

DOI: 10.37863/umzh.v75i2.6768

UDC 517.54

**Hoang Nhat Quy**<sup>1</sup> (University of Danang – University of Science and Education, Vietnam)

**A NOTE ON THE WEIGHTED LOG CANONICAL THRESHOLD OF TORIC PLURISUBHARMONIC FUNCTIONS**<sup>2</sup>

**ПРО ЗВАЖЕНИЙ ЛОГ-КАНОНІЧНИЙ ПОРІГ ДЛЯ ТОРОЇДАЛЬНИХ ПЛЮРИСУБГАРМОНІЧНИХ ФУНКЦІЙ**

We prove a semicontinuity theorem for a class of certain weighted log canonical threshold of toric plurisubharmonic functions.

Доведено теорему про напівнеперервність класу деякого зваженого лог-канонічного порогу для тороїдальних плюрисубгармонічних функцій.

**1. Introduction and main result.** Let  $\Omega$  be a domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and let  $u$  be in the set  $\text{PSH}(\Omega)$  of plurisubharmonic functions on  $\Omega$ . Following Demailly and Kollár [4], we introduce the log canonical threshold of  $u$  at point  $0 \in \Omega$ :

$$c(u) = \sup \{c > 0 : e^{-2cu} \text{ is } L^1(dV_{2n}) \text{ on a neighborhood of } 0\} \in (0, +\infty],$$

where  $dV_{2n}$  denotes the Lebesgue measure in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . It is an invariant of the singularity of  $u$  at 0. We refer to [2, 3, 5, 8, 10–12] for further information and applications to this number. In [4], the authors investigated the semicontinuity theorem of log canonical thresholds. This theorem is a fundamental result which have had many applications in complex geometry. For example, this theorem is precisely what is needed in order to construct Kähler–Einstein metric on Fano manifolds (see [4]).

For every nonnegative Radon measure  $\mu$  on a neighborhood of  $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$ , following Pham [7], we introduce the weighted log canonical threshold of  $u$  with the weight  $\mu$  at 0:

$$c_\mu(u) = \sup \{c \geq 0 : e^{-2cu} \text{ is } L^1(\mu) \text{ on a neighborhood of } 0\} \in [0, +\infty].$$

In [7], Pham obtained the semicontinuity theorem of weighted log canonical thresholds with the weight  $\mu = \|z\|^{2t} dV_{2n}$  for  $t \in (-n, 1]$ .

A function  $u$  defined on  $\Omega$  is called a toric plurisubharmonic function ( $u \in \text{TPSH}(\Omega)$ ) if  $u$  is plurisubharmonic and  $u(z)$  depends only on  $|z_1|, \dots, |z_n|$  for any  $z \in \Omega$ . For every  $u \in \text{PSH}^-(\Delta^n)$  with  $\Delta^n$  is the unit polydisc in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , we consider Kiselman’s refined Lelong numbers of  $u$  at 0 (see [1, 10]):

$$\nu_u(x) = \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{\max\{u(z) : |z_1| = e^{tx_1}, \dots, |z_n| = e^{tx_n}\}}{t}.$$

<sup>1</sup> E-mails: hnquy@ued.udn.vn, hoangnhatquy@gmail.com.

<sup>2</sup> This paper was supported by the T2020-TD-02-BS program.

This function is increasing in each variable  $x_j$  and concave on  $\mathbb{R}_+^n = [0, +\infty)^n$ .

In this paper, we use ideas in [4] and [7] to study weighted log canonical thresholds of toric plurisubharmonic functions. The main result is contained in the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $\{u_j\}_{j \geq 1} \subset \text{TPSH}^-(\Delta^n)$ ,  $u \in \text{TPSH}^-(\Delta^n)$  and a nonnegative Radon measure  $\mu$  on  $\Delta^n$ . Assume that  $u_j \rightarrow u$  in  $L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Delta^n)$  and*

$$\mu(\Delta_{r_1} \times \dots \times \Delta_{r_n}) = h(r_1, \dots, r_n) \sum_{k=1}^m r_1^{2s_{k1}} \dots r_n^{2s_{kn}}, \quad s_{k1}, \dots, s_{kn} > 0, \quad 1 \leq k \leq m,$$

for all  $r_1, \dots, r_n > 0$ , where  $h(r_1, \dots, r_n)$  is a function that is bounded above and below by two positive constants and  $\Delta_r$  is the disc of center 0 and radius  $r$ . Then

$$\liminf_{j \rightarrow +\infty} c_\mu(u_j) \geq c_\mu(u).$$

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be the set of the measures that satisfy the conditions of the Theorem 1.1. It is easy to check that  $\mathcal{M}$  is a convex cone set. The following we will give some models of the measure in  $\mathcal{M}$ .

**Example 1.1.** Let  $f_1, \dots, f_k$  be some holomorphic functions on some neighborhood of the origin and  $a_1, \dots, a_k \geq 0$ . Then  $\mu = (|f_1|^{a_1} + \dots + |f_k|^{a_k})dV_{2n}$  is in  $\mathcal{M}$ . Indeed, since  $\mathcal{M}$  is convex cone, we only need to show that  $\mu = |f|^a dV_{2n} \in \mathcal{M}$ , where  $f$  is a holomorphic function on some neighborhood of the origin and  $a \geq 0$ . This infers from the proof of the corollary in [9].

**Example 1.2.** Let  $f_1, \dots, f_k$  be some real analytic functions on the real part  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  of  $z = (x_1 + iy_1, \dots, x_n + iy_n) \in \Delta_{r_1} \times \dots \times \Delta_{r_n}$ . We set  $\mu = (|f_1|^2 + \dots + |f_k|^2)dV_{\mathbb{R}^n}$  and  $\rho(x + iy) = x$  is the real projection. Then  $\rho^*\mu$  is in  $\mathcal{M}$ . Indeed, since  $\mathcal{M}$  is convex cone, we only have to prove that  $\rho^*\mu \in \mathcal{M}$  for the case  $\mu = |f|^2 dV_{\mathbb{R}^n}$ , where  $f$  is a real analytic function on the real part  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . Then  $\rho^*\mu = |f \circ \rho|^2 dV_{\mathbb{R}^n} \circ \rho$ . Set

$$f(x) = \sum_{\alpha=(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n} c_\alpha x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n}.$$

We define  $\mathcal{I}_f$  to be the ideal generated by  $\{x^\alpha : c_\alpha \neq 0\}$ . From the Noetherian property of the polynomial ring,  $\mathcal{I}_f$  is generated by finite elements  $\{x^{\alpha^1}, \dots, x^{\alpha^m}\}$ . We will prove the following:

$$\int_{\Delta_{r_1} \times \dots \times \Delta_{r_n}} |f \circ \rho|^2 dV_{\mathbb{R}^n} \circ \rho = O(1) \sum_{k=1}^m r_1^{\alpha_1^k+1} \dots r_n^{\alpha_n^k+1},$$

where  $O(1)$  is a bounded positive quantity. First, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(f \circ \rho)(z)| &= |f(x)| \leq \sum_{\alpha=(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n} |c_\alpha| |x_1|^{\alpha_1} \dots |x_n|^{\alpha_n} \leq \\ &\leq \sum_{\alpha=(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n} |c_\alpha| r_1^{\alpha_1} \dots r_n^{\alpha_n} \leq C(f) \sum_{j=1}^k r_1^{\alpha_1^j} \dots r_n^{\alpha_n^j} \end{aligned}$$

for every  $|x_1| \leq r_1, \dots, |x_n| \leq r_n$ , where  $C(f)$  is a positive constant which only depends on  $f$ . So we infer

$$\int_{\Delta_{r_1} \times \dots \times \Delta_{r_n}} |f \circ \rho|^2 dV_{\mathbb{R}^n} \circ \rho \leq C(f)^2 2^n \sum_{k=1}^m r_1^{2\alpha_1^k+1} \dots r_n^{2\alpha_n^k+1}.$$

From  $|(f \circ \rho)(z)| = |f(x)|^2 = \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n} c_\alpha c_\beta x_1^{\alpha_1 + \beta_1} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n + \beta_n}$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Delta_{r_1} \times \dots \times \Delta_{r_n}} |f \circ \rho|^2 dV_{\mathbb{R}^n} \circ \rho &= \int_{\{-r_1 \leq x_1 \leq r_1\} \times \dots \times \{-r_n \leq x_n \leq r_n\}} |f(x)|^2 dx_1 \dots dx_n = \\ &= \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n} c_\alpha c_\beta \int_{\{-r_1 \leq x_1 \leq r_1\} \times \dots \times \{-r_n \leq x_n \leq r_n\}} x^{\alpha + \beta} dx_1 \dots dx_n. \end{aligned}$$

By the Fubini theorem we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\{-r_1 \leq x_1 \leq r_1\} \times \dots \times \{-r_n \leq x_n \leq r_n\}} x^{\alpha + \beta} dx_1 \dots dx_n = \\ &= \int_{\{-r_1 \leq x_1 \leq r_1\}} x_1^{\alpha_1 + \beta_1} dx_1 \dots \int_{\{-r_n \leq x_n \leq r_n\}} x_n^{\alpha_n + \beta_n} dx_n = \\ &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if there exist } j \text{ such that } \alpha_j + \beta_j \text{ is odd,} \\ \frac{2^n r_1^{\alpha_1 + \beta_1 + 1} \dots r_n^{\alpha_n + \beta_n + 1}}{(\alpha_1 + \beta_1 + 1) \dots (\alpha_n + \beta_n + 1)} & \text{if } \alpha_j + \beta_j \text{ are even } j = 1, 2, \dots, n. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we have the following matrix is strict positive definite symmetric:

$$\left[ \frac{1}{(\alpha_1 + \beta_1 + 1) \dots (\alpha_n + \beta_n + 1)} \right]_{\alpha, \beta \in E},$$

where  $E$  is the finite subset of  $\mathbb{N}^n$ . This implies

$$\int_{\{-r_1 \leq x_1 \leq r_1\} \times \dots \times \{-r_n \leq x_n \leq r_n\}} x^{\alpha + \beta} dx_1 \dots dx_n \geq D(f) \sum_{k=1}^m r_1^{2\alpha_1^k + 1} \dots r_n^{2\alpha_n^k + 1},$$

where  $D(f)$  is a positive constant which only depends on  $f$ .

**Remark 1.1.** The semicontinuity theorem for the weighted log canonical thresholds is no longer true in case of the measure  $\mu = |z_1|^2 dV_{2n}$  without the condition toric function. Indeed, as in Remark 1.3 [6], we have  $\varphi_j = \ln \left| z_1 + \frac{z_2}{j} \right| \rightarrow \varphi = \ln |z_1|$  in  $L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ . However  $c_\mu(\varphi_j) = 1$  and  $c_\mu(\varphi) = 2$  do not satisfy Theorem 1.1.

**2. Proof of the main result.** First, we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let  $u, v \in \text{TPSH}^-(\Delta^n)$  and a nonnegative Radon measure  $\mu$  on  $\Delta^n$ . Assume that

$$\mu(\Delta_{r_1} \times \dots \times \Delta_{r_n}) = h(r_1, \dots, r_n) \sum_{k=1}^m r_1^{2s_{k1}} \dots r_n^{2s_{kn}} \quad (\forall r_1, \dots, r_n > 0),$$

where  $s_{k1}, \dots, s_{kn} > 0, 1 \leq k \leq m$  and  $h(r_1, \dots, r_n)$  is a function, that is, bounded above and below by two positive constants and  $\Delta_r$  is the disc of center 0 and radius  $r$ . Then

$$c_\mu(\max(u, v)) \leq c_\mu(u) + \max_{1 \leq k \leq m} \left( \max \left\{ \nu_v(x) : x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n, \sum_{j=1}^n s_{kj} x_j = 1 \right\} \right)^{-1}.$$

**Proof.** From the definition of Kiselman's refined Lelong numbers, we have

$$\nu_{\max(u,v)} = \min(\nu_u, \nu_v).$$

Moreover, by the main theorem in [9], we obtain

$$c_\mu(\max(u, v)) = \min_{1 \leq k \leq m} \left( \max \left\{ \min(\nu_u(x), \nu_v(x)) : x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n, \sum_{j=1}^n s_{kj}x_j = 1 \right\} \right)^{-1}$$

and

$$c_\mu(u) = \min_{1 \leq k \leq m} \left( \max \left\{ \nu_u(x) : x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n, \sum_{j=1}^n s_{kj}x_j = 1 \right\} \right)^{-1}.$$

Take  $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  and  $x^0, y^0 \in \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n : \sum_{j=1}^n s_{kj}x_j = 1 \right\}$  such that  $c_\mu(u) = \frac{1}{\nu_u(x^0)}$  and

$$\max \left\{ \nu_v(x) : x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n, \sum_{j=1}^n s_{kj}x_j = 1 \right\} = \nu_v(y^0).$$

Take  $t = \frac{\nu_v(y^0)}{\nu_u(x^0) + \nu_v(y^0)}$  and  $z^0 = tx^0 + (1-t)y^0 \in \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n : \sum_{j=1}^n s_{kj}x_j = 1 \right\}$ . Since  $\nu_u, \nu_v$  are concave functions on  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$ , we have

$$\nu_u(z^0) \geq t\nu_u(x^0) + (1-t)\nu_u(y^0) \geq t\nu_u(x^0) = \frac{\nu_u(x^0)\nu_v(y^0)}{\nu_u(x^0) + \nu_v(y^0)}$$

and

$$\nu_v(z^0) \geq t\nu_v(x^0) + (1-t)\nu_v(y^0) \geq (1-t)\nu_v(y^0) = \frac{\nu_u(x^0)\nu_v(y^0)}{\nu_u(x^0) + \nu_v(y^0)}.$$

Hence

$$\nu_{\max(u,v)}(z^0) = \min(\nu_u(z^0), \nu_v(z^0)) \geq \frac{\nu_u(x^0)\nu_v(y^0)}{\nu_u(x^0) + \nu_v(y^0)}.$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} c_\mu(\max(u, v)) &\leq \frac{1}{\nu_{\max(u,v)}(z^0)} \leq \frac{\nu_u(x^0) + \nu_v(y^0)}{\nu_u(x^0)\nu_v(y^0)} = \frac{1}{\nu_u(x^0)} + \frac{1}{\nu_v(y^0)} = \\ &= c_\mu(u) + \max_{1 \leq k \leq m} \left( \max \left\{ \nu_v(x) : x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n, \sum_{j=1}^n s_{kj}x_j = 1 \right\} \right)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.1 is proved.

**Proof of the main result.** First, we consider the case  $u_j, u \geq C \max(\ln |z_1|, \dots, \ln |z_n|)$  for all  $j \geq 1$  ( $C > 0$ ). We have

$$\nu_{u_j}(x) \leq C\nu_{\max(\ln |z_1|, \dots, \ln |z_n|)}(x) = C \min(x_1, \dots, x_n) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n.$$

By Proposition 3.12 and Example 4.11 in [1], we obtain

$$\overline{\lim}_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \nu_{u_j}(x) \leq \nu_u(x) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n.$$

We will prove that  $\{\nu_{u_j}\}_{j \geq 1}$  is a sequence of uniformly continuous functions on  $\{x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n : \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \leq D\}$  for all  $D > 0$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Since  $\{\nu_{u_j}\}_{j \geq 1}$  is a sequence of uniformly bounded, concave functions on  $\{x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n : \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \leq D + 1\}$ , we can find  $K > 0$  such that

$$|\nu_{u_j}(x) - \nu_{u_j}(y)| \leq K\|x - y\|$$

for all  $x, y \in \{x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n : \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \leq D, \min(x_1, \dots, x_n) \geq \frac{\epsilon}{4C}\}$ . We will show that  $|\nu_{u_j}(x) - \nu_{u_j}(y)| < \epsilon$  for all  $x, y \in \{x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n : \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \leq D\}$ ,  $\|x - y\| < \delta = \min(\frac{\epsilon}{K}, \frac{\epsilon}{4C})$ . Indeed, if  $\min(x_1, \dots, x_n) < \frac{\epsilon}{4C}$  or  $\min(y_1, \dots, y_n) < \frac{\epsilon}{4C}$ , then

$$|\nu_{u_j}(x) - \nu_{u_j}(y)| \leq \nu_{u_j}(x) + \nu_{u_j}(y) \leq C(\min(x_1, \dots, x_n) + \min(y_1, \dots, y_n)) < \epsilon.$$

Otherwise, if  $\min(x_1, \dots, x_n) \geq \frac{\epsilon}{4C}$  and  $\min(y_1, \dots, y_n) \geq \frac{\epsilon}{4C}$ , we have

$$|\nu_{u_j}(x) - \nu_{u_j}(y)| \leq K\|x - y\| < K\delta \leq \epsilon.$$

By the Arzelà–Ascoli theorem we can assume that  $\{\nu_{u_j}\}_{j \geq 1}$  uniformly converges to  $\phi \leq \nu_u$  on  $\{x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n : \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \leq D\}$  for all  $D > 0$ . This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \overline{\lim}_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \max \left\{ \nu_{u_j}(x) : x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n, \exists k = 1, \dots, m, \sum_{j=1}^n s_{kj}x_j = 1 \right\} \leq \\ & \leq \max \left\{ \nu_u(x) : x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n, \exists k = 1, \dots, m, \sum_{j=1}^n s_{kj}x_j = 1 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by the main theorem in [9], we obtain

$$\liminf_{j \rightarrow +\infty} c_\mu(u_j) \geq c_\mu(u).$$

In general case, we set

$$u_j^l = \max(u_j, l \max(\log |z_1|, \dots, \log |z_n|)),$$

$$u_l = \max(u, l \max(\log |z_1|, \dots, \log |z_n|)).$$

By the first case, we get

$$\liminf_{j \rightarrow +\infty} c_\mu(u_j^l) \geq c_\mu(u^l) \geq c_\mu(u).$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 2.1 we have

$$c_\mu(u_j^l) \leq c_\mu(u_j) + \frac{1}{l} \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n s_{kj}}.$$

Therefore,

$$\liminf_{j \rightarrow +\infty} c_\mu(u_j) \geq c_\mu(u).$$

**Acknowledgement.** I am grateful to Professor Pham Hoang Hiep for valuable comments during the preparation of this work.

## References

1. J.-P. Demailly, *Monge–Ampère operators, Lelong numbers and intersection theory*, in: V. Ancona, A. Silva (Eds.), *Complex Analysis and Geometry*, Univ. Ser. Math., Plenum Press, New York (1993).
2. J.-P. Demailly, *Complex analytic and differential geometry*; <http://www-fourier.ujf-grenoble.fr/demailly/books.html> (1997).
3. J.-P. Demailly, *A numerical criterion for very ample line bundles*, *J. Different. Geom.*, **37**, 323–374 (1993).
4. J.-P. Demailly, J. Kollár, *Semicontinuity of complex singularity exponents and Kähler–Einstein metrics on Fano orbifolds*, *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)*, **34**, 525–556 (2001).
5. J.-P. Demailly, P. H. Hiep, *A sharp lower bound for log canonical threshold*, *Acta Math.*, **212**, 1–9 (2014).
6. P. H. Hiep, *The weighted log canonical threshold*, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I*, **352**, 283–288 (2014).
7. P. H. Hiep, *Continuity properties of certain weighted log canonical thresholds*, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I*, **355**, 34–39 (2017).
8. P. H. Hiep, *Log canonical thresholds and Monge–Ampère masses*, *Math. Ann.*, **370**, № 1-2, 555–566 (2018).
9. P. H. Hiep, T. Tung, *The weighted log canonical thresholds of toric plurisubharmonic functions*, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I*, **353**, № 2, 127–131 (2015).
10. C. O. Kiselman, *Attenuating the singularities of plurisubharmonic functions*, *Ann. Polon. Math.*, **60**, 173–197 (1994).
11. D. H. Phong, J. Sturm, *Algebraic estimates, stability of local zeta functions, and uniform estimates for distribution functions*, *Ann. Math. (2)*, **152**, 277–329 (2000).
12. A. Rashkovskii, *Extremal cases for the log canonical threshold*, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I*, **353**, № 1, 21–24 (2015).

Received 01.06.21