \xrightarrow{\partial''_2} & E & \xrightarrow{\partial_1} & R
 \end{array}$$

be two 2-quasi crossed module morphisms. Then there exists a unique 2-quasi crossed module morphism

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 (L_1 \times L_2)/P & \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} & E & \xrightarrow{\partial_1} & R \\
 \downarrow \phi & & \downarrow id & & \downarrow id \\
 D & \xrightarrow{\partial''_2} & E & \xrightarrow{\partial_1} & R
 \end{array}$$

where the morphism

$$\phi: (L_1 \times L_2)/P \longrightarrow D,$$

is given by

$$\phi((l_1, l_2) + P) = \alpha(l_1) + \beta(l_2),$$

which satisfies the universal property of the coproduct object with the following diagram, and completes the proof.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & D & \xrightarrow{\partial_2''} & E & \xrightarrow{\partial_1} & R \\
 & & \nearrow & & \uparrow & & \nwarrow \\
 & & (\alpha, id, id) & & (\phi, id, id) & & (\beta, id, id) \\
 & & & & \vdots & & \\
 L_1 & \xrightarrow{\partial_2} & E & \xrightarrow{\partial_1} & R & \xrightarrow{(i_1, id, id)} & (L_1 \times L_2)/P & \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} & E & \xrightarrow{\partial_1} & R & \xleftarrow{(i_2, id, id)} & L_2 & \xrightarrow{\partial_2'} & E & \xrightarrow{\partial_1} & R.
 \end{array}$$

5. Coproduct of 2-crossed modules. In this section, we construct the coproduct object in the category $X_2\text{Mod}/(E \rightarrow R)$ through 2-quasi crossed modules and their functorial relationship with 2-crossed modules.

First of all, let us denote two fixed 2-crossed $(E \rightarrow R)$ -modules

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{A} &= L_1 \xrightarrow{\partial_2} E \xrightarrow{\partial_1} R, & \{-, -\}_1 &: E \times E \rightarrow L_1, \\
 \mathcal{A}' &= L_2 \xrightarrow{\partial_2'} E \xrightarrow{\partial_1} R, & \{-, -\}_2 &: E \times E \rightarrow L_2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 5.1. *Given two 2-crossed $(E \rightarrow R)$ -modules \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{A}' , we have the coproduct*

$$\mathcal{A} \amalg_{X_2\text{Mod}} \mathcal{A}' = \left(\mathcal{A} \amalg_{QX_2\text{Mod}} \mathcal{A}' \right)_{cr}^*$$

in the category $X_2\text{Mod}/(E \rightarrow R)$.

Proof. Suppose that we have 2-crossed $(E \rightarrow R)$ -modules $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}'$. Considering the inclusion functor $X_2\text{Mod} \rightarrow QX_2\text{Mod}$ given in (1), we naturally have 2-quasi crossed $(E \rightarrow R)$ -modules \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{A}' . Thus, from Theorem 4.1, we obtain

$$\mathcal{A} \amalg_{QX_2\text{Mod}} \mathcal{A}' = (L_1 \times L_2)/P \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} E \xrightarrow{\partial_1} R \tag{5}$$

which is the coproduct object in the category $QX_2\text{Mod}/(E \rightarrow R)$.

Recall from (3) that the functor

$$()_{cr}^* : QX_2\text{Mod} \longrightarrow X_2\text{Mod} \tag{6}$$

is left adjoint to the inclusion functor (1).

From the categorical point of view, it is a well-known property that left adjoints preserve colimits; moreover, the coproduct object is defined as a colimit over the diagram that consists of just two objects [1]. Consequently, the functor $()_{cr}^*$ maps coproducts to coproducts.

Then, when we apply the functor (6) to (5) we get the 2-crossed module

$$\left(\mathcal{A} \amalg_{QX_2\text{Mod}} \mathcal{A}' \right)_{cr}^* = \left((L_1 \times L_2)/P \right)^{cr} \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}^{cr}} E \xrightarrow{\partial_1} R \tag{7}$$

that follows from (2); which gives the coproduct $\mathcal{A} \amalg_{X_2\text{Mod}} \mathcal{A}'$ in the category $X_2\text{Mod}/(E \rightarrow R)$.

Remark 5.1. In fact, (7) defines the coproduct of $(\mathcal{A})_{cr}^*$ and $(\mathcal{A}')_{cr}^*$ in general. However, the inclusion map in our adjunction (3) provides that: when we have a 2-crossed module and apply the inclusion functor and $(\)_{cr}^*$ respectively, we obtain the same 2-crossed module up to isomorphism. Summarily, $\mathcal{A} \in X_2\text{Mod} \xrightarrow{\quad} \mathcal{A} \in QX_2\text{Mod} \xrightarrow{(\)_{cr}^*} (\mathcal{A})_{cr}^* \cong \mathcal{A} \in X_2\text{Mod}$.

Acknowledgment. The author thanks the anonymous referee for his/her comments to improve the paper. This research was supported by the projects Mathematical Structures 9 (MUNI/A/0885/2019), and Group Techniques and Quantum Information (MUNI/G/1211/2017) by Masaryk University Grant Agency (GAMU).

References

1. J. Adámek, H. Herrlich, G. E. Strecker, *Abstract and concrete categories. The joy of cats*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc, New York etc. (1990).
2. M. Andre, *Homologie des algèbres commutatives*, Springer (1974).
3. Z. Arvasi, T. Porter, *Higher dimensional Peiffer elements in simplicial commutative algebras*, Theory Appl. Categ., **3**, 1–23 (1997).
4. R. Brown, *Coproducts of crossed P-modules: applications to second homotopy groups and to the homology of groups*, Topology, **23**, 337–345 (1984).
5. P. Carrasco, *Complejos hipercruzados, cohomologías y extensiones*, PhD. Thesis, Universidad de Granada (1995).
6. P. Carrasco, T. Porter, *Coproduct of 2-crossed modules: applications to a definition of a tensor product for 2-crossed complexes*, Collect. Math., **67(3)**, 485–517 (2016).
7. D. Conduché, *Modules croisés généralisés de longueur 2*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, **34**, 155–178 (1984).
8. J. Doncel, A. Grandjeán, M. Vale, *On the homology of commutative algebras*, J. Pure and Appl. Algebra, **79**, № 2, 131–157 (1992).
9. A. Grandjeán, M. Vale, *2-módulos cruzados en la cohomología de André–Quillen*, Real Academia de Ciencias Exactas, Físicas y Naturales de Madrid (1986).
10. I. Moerdijk, B. Toën, *Simplicial commutative algebras*, Springer, p. 159–165 (2010).
11. A. Mutlu, T. Porter, *Applications of Peiffer pairings in the Moore complex of a simplicial group*, Theory Appl. Categ., **4**, 148–173 (1998).
12. T. Porter, *Homology of commutative algebras and an invariant of Simis and Vasconcelos*, J. Algebra, **99**, 458–465 (1986).
13. N. Shammu, *Algebraic and categorical structure of categories of crossed modules of algebras*, University College of North Wales (1992).
14. J. Whitehead, *On adding relations to homotopy groups*, Ann. Math., (2), **42**, 409–428 (1941).

Received 05.11.18,
after revision — 08.04.20