

**A. Elgargati** (Laboratory Fundamental and Applied Mathematics, Univ. Hassan II, Casablanca, Morocco),

**M. El Loualid**<sup>1</sup> (Chouaib Doukkali Univ. El Jadida, Nat. School Applied Sci., Sci. Engineer Lab. Energy, El Jadida, Morocco),

**R. Daher** (Laboratory Fundamental and Applied Mathematics, Univ. Hassan II, Casablanca, Morocco)

## DEFORMED HANKEL TRANSFORM OF DINI–LIPSCHITZ FUNCTIONS

## ДЕФОРМОВАНЕ ПЕРЕТВОРЕННЯ ГАНКЕЛЯ ФУНКЦІЙ ДІНІ–ЛІПШИЦЯ

By using a generalized symmetric difference  $\Delta_h^m$  of order  $m$  and step  $h > 0$ , we obtain an analog of the Titchmarsh theorems [Introduction to the theory of Fourier integrals, Oxford Univ. Press (1948)] (Theorems 84 and 85) for the deformed Hankel transform. We also provide a further extension of the theorem cited above for functions in  $L_k^p$  with an abstract deformed Hankel–Dini–Lipschitz condition.

Використовуючи узагальнену симетричну різницю  $\Delta_h^m$  порядку  $m$  і кроку  $h > 0$ , отримано аналог теорем Тітчмарша [Introduction to the theory of Fourier integrals, Oxford Univ. Press (1948)] (теореми 84 і 85) для деформованого перетворення Ганкеля. Крім того, наведено додаткове розширення вказаної теореми для функцій у  $L_k^p$  з абстрактною деформованою умовою Ганкеля–Діні–Ліпшиця.

**1. Introduction.** In [8], Titchmarsh gave a Lipschitz condition on a function  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$  for which its Fourier transform belongs to  $L^\beta(\mathbb{R})$  for some values of  $\beta$ . His result reads as follows.

**Theorem 1.1** ([8], Theorem 84). *Let  $f$  belong to  $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $1 < p \leq 2$ , and*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x+h) - f(x)|^p dx = O(h^{\alpha p}), \quad 0 < \alpha \leq 1, \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow 0.$$

Then  $\hat{f}$  belong to  $L^\beta(\mathbb{R})$  for

$$\frac{p}{p + p\alpha - 1} < \beta \leq \frac{p}{p - 1}.$$

**Theorem 1.2** ([8], Theorem 85). *Let  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and assume that  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . Then the following statement are equivalents:*

$$\|f(\cdot + h) - f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = O(h^\alpha),$$

$$\int_{|\lambda| \geq r} |\hat{f}(f)(\lambda)|^2 d\lambda = O(r^{-2\alpha}) \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty,$$

where  $\hat{f}$  stands for the Fourier transform of  $f$ .

There are many different analogs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2: for the Fourier transform on Riemannian symmetric spaces of rank 1 and, in particular, for the Fourier transform on the Lobachevski plane, for the Fourier–Jacobi transform, for the  $q$ -Bessel transform, etc. (see, for example, [3–7]).

In the present paper, we obtain a analog of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 for the deformed Hankel transform newly introduced by S. Ben Saïd [1, 2]. The importance of this transform lies in

<sup>1</sup> Corresponding author, e-mail: mehdi.loualid@gmail.com.

the fact that it generalizes many integral transforms. Furthermore, we define the deformed Hankel–Dini–Lipschitz class  $H_{\alpha,\gamma}^{m,p}$  and we obtain an extension of the Theorem 1.1 in this occurrence.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 is a summary of the main results in the harmonic analysis associated with the deformed Hankel transform and we prove some auxiliary results required for the proofs of the main results. In Section 3, first, we define the deformed Hankel–Lipschitz class  $H_{\alpha}^{m,p}$ . Next, we prove analogous of the Theorem 1.1. In Section 4, we consider the particular case, when  $p = 2$ , and we provide a characterization of the space  $H_{\alpha}^{m,2}$  of deformed Hankel–Lipschitz class functions by means of asymptotic estimate growth of the norm of their deformed Hankel transform for  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ . In Section 5, we extend the Theorem 1.1 to the deformed Hankel–Dini–Lipschitz class  $H_{\alpha,\gamma}^{m,p}$ .

**2. Definitions and auxiliary results.** In this section, first, we briefly collect the pertinent definitions and facts relevant for deformed Hankel transform. Secondly, we prove some auxiliary results. For more details we refer to [1, 2].

We denote by  $L_k^p$  the space of measurable functions  $f$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  with the finite norm

$$\|f\|_{k,p} = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)|^p d\mu(x) \right)^{1/p},$$

where  $d\mu(x) = 2^{-1}\Gamma(2k)^{-1}|x|^{2k-1}dx$ .

In [1], the author introduced a new transform  $\mathcal{F}_k(f)$  called deformed Hankel transform which is a deformation of the Hankel transform by a parameter  $k > 0$ . Namely, for  $k > \frac{1}{4}$  and  $f \in L_k^1$ , the integral transform  $\mathcal{F}_k(f)$  is defined by

$$\mathcal{F}_k(f)(\lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{B}_k(\lambda, x)f(x)d\mu_k(x), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R},$$

where the kernel  $\mathcal{B}_k(\lambda, x)$ , called deformed Hankel kernel, given by

$$\mathcal{B}_k(\lambda, x) = j_{2k-1}\left(2\sqrt{|\lambda x|}\right) - \frac{\lambda x}{2k(2k+1)}j_{2k+1}\left(2\sqrt{|\lambda x|}\right).$$

Here,  $j_{\alpha}$  is the normalized Bessel function of the first kind and order  $\alpha$  defined by

$$j_{\alpha}(z) = \Gamma(\alpha + 1) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{2n}}{n! \Gamma(n + \alpha + 1)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C},$$

where  $\Gamma(x)$  is the gamma-function.

**Theorem 2.1.** For all  $k > \frac{1}{4}$ , we have

$$|\mathcal{B}_k(\lambda, x)| \leq 1, \quad \lambda, x \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$\mathcal{B}_k(\lambda, x) = j_{2k-1}\left(2\sqrt{\lambda x}\right) - \operatorname{sgn}(\lambda x)\left(j_{2k}\left(2\sqrt{\lambda x}\right) - j_{2k-1}\left(2\sqrt{\lambda x}\right)\right),$$

$\mathcal{F}_k(f)$  is an involutorial unitary operator on  $L^1(\mathbb{R}, d\mu_k(x))$ ,

Parseval's identity, if  $f \in L_k^1 \cap L_k^2$ , then  $\|\mathcal{F}_k(f)\|_{k,2} = \|\mathcal{F}_k(f)\|_{k,2}$ ,  
 there exists a unique isometry on  $L_k^2$  that coincides with  $\mathcal{F}_k(f)$  on  $f \in L_k^1 \cap L_k^2$ .  
 Let  $f$  be a suitable function on  $\mathbb{R}$ . The translation operator  $T_k^y$  is defined by

$$T_k^y(f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(z) \mathcal{K}_k(x, y, z) d\mu_k(z),$$

where

$$\mathcal{K}_k(x, y, z) = 2\Gamma(2k) \mathcal{W}_{2k-1}(\sqrt{|x|}, \sqrt{|y|}, \sqrt{|z|}) \nabla_k(x, y, z),$$

and

$$\mathcal{W}_k(x, y, z) = \frac{\Gamma(k+1)}{2^{2k-1} \Gamma\left(k + \frac{1}{2}\right)} \frac{[(x+y)^2 - z^2](z^2 - (x-y)^2)^{k-\frac{1}{2}}}{(xyz)} \mathbf{1}_{|x-y|, x+y}(z).$$

Here,  $\mathbf{1}_A$  is the characteristic function of the set  $A$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_k(x, y, z) &= \frac{1}{4} \left[ 1 + \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(xy)}{4k-1} (4k\Delta(|x|, |y|, |z|)^2 - 1) \right] + \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} \left[ 1 + \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(xz)}{4k-1} (4k\Delta(|z|, |x|, |y|)^2 - 1) \right] + \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} \left[ 1 + \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(yz)}{4k-1} (4k\Delta(|z|, |y|, |x|)^2 - 1) \right], \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\Delta(a, b, c) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{ab}}(a + b - c), \quad a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}_+^*.$$

**Proposition 2.1.** If  $f \in L_k^p$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq 2$ , and  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , then

$$\mathcal{F}_k(T_k^h(f))(\lambda) = \mathcal{B}_k(\lambda, h) \mathcal{F}_k(f)(\lambda). \quad (2.1)$$

Below, we will define and prove several auxiliary assertions to be used in the proofs of our main results.

The first and the higher order finite differences of  $f(x)$  with step  $h$  are defined as follows:

$$\Delta_h^1 f(x) = T_k^h f(x) - f(x)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_h^k f(x) &= \Delta_h^1 \left( \Delta_h^{k-1} f(x) \right) = \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{k-i} \binom{k}{i} (T_k^h)^i f(x) \quad \text{for } k \in \mathbb{N}^*, \end{aligned}$$

where  $(T_k^h)^0 f(x) = f(x)$  and  $(T_k^h)^i = T_k^h \left( (T_k^h)^{i-1} f(x) \right)$  for  $i \in \mathbb{N}^*$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *There exists  $C_1 > 0$ ,  $C_2 > 0$  and  $\eta > 0$  such that*

$$C_1|\lambda x| \leq 1 - \mathcal{B}_k(\lambda, x) \leq C_2|\lambda x| \quad \text{for all } |\lambda x| < \eta. \tag{2.2}$$

**Proof.** By the second statement of Theorem 2.1, we have

$$\mathcal{B}_k(\lambda, x) = j_{2k-1}\left(2\sqrt{|\lambda x|}\right) - \operatorname{sgn}(\lambda x)\left(j_{2k}\left(2\sqrt{|\lambda x|}\right) - j_{2k-1}\left(2\sqrt{|\lambda x|}\right)\right).$$

Let

$$\varphi_k(x) = j_{2k-1}\left(2\sqrt{|x|}\right) - \operatorname{sgn}(\lambda x)\left(j_{2k}\left(2\sqrt{|x|}\right) - j_{2k-1}\left(2\sqrt{|x|}\right)\right).$$

If  $x < 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_k(x) &= j_{2k}(2\sqrt{-x}) = \\ &= \Gamma(2k + 1) \sum_{m=0}^{+\infty} \frac{x^m}{\Gamma(2k + m + 1)} = \\ &= 1 + \frac{x}{2k + 1} + \Gamma(2k + 1) \sum_{m=2}^{+\infty} \frac{x^m}{\Gamma(2k + m + 1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^-} \frac{\varphi_k(x) - 1}{x} = \frac{1}{2k + 1} \neq 0. \tag{2.3}$$

If  $x \geq 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_k(x) &= 2j_{2k-1}(2\sqrt{x}) - j_{2k}(2\sqrt{x}) = \\ &= 2\Gamma(2k) \sum_{m=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(-x)^m}{\Gamma(2k + m)} - \Gamma(2k + 1) \sum_{m=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(-x)^m}{\Gamma(2k + m + 1)} = \\ &= 1 - \frac{x}{k} + \frac{x}{2k + 1} + 2\Gamma(2k) \sum_{m=2}^{+\infty} \frac{(-x)^m}{\Gamma(2k + m + 1)} - \Gamma(2k + 1) \sum_{m=2}^{+\infty} \frac{(-x)^m}{\Gamma(2k + m + 1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\varphi_k(x) - 1}{x} = \frac{1}{2k + 1} - \frac{1}{k} \neq 0. \tag{2.4}$$

Hence, by (2.3) and (2.4), there exist  $C_1 > 0$ ,  $C_2 > 0$  and  $\eta > 0$  such that

$$C_1|x| \leq |-\varphi_k(x)| \leq C_2|x| \quad \text{for all } |x| < \eta, \tag{2.5}$$

and (2.2) follows from (2.5).

**Lemma 2.2.** *For a fixed  $h > 0$ , we have*

$$\mathcal{F}_k(\Delta_h^m f)(x) = (\mathcal{B}_k(x, h) - 1)^m \mathcal{F}_k(f)(x). \tag{2.6}$$

**Proof.** Let  $h > 0$ . On the basis of (2.1), we obtain

$$\mathcal{F}_k\left(T_k^h(f)\right)(\lambda) = \mathcal{B}_k(\lambda, h)\mathcal{F}_k(f)(\lambda).$$

Then, by recurrence on  $i$ , we get

$$\mathcal{F}_k\left(\left(T_k^h\right)^i(f)\right)(\lambda) = (\mathcal{B}_k(\lambda, h))^i\mathcal{F}_k(f)(\lambda).$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_k(\Delta_h^m f)(x) &= \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{k-i} \binom{k}{i} (\mathcal{B}_k(\lambda, h))^i \mathcal{F}_k(f)(x) = \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{k-i} \binom{k}{i} (\mathcal{B}_k(\lambda, h))^i\right) \mathcal{F}_k(f)(x). \end{aligned}$$

Using Newton’s formula, we obtain (2.6).

**3. Lipschitz conditions in deformed Hankel setting.** In this section, we state and prove an analogous of Titchmarsh’s theorem [8] (Theorem 84) for the deformed Hankel transform. Before, we need to define the deformed Hankel–Lipschitz class  $H_\alpha^{m,p}$ .

**Definition 3.1.** A function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is said belong to  $H_\alpha^{m,p}$  for  $\alpha > 0$  if

$$\|\Delta_h^m f(x)\|_{k,p} = O(h^\alpha) \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow 0.$$

**Remark 3.1.** The spaces  $H_\alpha^{1,p}$  for  $\alpha > 0$  are called the Lipschitz classes  $\text{lip}_p(\alpha)$ . The spaces  $H_\alpha^{2,p}$  for  $\alpha > 0$  are called the Zygmund classes  $\text{zyg}_p(\alpha)$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $f$  belong to  $L_k^p$ ,  $1 < p \leq 2$ . If

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\Delta_h^m f(x)|^p d\mu_k(x) = O(h^{\alpha p}) \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow 0, \tag{3.1}$$

then  $\mathcal{F}_k(f)$  belong to  $L_k^\beta$  for

$$\frac{2kp}{2kp + \alpha p - 2k} < \beta \leq \frac{p}{p - 1}.$$

**Remark 3.2.** The statement (3.1) is equivalent to  $f \in H_\alpha^{m,p}$ .

**Proof.** By virtue of the Lemma 2.2 and Hausdorff–Young inequality, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |(1 - \mathcal{B}_k(x, h))^m \mathcal{F}_k(f)(x)|^{p'} d\mu_k(x) \leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\Delta_h^m f(x)|^p d\mu_k(x)\right)^{\frac{p'}{p}}.$$

Thus, using (3.1), we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |(1 - \mathcal{B}_k(x, h))^m \mathcal{F}_k(f)(x)|^{p'} d\mu_k(x) = O(h^{\alpha p'}).$$

By the Lemma 2.1, there exist  $C_1 > 0$  and  $\eta > 0$  such that  $1 - \mathcal{B}_k(x, h) > C_1 x h$  for  $|x| < \frac{\eta}{h}$ . Then

$$C_1 \int_0^{\eta/h} |(xh)^m \mathcal{F}_k(f)(x)|^{p'} d\mu_k(x) \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |(1 - \mathcal{B}_k(x, h))^m \mathcal{F}_k(f)(x)|^{p'} d\mu_k(x) = O(h^{\alpha p'}).$$

Hence,

$$\int_0^{\eta/h} x^{mp'} |\mathcal{F}_k(f)(x)|^{p'} d\mu_k(x) = O(h^{(\alpha-m)p'}).$$

Let

$$\phi(y) = \int_1^y |x^m \mathcal{F}_k(f)(x)|^\beta d\mu_k(x). \tag{3.2}$$

Then, if  $\beta < p'$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(y) &\leq \left( \int_1^y |x^m \mathcal{F}_k(f)(x)|^{p'} d\mu_k(x) \right)^{\beta/p'} \left( \int_1^y d\mu_k(x) \right)^{1-\beta/p'} = \\ &= O\left(y^{(m-\alpha)\beta+2k(1-\beta/p')}\right). \end{aligned}$$

On the basis of (3.2), we obtain

$$\int_1^y |\mathcal{F}_k(f)(x)|^\beta d\mu_k(x) = \int_1^y x^{-m\beta} \phi'(x) dx.$$

An integrate by parts, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_1^y |\mathcal{F}_k(f)(x)|^\beta d\mu_k(x) &= y^{-m\beta} \phi(y) + m\beta \int_1^y x^{-m\beta-1} \phi(x) dx = \\ &= O\left(y^{-m\beta+(m-\alpha)\beta+2k(1-\beta/p')}\right) = \\ &= O\left(y^{-\alpha\beta+2k(1-\beta/p')}\right), \end{aligned}$$

the quantity is bonded as  $y \rightarrow +\infty$  if  $-\alpha\beta + 2k\left(1 - \frac{\beta}{p'}\right) < 0$ , i.e.,

$$\beta > \frac{2kp}{2kp + \alpha p - 2k}.$$

Similarly for  $\int_{-\infty}^{-1} |\mathcal{F}_k(f)(x)|^\beta d\mu_k(x)$ .

The case  $\beta = p'$  is true by Hausdorff–Young inequality.

**4. An equivalence theorem for deformed Hankel–Lipschitz class functions.** This section deals with a particular case, when  $p = 2$  and  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ . We can put the Theorem 3.1 into a form in which it is reversible. Hence, we give a characterization of the space  $H_\alpha^{m,2}$  of deformed Hankel–Lipschitz class functions by means of asymptotic estimate growth of the norm of their deformed Hankel transform.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$  and  $f \in L_k^2$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\Delta_h^m f(x)|^2 d\mu_k(x) = O(h^{2\alpha}), \quad (4.1)$$

$$\int_{\lambda \geq r} \mathcal{F}_k(f)(\lambda) d\mu_k(\lambda) = O(r^{-2\alpha}) \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty. \quad (4.2)$$

**Proof.** By Parseval's identity, we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |(1 - \mathcal{B}_k(\lambda, x))^m \mathcal{F}_k(f)(x)|^2 d\mu_k(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\Delta_h^m f(x)|^2 d\mu_k(x). \quad (4.3)$$

Suppose that (4.1) holds. Then, by virtue of (4.3) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\frac{\eta}{2h}}^{\frac{\eta}{h}} |\mathcal{F}_k(f)(x)|^2 d\mu_k(x) &\leq K \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(1 - \mathcal{B}_k(\lambda, x))^m \mathcal{F}_k(f)(x)|^2 d\mu_k(x) = \\ &= O(h^{2\alpha}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

Let  $r > 0$  and  $h = \frac{\eta}{2^{i+1}r}$  for  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then, from (4.4), we find

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{2^i r}^{2^{i+1} r} |\mathcal{F}_k(f)(x)|^2 d\mu_k(x) &= \int_{\frac{\eta}{2h}}^{\frac{\eta}{h}} |\mathcal{F}_k(f)(x)|^2 d\mu_k(x) = O(h^{2\alpha}) = O\left(\left(\frac{\eta}{2^{i+1}r}\right)^{2\alpha}\right) = \\ &= O((2^i r)^{-2\alpha}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_r^{+\infty} |\mathcal{F}_k(f)(x)|^2 d\mu_k(x) &= \sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} \int_{2^i r}^{2^{i+1} r} |\mathcal{F}_k(f)(x)|^2 d\mu_k(x) = \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} O((2^i r)^{-2\alpha}) = \sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} O((2^i r)^{-2\alpha}) = O(r^{-2\alpha}). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly for  $\int_{-\infty}^{-r} |\mathcal{F}_k(f)(x)|^2 d\mu_k(x)$ .

On the other hand, if (4.2) holds, we can write

$$\phi(r) = \int_r^{+\infty} |\mathcal{F}_k(f)(x)|^2 d\mu_k(x).$$

Then, by (4.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^r |x^m \mathcal{F}_k(f)(x)|^2 d\mu_k(x) &= \int_0^r -x^{2m} \phi'(x) dx = \\ &= -r^{2m} \phi(r) + 2m \int_0^r x^{2m-1} \phi(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\int_0^r |x^m \mathcal{F}_k(f)(x)|^2 d\mu_k(x) = O(r^{2m-2\alpha}). \tag{4.5}$$

By Lemma 2.1, there exist  $C > 0$  and  $\eta > 0$  such that

$$1 - \mathcal{B}_k(\lambda, h) \leq C\lambda h \quad \text{for } |\lambda h| < \eta. \tag{4.6}$$

Then, (4.5) and (4.6) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (1 - \mathcal{B}_k(x, h))^m |\mathcal{F}_k(f)(x)|^2 d\mu_k(x) &\leq C_2 h^m \int_{-\eta/h}^{\eta/h} |x^m \mathcal{F}_k(f)(x)|^2 d\mu_k(x) + \\ &+ \int_{-\infty}^{-\eta/h} |\mathcal{F}_k(f)(x)|^2 d\mu_k(x) + \int_{\eta/h}^{+\infty} |\mathcal{F}_k(f)(x)|^2 d\mu_k(x) = O(h^{2\alpha}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, (4.1) follows from (4.3).

**5. Deformed Hankel–Dini–Lipschitz conditions.** In this section, we consider a new larger class of functions  $H_{\alpha,\gamma}^{m,p}$  defined by

**Definition 5.1.** A function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is said belong to  $H_{\alpha,\gamma}^{m,p}$  for  $\alpha, \gamma > 0$  if

$$\|\Delta_h^m f(x)\|_{k,p} = O\left(h^\alpha \log\left(\frac{1}{h}\right)^\gamma\right).$$

**Remark 5.1.** Let  $\alpha_2 > \alpha_1 > 0$ , we have

$$H_{\alpha_2}^{m,p} \subset H_{\alpha_1}^{m,p}$$

and

$$H_{\alpha_2}^{m,p} \subset H_{\alpha_2,\gamma}^{m,p} \subset H_{\alpha_1}^{m,p}.$$

Now, we are able to establish an extension of Theorem 3.1 to  $H_{\alpha,\gamma}^{m,p}$ .

**Theorem 5.1.** Let  $f$  belong to  $L_k^p$ ,  $1 < p \leq 2$ . If

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\Delta_h^m f(x)|^p d\mu_k(x) = O\left(h^{\alpha p} \log\left(\frac{1}{h}\right)^{\gamma p}\right) \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow 0, \quad (5.1)$$

then  $\mathcal{F}_k(f)$  belong to  $L_k^\beta$  for

$$\frac{2kp}{2kp + \alpha p - 2k} < \beta \leq \frac{p}{p-1}.$$

**Remark 5.2.** The statement (5.1) is equivalent to  $f \in H_{\alpha, \gamma}^{m, p}$ .

**Proof.** By virtue of the Lemma 2.2 and Hausdorff–Young inequality, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |(1 - \mathcal{B}_k(x, h))^m \mathcal{F}_k(f)(x)|^{p'} d\mu_k(x) \leq \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\Delta_h^m f(x)|^p d\mu_k(x) \right)^{\frac{p'}{p}}.$$

Thus, using (5.1), we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |(1 - \mathcal{B}_k(x, h))^m \mathcal{F}_k(f)(x)|^{p'} d\mu_k(x) = O\left(h^{\alpha p'} \log\left(\frac{1}{h}\right)^{\gamma p'}\right).$$

By the Lemma 2.1, there exist  $C_1 > 0$  and  $\eta > 0$  such that  $1 - \mathcal{B}_k(x, h) > C_1 x h$  for  $|x| < \frac{\eta}{h}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} C_1 \int_0^{\eta/h} |(xh)^m \mathcal{F}_k(f)(x)|^{p'} d\mu_k(x) &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |(1 - \mathcal{B}_k(x, h))^m \mathcal{F}_k(f)(x)|^{p'} d\mu_k(x) = \\ &= O\left(h^{\alpha p'} \log\left(\frac{1}{h}\right)^{\gamma p'}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\int_0^{\eta/h} x^{mp'} |\mathcal{F}_k(f)(x)|^{p'} d\mu_k(x) = O\left(h^{(\alpha-m)p'} \log\left(\frac{1}{h}\right)^{\gamma p'}\right).$$

Let

$$\phi(y) = \int_1^y |x^m \mathcal{F}_k(f)(x)|^\beta d\mu_k(x). \quad (5.2)$$

Then, if  $\beta < p'$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(y) &\leq \left( \int_1^y |x^m \mathcal{F}_k(f)(x)|^{p'} d\mu_k(x) \right)^{\beta/p'} \left( \int_1^y d\mu_k(x) \right)^{1-\beta/p'} = \\ &= O\left(y^{(m-\alpha)\beta+2k(1-\beta/p')} \log\left(\frac{1}{h}\right)^{\gamma\beta}\right). \end{aligned}$$

On the basis of (5.2), we obtain

$$\int_2^y |\mathcal{F}_k(f)(x)|^\beta d\mu_k(x) = \int_2^y x^{-m\beta} \phi'(x) dx.$$

An integrate by parts, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_2^y |\mathcal{F}_k(f)(x)|^\beta d\mu_k(x) &= y^{-m\beta} \phi(y) + m\beta \int_2^y x^{-m\beta-1} \phi(x) dx = \\ &= O\left(y^{-\alpha\beta+2k(1-\beta/p')}\right) + O\left(\int_2^y y^{-\alpha\beta+2k(1-\beta/p')-1} \log\left(\frac{1}{h}\right)^{\gamma\beta}\right). \end{aligned}$$

The quantity  $y^{-\alpha\beta+2k(1-\beta/p')}$  is bonded as  $y \rightarrow +\infty$  if  $-\alpha\beta + 2k\left(1 - \frac{\beta}{p'}\right) < 0$ , i.e.,

$$\beta > \frac{2kp}{2kp + \alpha p - 2k}.$$

Since  $-\gamma\beta < 1$ , then on the basis of Bertrand rule, the quantity  $\int_2^y y^{-\alpha\beta+2k(1-\beta/p')-1} \log\left(\frac{1}{h}\right)^{\gamma\beta}$  is bonded as  $y \rightarrow +\infty$  if  $\alpha\beta - 2k\left(1 - \frac{\beta}{p'}\right) + 1 > 1$ , i.e.,

$$\beta > \frac{2kp}{2kp + \alpha p - 2k}.$$

Hence,  $\int_2^y |\mathcal{F}_k(f)(x)|^\beta d\mu_k(x)$  is bonded.

Similarly for  $\int_{-\infty}^{-1} |\mathcal{F}_k(f)(x)|^\beta d\mu_k(x)$ .

The case  $\beta = p'$  is true by Hausdorff–Young inequality. Then, the proof is completed.

**References**

1. S. Ben Saïd, *A product formula and convolution structure for a k-Hankel transform on R*, J. Math. Anal. and Appl., **463**, № 2, 1132–1146 (2018).
2. Salem Ben Sad, Mohamed Amine Boubatra, Mohamed Sifi, *On the deformed Besov–Hankel spaces*, Opuscula Math., **40**, № 2, 171–207 (2020); <https://doi.org/10.7494/OpMath.2020.40.2.171>.
3. A. Achak, R. Daher, L. Dhaouadi, El Loualid, *An analog of Titchmarsh’s theorem for the q-Bessel transform*, Ann. Univ. Ferrara, **65**, № 113 (2019); <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11565-018-0309-3>.
4. R. Daher, M. Hamma, *An analog of Titchmarsh’s theorem of Jacobi transform*, Int. J. Math. Anal., **6**, № 20, 975–981 (2012).
5. R. Daher, M. El Hamma, A. El Houasni, *Titchmarsh’s theorem for the Bessel transform*, Matematika, **28**, № 2, 127–131 (2012); <https://doi.org/10.1113/matematika.v28.n.567>.
6. S. Negzaoui, *Lipschitz conditions in Laguerre hypergroup*, Mediterr. J. Math., **14**, № 191 (2017); <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00009-017-0989-4>.
7. S. S. Platonov, *The Fourier transform of functions satisfying the Lipschitz condition on rank 1 symmetric spaces*, Sib. Mat. J., **46**, № 6, 1108–1118 (2005).
8. E. S. Titchmarsh, *Introduction to the theory of Fourier integrals*, Oxford Univ. Press (1948).

Received 24.05.20