

N. M. Khoa, T. V. Thang¹ (Department of Mathematics, Electric Power University, Hanoi, Vietnam)

**ON THE POLYCONVOLUTION WITH THE WEIGHT FUNCTION $\gamma(y) = \cos y$
OF HARTLEY INTEGRAL TRANSFORMS $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{H}_1$
AND INTEGRAL EQUATIONS**

**ПРО ПОЛІЗГОРТКУ З ВАГОВОЮ ФУНКЦІЄЮ $\gamma(y) = \cos y$
ДЛЯ ІНТЕГРАЛЬНИХ ПЕРЕТВОРЕНЬ ХАРТЛІ $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{H}_1$
ТА ІНТЕГРАЛЬНИХ РІВНЯНЬ**

We construct and investigate new polyconvolution with the weight function $\gamma(y) = \cos y$ of Hartley integral transforms $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{H}_1$ and apply it to solve integral equations and a system of integral equations of polyconvolution type.

Побудовано та досліджено нову полізгортку з ваговою функцією $\gamma(y) = \cos y$ для інтегральних перетворень Хартлі $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{H}_1$, яку застосовано для розв'язку інтегральних рівнянь та системи інтегральних рівнянь полізгорткового типу.

1. Introduction. In 1997, Kakichev [7] proposed the polyconvolution for $n + 1$ arbitrary integral transforms K, K_1, K_2, \dots, K_n with the weight function $\gamma(y)$ of functions f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n which satisfies the following factorization identity:

$$K \left[\begin{smallmatrix} \gamma \\ * \\ f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n \end{smallmatrix} \right] (y) = \gamma(y) (K_1 f_1)(y) (K_2 f_2)(y) \dots (K_n f_n)(y).$$

In recently time, there were some polyconvolutions [13, 14] related to the Hartley integral transforms and some differential integral transforms. At the same time, there were some polyconvolutions [10, 11] related only to the Hartley integral transforms and some differential integral transforms.

In this article, we expand to construct and study the new polyconvolution with the weight function $\gamma(y) = \cos y$ related to Hartley integral transforms $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{H}_1$. We will apply this polyconvolution to solve some nonstandard integral equations and system of integral equations. We realize that for such integral equation, a representation of their solution in a closed form is interesting and open problem [4, 8, 9].

In this section, we recall some known convolution, generalized convolutions. The Hartley integral transform $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2$ was introduced in [3]

$$(\mathcal{H}f) \begin{cases} 1 \\ 2 \end{cases} (x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x) \operatorname{cas}(\pm xy) dy, \quad y \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Here $\operatorname{cas}(\pm\theta) = \cos\theta \pm \sin\theta$. The convolution for the Hartley integral transform \mathcal{H}_1 [5, 6, 12]

$$\left(f * g \right)_{\mathcal{H}_1} (x) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(u) [g(x+u) + g(x-u) + g(u-x) - g(-x-u)] du, \quad (1.1)$$

¹ Corresponding author, e-mail: thangtv@epu.edu.vn.

satisfies the factorization identity

$$\mathcal{H}_1 \left(f_{\mathcal{H}_1} * g \right)(y) = (\mathcal{H}_1 f)(y)(\mathcal{H}_1 g)(y).$$

The Hartley integral transform \mathcal{H}_1 , \mathcal{H}_1 , \mathcal{H}_2 was introduced in [3]

$$\left(f_{\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2} * g \right)(x) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [f(x+y) + f(x-y) - f(-x+y) + f(-x-y)]g(y)dy$$

satisfies the factorization identity

$$\mathcal{H}_1 \left(f_{\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2} * g \right)(y) = (\mathcal{H}_1 f)(y)(\mathcal{H}_2 g)(y).$$

2. Polyconvolution with the weight function $\gamma(y) = \cos y$ for Hartley integral transforms \mathcal{H}_1 , \mathcal{H}_2 , \mathcal{H}_1 .

Definition 2.1. *The polyconvolution with the weight function $\gamma(y) = \cos y$ for Hartley integral transforms of the functions f , g and h is defined as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned} [\overset{\gamma}{*}(f, g, h)](x) &= \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [f(x+v+w+1) + f(x+v+w-1) \\ &\quad + f(x-v-w+1) + f(x-v-w-1)]g(v)h(w)dvdw, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

Theorem 2.1. *Let f , g and h be functions in $L(\mathbb{R})$. Then the polyconvolution with the weight function $\gamma(y) = \cos y$ (2.1) for the Hartley integral transforms of the functions f , g and h belongs to $L(\mathbb{R})$ and the factorization identity holds*

$$\mathcal{H}_1 \left[\overset{\gamma}{*}(f, g, h) \right](y) = \cos y (\mathcal{H}_1 f)(y)(\mathcal{H}_2 g)(y)(\mathcal{H}_1 h)(y) \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (2.2)$$

Proof. First of all, we prove that $[\overset{\gamma}{*}(f, g, h)](x) \in L(\mathbb{R})$. Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \overset{\gamma}{*}(f, g, h)(x) \right| dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |g(v)|dv \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |h(w)|dw \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [|f(x+v+w+1)| \\ &\quad + |f(x+v+w-1)| + |f(x-v-w+1)| + |f(x-v-w-1)|]dx. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [|f(x+v+w+1)| + |f(x+v+w-1)|$$

$$+ |f(x - v - w + 1)| + |f(x - v - w - 1)|]dx = 4 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(u)|du.$$

For this reason, we obtain

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\gamma(f, g, h)(x)| dx \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |g(v)|dv \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |h(w)|dw \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(u)|du < +\infty.$$

So, $\gamma(f, g, h)(x)$ belong to $L(\mathbb{R})$. Now, we prove the factorization identity (2.2). Since

$$\begin{aligned} & 2\pi\sqrt{2\pi} \cos y(\mathcal{H}_1 f)(y)(\mathcal{H}_2 g)(y)(\mathcal{H}_1 h)(y) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cos y \operatorname{cas}(yu) \operatorname{cas}(-yv) \operatorname{cas}(yw) f(u)g(v)h(w) du dv dw, \end{aligned}$$

and using the trigonometric identity, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \cos y \operatorname{cas}(yu) \operatorname{cas}(yv) \operatorname{cas}(yw) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} [\operatorname{cas} y(u+v+w+1) + \operatorname{cas} y(u+v+w-1) + \operatorname{cas} y(u-v-w+1) \\ & \quad + \operatorname{cas} y(u-v-w-1)]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & \cos y(\mathcal{H}_1 f)(y)(\mathcal{H}_2 g)(y)(\mathcal{H}_1 h)(y) \\ &= \frac{1}{8\pi\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [\operatorname{cas} y(u+v+w+1) + \operatorname{cas} y(u+v+w-1) \\ & \quad + \operatorname{cas} y(u-v-w+1) + \operatorname{cas} y(u-v-w-1)] f(u)g(v)h(w) du dv dw \\ &= \frac{1}{8\pi\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \operatorname{cas}(yt)[f(t-v-w-1) + f(t-v-w+1) \\ & \quad + f(t+v+w-1) + f(t+v+w+1)] g(v)h(w) dt dv dw \\ &= \mathcal{H}_1 [\gamma(f, g, h)](y) \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2.1 is proved.

Corollary 2.1. *In the space $L(\mathbb{R})$ the polyconvolution (2.1) has following equality:*

$$[\gamma(f, g, h)](x) = [\gamma(h, g, f)(x)].$$

Proof. From factorization identity (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}\left[\overset{\gamma}{*}(f, g, h)\right](y) &= (\mathcal{H}_1 f)(y)(\mathcal{H}_2 g)(y)(\mathcal{H}_1 h)(y) \\ &= (\mathcal{H}_1 h)(y)(\mathcal{H}_2 g)(y)(\mathcal{H}_1 f)(y) = \mathcal{H}\left[\overset{\gamma}{*}(h, g, f)\right](y).\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $[\overset{\gamma}{*}(f, g, h)](x) = [\overset{\gamma}{*}(h, g, f)](x)$.

Theorem 2.2. *If f, g, h belong to $L(\mathbb{R})$, then the following inequality holds:*

$$\left\| \overset{\gamma}{*}(f, g, h) \right\| \leq \|f\| \|g\| \|h\|.$$

Proof. From the proof of Theorem 2.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\overset{\gamma}{*}(f, g, h)(x)| dx &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(t)| dt \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |g(v)| dv \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |h(w)| dw \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(t)| dt \frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |g(v)| dv \frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |h(w)| dw.\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\left\| \overset{\gamma}{*}(f, g, h) \right\| \leq \|f\| \|g\| \|h\|.$$

Theorem 2.2 is proved.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $g \in L_p(\mathbb{R})$, $h \in L_q(\mathbb{R})$ and $f \in L_r(\mathbb{R})$ such that $p, q, r > 1$ and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r} = 2$. Then the following inequality holds:*

$$\left\| \overset{\gamma}{*}(f, g, h) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \|g\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})}^p \|h\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R})}^q \|f\|_{L_r(\mathbb{R})}^r.$$

Proof. From (2.1), we have the following estimation

$$\begin{aligned}\left| \overset{\gamma}{*}(f, g, h)(x) \right| &\leq \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |g(v)| |h(w)| |f(x+v+w+1)| dv dw \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |g(v)| |h(w)| |f(x+v+w-1)| dv dw \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |g(v)| |h(w)| |f(x-v-w+1)| dv dw \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |g(v)| |h(w)| |f(x-v-w-1)| dv dw.\end{aligned}\tag{2.3}$$

Let I_1, I_2, \dots, I_4 be the corresponding integral terms in the above expression. Without loss of generality we consider

$$I_1 = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |g(v)| |h(w)| |f(x+v+w+1)| dv dw, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Let p_1, q_1, r_1 be the conjugate exponentials of p, q, r and

$$\begin{aligned} A_1(u, v) &= |h(w)|^{q/p_1} |f(x+v+w+1)|^{q/p_1} \in L_{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^2), \\ A_2(u, v) &= |f(x+v+w+1)|^{r/q_1} |g(v)|^{p/q_1} \in L_{q_1}(\mathbb{R}^2), \\ A_3(u, v) &= |g(v)|^{p/r_1} |h(w)|^{q/r_1} \in L_{r_1}(\mathbb{R}^2). \end{aligned}$$

We see that

$$A_1 \cdot A_2 \cdot A_3 = |g(v)| |h(w)| |f(x+v+w+1)|.$$

Using the definition of the norm on the space $L_{p_1}(\mathbb{R})^2$ and with the help of the Fubini theorem, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|A_1\|_{L_{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{p_1} &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ |h(w)|^{q/p_1} |f(x+v+w+1)|^{r/p_1} \right\}^{p_1} dv dw \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |h(w)|^q \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x+v+w+1)|^r dv \right) dw \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |h(w)|^q \|f\|_{L_r(\mathbb{R})}^r dw = \|h\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R})}^q \|f\|_{L_r(\mathbb{R})}^r. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|A_2\|_{L_{q_1}(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{q_1} &= \|f\|_{L_r(\mathbb{R})}^r \|g\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})}^p, \\ \|A_3\|_{L_{r_1}(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{r_1} &= \|g\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})}^p \|h\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R})}^q. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

From the hypothesis $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r} = 2$, it follows that $\frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{q_1} + \frac{1}{r_1} = 1$. Using Hölder inequality and (2.4), we obtain following estimation:

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} A_1 A_2 A_3 dv dw \\ &\leq \frac{1}{8\pi} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} A_1^{p_1} dv dw \right)^{\frac{1}{p_1}} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} A_2^{q_1} dv dw \right)^{\frac{1}{q_1}} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} A_3^{r_1} dv dw \right)^{\frac{1}{r_1}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{8\pi} \|A_1\|_{L_{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{p_1} \|A_2\|_{L_{q_1}(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{q_1} \|A_3\|_{L_{r_1}(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{r_1} \\
&= \frac{1}{8\pi} \|g\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})}^p \|h\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R})}^q \|f\|_{L_r(\mathbb{R})}^r.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

In the same way, we get following estimations for I_2 , I_3 , I_4 :

$$I_k \leq \frac{1}{8\pi} \|g\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})}^p \|h\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R})}^q \|f\|_{L_r(\mathbb{R})}^r \tag{2.6}$$

for all $k = 2, 3, 4$. Furthermore, from (2.3)–(2.6), we obtain

$$\left\| \hat{*}(f, g, h) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \|g\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})}^p \|h\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R})}^q \|f\|_{L_r(\mathbb{R})}^r.$$

Theorem 2.3 is proved.

Theorem 2.4 (Titchmarsh-type theorem). *Let $f, g, h \in L(\mathbb{R})$. If, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $\hat{*}(f, g, h)(x) \equiv 0$, then either $f(x) = 0$, or $g(x) = 0$, or $h(x) = 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. The hypothesis $\hat{*}(f, g, h)(x) \equiv 0$ implies that $\mathcal{H}_1[\hat{*}(f, g, h)](y) = 0 \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}$. Due to Theorem 2.1, we get

$$\cos y (\mathcal{H}_1 f)(y) (\mathcal{H}_2 g)(y) (\mathcal{H}_1 h)(y) = 0 \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{2.7}$$

As $(\mathcal{H}_1 f)(y)$, $(\mathcal{H}_2 g)(y)$, $(\mathcal{H}_1 h)(y)$ are analytic for all $y \in \mathbb{R}$, (2.7) implies that $(\mathcal{H}_1 f) = 0 \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}$, or $(\mathcal{H}_2 g) = 0 \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}$, or $(\mathcal{H}_1 h) = 0 \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}$.

It follows that either $f(x) = 0 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$, or $g(x) = 0 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$, or $h(x) = 0 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 2.4 is proved.

3. Application to solving an integral equation and system of integral equations of polyconvolution type. *3.1. A single integral equation.* In this subsection, we apply the obtained result in solving an integral equation of polyconvolution type. To deal with this equation, we prove the existence of a solution as well as present it in a closed form. We examine the following integral equation:

$$\begin{aligned}
f(x) + \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} &[f(x+v+w+1) + f(x+v+w-1) \\
&+ f(x-v-w+1) + f(x-v-w-1)] g(v) h(w) dv dw = k(x) \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Here, g , h and k are functions of $L(\mathbb{R})$, f is an unknown function.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $k, g, h \in L(\mathbb{R})$ be given. Equation (3.1) has a unique solution $f(x) = k(x) - \left(\hat{*}_{\mathcal{H}} l \right)(x)$ in $L(\mathbb{R})$ if $1 + \cos y (\mathcal{H}_2 g)(y) (\mathcal{H}_1 h)(y) \neq 0 \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}$. Here, $l \in L(\mathbb{R})$ and it is determined by the equation*

$$(\mathcal{H}l)(y) = \frac{\cos y (\mathcal{H}_2 g)(y) (\mathcal{H}_1 h)(y)}{1 + \cos y (\mathcal{H}_2 g)(y) (\mathcal{H}_1 h)(y)}.$$

Proof. The equation (3.1) can be rewritten in the form

$$f(x) + \left[\hat{*}g(f, g, h) \right](x) = k(x).$$

Due to Theorem 2.1, we have

$$(\mathcal{H}_1 f)(y) + \cos y (\mathcal{H}_1 f)(y) (\mathcal{H}_2 g)(y) (\mathcal{H}_1 h)(y) = (\mathcal{H}_1 k)(y) \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}.$$

It follows that

$$(\mathcal{H}_1 f)(y) [1 + \cos y (\mathcal{H}_2 g)(y) (\mathcal{H}_1 h)(y)] = (\mathcal{H}_1 k)(y).$$

With the condition $1 + \cos y (\mathcal{H}_2 g)(y) (\mathcal{H}_1 h)(y) \neq 0 \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}$, we get

$$(\mathcal{H}_1 f)(y) = (\mathcal{H}_1 k)(y) \left[1 - \frac{\cos y (\mathcal{H}_2 g)(y) (\mathcal{H}_1 h)(y)}{1 + \cos y (\mathcal{H}_2 g)(y) (\mathcal{H}_1 h)(y)} \right].$$

Therefore, by Wiener–Levy's theorem [1, 12], there exists a function $l \in L(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$(\mathcal{H}_1 l)(y) = \frac{\cos y (\mathcal{H}_2 g)(y) (\mathcal{H}_1 h)(y)}{1 + \cos y (\mathcal{H}_2 g)(y) (\mathcal{H}_1 h)(y)}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{H}_1 f)(y) &= (\mathcal{H}_1 k)(y) - (\mathcal{H}_1 k)(y) (\mathcal{H}_1 l)(y) \\ &= (\mathcal{H}_1 k)(y) - \mathcal{H}_1 \left(k *_{\mathcal{H}} l \right)(y). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$f(x) = k(x) - \left(k *_{\mathcal{H}_1} l \right)(x).$$

Theorem 3.1 is proved.

3.2. A system of two integral equations of polyconvolution type

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) + \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [f(x+v+w+1) + f(x+v+w-1) \\ + f(x-v-w+1) + f(x-v-w-1)] \varphi(v) \psi(w) dv dw = h(x), \\ \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(v) [p(x+v) + p(x-v) + p(-x+v) - p(-x-v)] dv \\ + g(x) = k(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Here, φ, ψ, p, h and k are given functions in $L(\mathbb{R})$, f and g are the unknown functions.

Theorem 3.2. Assume that $1 - \mathcal{H}_1 \left[*_{\mathcal{H}}^{\gamma}(p, \varphi, \psi) \right](y) \neq 0 \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists a unique solution in $L(\mathbb{R})$ of (3.2), which is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= h(x) + \left(h *_{\mathcal{H}_1} l \right)(x) - \left[*_{\mathcal{H}}^{\gamma}(k, \varphi, \psi) \right](x) - \left\{ \left[*_{\mathcal{H}}^{\gamma}(k, \varphi, \psi) \right] *_{\mathcal{H}_1} l \right\}(x), \\ g(x) &= k(x) + \left(k *_{\mathcal{H}_1} l \right)(x) - \left(h *_{\mathcal{H}_1} p \right)(x) - \left[\left(h *_{\mathcal{H}_1} p \right) *_{\mathcal{H}_1} l \right](x). \end{aligned}$$

Here, $l \in L(\mathbb{R})$ and defined by the equations

$$(\mathcal{H}_1 l)(y) = \frac{\mathcal{H}_1 \left[\gamma^*(p, \varphi, \psi) \right](y)}{1 - \mathcal{H}_1 \left[\gamma^*(p, \varphi, \psi) \right](y)}.$$

Proof. System (3.2) can be written in the form

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) + \left[\gamma^*(g, \varphi, \psi) \right](x) &= h(x), \\ \left(f_{\mathcal{H}_1} * p \right)(x) + g(x) &= k(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the factorization property of the polyconvolution (2.1) and the convolution (1.1), we obtain the linear system of algebraic equations with, respectively, to $(\mathcal{H}_1 f)(y)$ and $(\mathcal{H}_1 g)(y)$:

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{H}_1 f)(y) + \cos y (\mathcal{H}_1 g)(y) (\mathcal{H}_2 \varphi)(y) (\mathcal{H}_1 \psi)(y) &= (\mathcal{H}_1 h)(y), \\ (\mathcal{H}_1 f)(y) (\mathcal{H}_1 p)(y) + (\mathcal{H}_1 g)(y) &= (\mathcal{H}_1 k)(y), \quad y \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

We calculate the determinants of the system

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta &= \begin{vmatrix} 1 & \cos y (\mathcal{H}_2 \varphi)(y) (\mathcal{H}_1 \psi)(y) \\ (\mathcal{H}_1 p)(y) & 1 \end{vmatrix} \\ &= 1 - \cos y (\mathcal{H}_1 p)(y) (\mathcal{H}_2 \varphi)(y) (\mathcal{H}_1 \psi)(y) = 1 - \mathcal{H}_1 \left[\gamma^*(p, \varphi, \psi) \right](y), \\ \Delta_1 &= \begin{vmatrix} (\mathcal{H}_1 h)(y) & \cos y (\mathcal{H}_2 \varphi)(y) (\mathcal{H}_1 \psi)(y) \\ (\mathcal{H}_1 k)(y) & 1 \end{vmatrix} \\ &= (\mathcal{H}_1 h)(y) - \mathcal{H}_1 \left[\gamma^*(k, \varphi, \psi) \right](y), \\ \Delta_2 &= \begin{vmatrix} 1 & (\mathcal{H}_1 h)(y) \\ (\mathcal{H}_1 p)(y) & (\mathcal{H}_1 k)(y) \end{vmatrix} = (\mathcal{H}_1 k)(y) - \mathcal{H}_1 \left(h_{\mathcal{H}_1} * p \right)(y). \end{aligned}$$

Since $1 - \mathcal{H}_1 \left[\gamma^*(p, \varphi, \psi) \right](y) \neq 0 \forall y \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{H}_1 f)(y) &= \left\{ (\mathcal{H}_1 h)(y) - \mathcal{H}_1 \left[\gamma^*(k, \varphi, \psi) \right](y) \right\} \frac{1}{1 - \mathcal{H}_1 \left[\gamma^*(p, \varphi, \psi) \right](y)} \\ &= \left\{ (\mathcal{H}_1 h)(y) - \mathcal{H}_1 \left[\gamma^*(k, \varphi, \psi) \right](y) \right\} \left\{ 1 + \frac{\mathcal{H}_1 \left[\gamma^*(p, \varphi, \psi) \right](y)}{1 - \mathcal{H}_1 \left[\gamma^*(p, \varphi, \psi) \right](y)} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, according to Wiener–Levy's theorem [1, 12], there exists a function $l \in L(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$(\mathcal{H}_1 l)(y) = \frac{\mathcal{H}_1 \left[\gamma^*(p, \varphi, \psi) \right](y)}{1 - \mathcal{H}_1 \left[\gamma^*(p, \varphi, \psi) \right](y)}.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{H}_1 f)(y) &= \left\{ (\mathcal{H}_1 h)(y) - \mathcal{H}_1 \left[\overset{\gamma}{*}(k, \varphi, \psi) \right] (y) \right\} \{1 + (\mathcal{H}_1 l)(y)\} \\ &= (\mathcal{H}_1 h)(y) + \mathcal{H}_1 \left(h_{\mathcal{H}_1} * l \right) (y) - \mathcal{H}_1 \left[\overset{\gamma}{*}(k, \varphi, \psi) \right] (y) \\ &\quad - \mathcal{H}_1 \left\{ \left[\overset{\gamma}{*}(k, \varphi, \psi) \right]_{\mathcal{H}_1} * l \right\} (y). \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$f(x) = h(x) + \left(h_{\mathcal{H}_1} * l \right) (x) - \left[\overset{\gamma}{*}(k, \varphi, \psi) \right] (x) - \left\{ \left[\overset{\gamma}{*}(k, \varphi, \psi) \right]_{\mathcal{H}_1} * l \right\} (x) \in L(\mathbb{R}).$$

in the same way, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{H}_1 g)(y) &= \left\{ (\mathcal{H}_1 k)(y) - \mathcal{H}_1 \left(h_{\mathcal{H}_1} * p \right) (y) \right\} \{1 + (\mathcal{H}_1 l)(y)\} \\ &= (\mathcal{H}_1 k)(y) + \mathcal{H}_1 \left(k_{\mathcal{H}_1} * l \right) (y) - \mathcal{H}_1 \left(h_{\mathcal{H}_1} * p \right) (y) - \mathcal{H}_1 \left[\left(h_{\mathcal{H}_1} * p \right)_{\mathcal{H}_1} * l \right] (y). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$g(x) = k(x) + \left(k_{\mathcal{H}_1} * l \right) (x) - \left(h_{\mathcal{H}_1} * p \right) (x) - \left[\left(h_{\mathcal{H}_1} * p \right)_{\mathcal{H}_1} * l \right] (x) \in L(\mathbb{R}).$$

Theorem 3.2 is proved.

References

1. N. L. R. Achiezer, *Lectures on approximation theory*, Sci. Publ. House, Moscow (1965).
2. P. K. Anh, N. M. Tuan, P. D Tuan, *The finite Hartley new convolutions and solvability of the integral equations with Toeplitz plus Hankel kernels*, J. Math. Anal. and Appl., **397**, № 2, 537–549 (2013).
3. R. N. Bracewell, *The Hartley transform*, Oxford Univ. Press, Clarendon Press, New York (1986).
4. F. D. Gakhov, Ya. I. Cerskii, *Equations of convolution type*, Nauka, Moscow (1978).
5. B. T. Giang, N. V. Mau, N. M. Tuan, *Operational properties of two integral transforms of Fourier type and their convolutions*, Integral Equations Operator Theory, **65**, № 3, 363–386 (2009).
6. B. T. Giang, N. V. Mau, N. M. Tuan, *Convolutions for the Fourier transforms with geometric variables and applications*, Math. Nachr., **283**, № 12, 1758–1770 (2010).
7. V. A. Kakichev, *Polyconvolution*, TPTU, Taganrog (1997).
8. V. V. Napalkov, *Convolution equations in multidimensional space*, Nauka, Moscow (1982).
9. T. Kailath, *Some integral equations with 'nonrational' kernels*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, **12**, № 4, 442–447 (1966).
10. N. M. Khoa, T. V. Thang, *On the polyconvolution of Hartley integral transforms H2 and integral equations*, J. Integral Equat. and Appl., **322**, 171–180 (2020).
11. N. M. Khoa, D. X. Luong, *On the polyconvolution of Hartley integral transforms H1, H2, H1 and integral equations*, Austral. J. Math. Anal. and Appl., **16**, № 2, 1–10 (2019).
12. N. X. Thao, H. T. V. Anh, *On the Hartley–Fourier sine generalized convolution*, Math. Methods Appl. Sci., **37**, № 5, 2308–2319 (2014).
13. N. X. Thao, N. M. Khoa, P. T. V. Anh, *Polyconvolution and the Toeplitz plus Hankel integral equation*, Electron. J. Different. Equat., **2014**, № 110, 1–14 (2014).
14. N. X. Thao, N. M. Khoa, P. T. V. Anh, *Integral transforms of Hartley, Fourier cosine and Fourier sine polyconvolution type*, Vietnam J. Math. Appl., **12**, № 4, 93–104 (2014).

Received 28.10.21