

A MODULUS OF SMOOTHNESS FOR SOME BANACH FUNCTION SPACES**МОДУЛЬ ГЛАДКОСТІ ДЛЯ ДЕЯКИХ БАНАХОВИХ
ФУНКЦІОНАЛЬНИХ ПРОСТОРІВ**

Based on the Steklov operator, we consider a modulus of smoothness of functions in some Banach function spaces, which can be not translation invariant and establish its main properties. A constructive characterization of the Lipschitz class is obtained by using the Jackson-type direct theorem and inverse theorem of trigonometric approximation. As application, we present several examples of related (weighted) function spaces.

На основі оператора Стеклова розглянуто модуль гладкості функцій у деяких банахових функціональних просторах, які можуть не бути трансляційно-інваріантними, та вивчено його основні властивості. Конструктивну характеристику класу Ліпшиця отримано за допомогою прямої теореми типу Джексона та оберненої теореми про тригонометричне наближення. Як застосування, наведено кілька прикладів відповідних (вагових) функціональних просторів.

1. Introduction and the main results. Celebrated theorem of Jackson and Bernstein–Stechkin, on constructive characterization of the Lipschitz classes, states that² (see, e.g., [13, Chapter 7, Theorem 3.3])

a necessary and sufficient condition for $f \in L^p$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, belong to the Lipschitz class of order $\alpha > 0$,

$$\text{Lip}(\alpha, p) := \{f \in L^p : \omega_{[\alpha]+1}(f, \delta)_p \lesssim \delta^\alpha, \delta > 0\},$$

is

$$\inf_{T_n \in \mathbb{T}_n} \|f - T_n\|_{L^p} =: E_n(f)_{L^p} \lesssim n^{-\alpha}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ with $[x] := \max\{n \in \mathbb{N} : n \leq x\}$, where \mathbb{T}_n is the class of trigonometrical polynomials

$$T_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n (a_k \cos kx + b_k \sin kx), \quad a_k, b_k \in \mathbb{R},$$

of degree at most $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

¹ E-mail: rakgun@balikesir.edu.tr.

² Here and in what follows, $A \lesssim B$ will mean that there exists a positive constant C , independent of essential parameters, such that the inequality $A \leq CB$ is holds.

$$\omega_r(f, \delta)_{L^p} := \sup_{0 \leq h \leq \delta} \|(I - T_h)^r f\|_{L^p}$$

is the modulus of smoothness of order $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $T_h f(\cdot) := f(\cdot + h)$, $h \in \mathbb{R}$, is the translation operator.

By this equivalence, functions in the Lipschitz classes are characterized with respect to only its best approximation orders. To obtain this equivalence, it is necessary to relate the best approximation order $E_n(f)_p$ with the modulus of smoothness $\omega_r\left(f, \frac{1}{n}\right)_{L^p}$.

Direct and inverse inequalities of trigonometric approximation constitute the relation

$$E_n(f)_{L^p} \lesssim \omega_r\left(f, \frac{1}{n}\right)_{L^p}, \quad r \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (1)$$

$$\omega_r\left(f, \frac{1}{n}\right)_{L^p} \lesssim \frac{1}{n^r} \sum_{j=0}^n (j+1)^{r-1} E_j(f)_{L^p} \quad (2)$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with constants depending only on r . We note that the inequalities (1) and (2) are hold true (see [15]) for more general homogeneous Banach spaces X (shortly HBS), that is, the class of measurable functions, defined on $T := [0, 2\pi]$, such that the translation operator T_h is a continuous isometry and $\|f(\cdot)\|_X = \|f(\cdot)\|_X$ holds. See also the results [20, 21, 27].

Here the definition of the modulus of smoothness $\omega_r(f, \cdot)_X$ is depend heavily on the translation invariance of the space X considered. If the space X is not translation invariant (for example, when the Lebesgue spaces with a weight) the modulus of smoothness $\omega_r(f, \cdot)_X$ may not be well defined.

The main purpose of this paper is to define a modulus of smoothness $\Omega_r(\cdot, \delta)_X$, that can be used also for such spaces X that can be not invariant under the translation operator T_h . Also, X may be some weighted spaces.

We suppose that:

- (I) X is a Banach function space (shortly BFS, see [9]) on T ,
- (II) \mathbb{T}_n is a dense subset of X ,
- (III) the Steklov operator

$$f(x) \mapsto \sigma_h f(x) := \frac{1}{2h} \int_{x-h}^{x+h} f(t) dt, \quad x \in T, \quad f \in X,$$

is uniformly bounded (in h) on X .

Let $S_n(\cdot, f)$ be the n th partial sum of the Fourier series of $f \in X \subset L^1$.

The modulus of smoothness in X , satisfying the property (III), is defined as

$$\Omega_r(f, \delta)_X := \sup_{0 \leq h \leq \delta} \|(I - \sigma_h)^r f\|_X, \quad r \in \mathbb{N},$$

where I is the identity operator on T .

The following theorem is the main result of this paper and estimates the best approximation error $E_n(f)_X := \inf_{T_n \in \mathbb{T}_n} \|f - T_n\|_X$ from above by the modulus of smoothness $\Omega_r\left(f, \frac{1}{n}\right)_X$.

Theorem 1. *Let X be satisfy the conditions (I)–(III) and $f \in X$. If*

(IV) the operator $f \mapsto S_n$ be uniformly bounded (in n) on X

and

(V) $E_n(g)_X \lesssim n^{-2} \left\| \frac{d}{dx^2} g(x) \right\|_X$ for any $g \in X'' := \left\{ g \in X : \frac{d}{dx^2} g(x) \in X \right\}$, then we have the Jackson–Stechkin-type estimate

$$E_n(f)_X \lesssim \Omega_r \left(f, \frac{1}{n} \right)_X, \quad r \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (3)$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with some constant depending only on r and X .

In approximation theory, inequalities of type (3) is known as the direct theorem of trigonometric approximation. When $X = L^2$, the inequality (3) was proved in [1]. When X is a HBS, (3) can be obtained from Theorem 10.7 of [16]. When X is the Lebesgue spaces with a weight ω , satisfying the Muckenhoupt condition A_p , $1 < p < \infty$, the inequality (3) in the form

$$E_n(f)_{p,\omega} \lesssim \tilde{\Omega}_r \left(f, \frac{1}{n} \right)_{p,\omega} := \sup_{0 \leq h_i \leq 1/n} \left\| \prod_{i=1}^r (I - \sigma_{h_i}) f \right\|_{p,\omega}, \quad r \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (4)$$

was proved in [17] (see also, e.g., Theorem 2 of [19]). Considering Example 5 of § 2, clearly (3) improves the inequality (4) for $r \geq 2$. Similarly (3) also improves direct theorems obtained in [3, 4, 6, 18, 19] for $r \geq 2$.

The weak inverse of the Jackson-type estimate (3) is given in the following theorem.

Theorem 2. *Let X be satisfy the properties (I)–(III) and $f \in X$. If*

(VI) the inequality $\|T'_n\|_X \lesssim n \|T_n\|_X$ holds for any $T_n \in \mathbb{T}_n$, then we have

$$\Omega_r \left(f, \frac{1}{n} \right)_X \lesssim \frac{1}{n^{2r}} \sum_{j=0}^n (j+1)^{2r-1} E_j(f)_X, \quad r \in \mathbb{N},$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with some constant depending only on r and X .

Theorems 1 and 2 gives the following Marchaud-type inequality.

Corollary 1. *Under the conditions of Theorems 1 and 2, we obtain*

$$\Omega_r(f, \delta)_X \lesssim \delta^{2r} \int_{\delta}^1 u^{-2r-1} \Omega_k(f, u)_X du, \quad 0 < \delta < 1,$$

for $r, k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $r < k$.

Theorem 3. *Under the conditions of Theorems 1 and 2, if*

$$E_n(f)_X \lesssim n^{-\beta}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

for some $\beta > 0$, then, for a given $r \in \mathbb{N}$, we get

$$\Omega_r(f, \delta)_X \lesssim \begin{cases} \delta^\beta, & r > \beta/2, \\ \delta^\beta \log \frac{1}{\delta}, & r = \beta/2, \\ \delta^{2r}, & r < \beta/2. \end{cases}$$

Definition 1. *Let $\beta > 0$, $r := \lfloor \beta/2 \rfloor + 1$, and X be a BFS satisfying the condition (III). We define $\text{Lip}(\beta, X) := \{f \in X : \Omega_r(f, \delta)_X \lesssim \delta^\beta, \delta > 0\}$.*

The following result gives a constructive characterization of the Lipschitz classes $\text{Lip}(\beta, X)$. As a corollary of Theorems 1, 2, and 3 and Definition 1 we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2. *Let $\beta > 0$. Under the conditions of Theorems 1 and 2, the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) $f \in \text{Lip}(\beta, X)$,
- (ii) $E_n(f)_X \lesssim n^{-\beta}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Some examples for the space X is given in the Section 2. In Section 3, we give the proofs of the results.

2. Applications. In this section, we will collect some definitions of the function classes that are suitable for the method given in the previous section.

Nonweighted setting. Let \mathcal{M} be the set of all measurable, scalar valued, functions on T and \mathcal{M}^+ be the subset of functions from \mathcal{M} whose values lie in $[0, \infty]$. By χ_E , we denote the characteristic function of a measurable set $E \subset T$.

A mapping $\rho : \mathcal{M}^+ \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is called a function norm if for all constants $a \geq 0$, for all functions f, g, f_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and for all measurable subsets E of T , the following properties are hold:

- (i) $\rho(f) = 0$ iff $f = 0$ a.e.; $\rho(af) = a\rho(f)$ and $\rho(f + g) \leq \rho(f) + \rho(g)$;
- (ii) if $0 \leq g \leq f$ a.e., then $\rho(g) \leq \rho(f)$;
- (iii) if $0 \leq f_n \uparrow f$ a.e., then $\rho(f_n) \uparrow \rho(f)$;
- (iv) if a set E of T have a finite Lebesgue measure $|E|$, then $\rho(\chi_E) < \infty$ holds;
- (v) if a set E of T satisfies $|E| < \infty$, then there exists a positive constant C , depending only

on E and ρ , such that $\int_E f(x) dx \leq C\rho(f)$ holds.

For a function norm ρ , the class of functions $X := X(\rho) = \{f \in \mathcal{M} : \rho(|f|) < \infty\}$ is called BFS. For each $f \in X$, we define the norm

$$\|f\|_X := \rho(|f|), \quad f \in X.$$

A BFS X , equipped with norm $\|\cdot\|_X$, is a Banach space [9, p. 3–5, Theorems 1.4 and 1.6]. If ρ is a function norm, its associate norm ρ^a is defined on \mathcal{M}^+ by

$$\rho^a(g) := \sup \left\{ \int_T f(x)g(x) dx : f \in \mathcal{M}^+, \rho(f) \leq 1 \right\}, \quad g \in \mathcal{M}^+.$$

If ρ is a function norm, then ρ^a is itself a function norm [9, p. 8, Theorem 2.2]. The BFS $X(\rho^a)$, determined by the function norm ρ^a , is called the associate space of $X = X(\rho)$ and is denoted by X^a . It is well-known (see, e.g., [9, p. 9]) that

$$\|f\|_X = \sup \left\{ \int_T |f(x)g(x)| dx : g \in X^a, \|g\|_{X^a} \leq 1 \right\}. \quad (5)$$

The distribution function μ_f of a measurable function f is defined as the Lebesgue measure of the set $\{x \in T : |f(x)| > \lambda\}$ for $\lambda \geq 0$. A Banach function norm is said to be rearrangement invariant (shortly r.i.) if $\rho(f) = \rho(g)$ for every pair of functions f, g , which are equimeasurable, that is, $\mu_f(\lambda) = \mu_g(\lambda)$. If ρ is r.i. function norm, the BFS $X(\rho)$ is called r.i. BFS. Let X be BFS. A function $f \in X$ is said to have absolutely continuous norm if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f\chi_{A_n}\|_X = 0$ for every decreasing sequence of measurable sets $\{A_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ with $\chi_{A_n} \rightarrow 0$ a.e.. If every $f \in X$ has this property, we will say that X has absolutely continuous norm.

Remark 1 [9, Chapter 3, Lemma 6.3, Theorem 6.10]. *Let X be a r.i. BFS. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *the set of trigonometric polynomials \mathbb{T}_n is a dense subset of X ,*
- (ii) *translation operator T_h is uniformly bounded (in h) on X ,*
- (iii) *X has absolutely continuous norm,*
- (iv) *Fourier series of $f \in X$ converges in norm in X ,*
- (v) *partial sum operator $S_n(\cdot, f)$ is uniformly bounded (in n) on X .*

We note also that, when X is separable r.i. BFS, the condition (i) of Remark 1 is equivalent to

(vi) X has nontrivial (i.e., $0 < \alpha_X, \beta_X < 1$) Boyd indices α_X, β_X (see Chapter 3, Corollary 6.11 of [9]).

Now, we give some examples of BFS.

(1) Lebesgue spaces. We define the Lebesgue functionals

$$\rho_p(f) := \left(\int_T f(x)^p dx \right)^{1/p}, \quad 0 < p < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_\infty(f) := \operatorname{essup}_{x \in T} f(x).$$

Then $\rho_p(|f|)$ is Banach function norm for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. We set $L^p := X(\rho_p)$ and $\|f\|_p := \rho_p(|f|)$. In this case the property (I) was proved in Theorem 1.2 of [9]. Property (II) is well-known and it can be found in any monograph on approximation theory (see, e.g., Chapter 1, Part 1.4.1 of [29]). Property (III) is a consequence of integral Minkowski's inequality [29, p. 592, (12)] and translation invariance of L^p , $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Property (V) is known from, e.g., [13, p. 206, (2.17)], (VI) was proved in [8] for $p = \infty$; in [30] for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and in [7] for $0 \leq p \leq \infty$. For (IV), one can see [28, §3, Theorem 1].

(2a) Lorentz spaces $L^{p,q}$. Let $0 < p, q \leq \infty$ and \mathcal{M}_0 be the subset of functions from \mathcal{M} such that finite a.e. on T . We set for $f \in \mathcal{M}_0$ that

$$\|f\|_{p,q} := \left(\int_0^\infty \left[t^{1/p} f^*(t) \right]^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/q}, \quad 0 < p < \infty,$$

$$\|f\|_{p,\infty} := \sup_{x \in (0,\infty)} t^{1/p} f^*(t), \quad \|f\|_{(p,\infty)} := \sup_{x \in (0,\infty)} t^{1/p} f^{**}(t),$$

$$\|f\|_{(p,q)} := \left(\int_0^\infty \left[t^{1/p} f^{**}(t) \right]^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/q}, \quad 0 < p < \infty,$$

where f^* is the decreasing rearrangement of the function f [9, Chapter 2, Section 1] and $f^{**}(t) := \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t f^*(s) ds$, $t > 0$. The class of functions $\{f \in \mathcal{M}_0 : \|f\|_{p,q} < \infty\}$ is denoted by $L^{p,q}$. It is known that $L^{p,q}$ coincides with L^p for $0 < p \leq \infty$ and $\|f\|_{p,p} = \|f\|_p$ when $f \in L^p$. On the other hand, if $1 \leq q \leq p < \infty$ or $q = p = \infty$, then $\|\cdot\|_{p,q}$ is a r.i. Banach function norm. If $1 < p < \infty$, $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ or $q = p = \infty$, then $\|\cdot\|_{(p,q)}$ is a r.i. Banach function norm (see Chapter 4, Theorem 4.3 and Lemma 4.5 of [9]).

(2b) Lorentz Λ and M spaces. Let X be a r.i. BFS on (\mathbb{R}^+, dx) and suppose that X has been renormed so that its fundamental function φ_X is concave. The Lorentz space $\Lambda(X)$ consists of all functions f in $\mathcal{M}_0^+(\mathbb{R}^+, dx)$ for which

$$\|f\|_{\Lambda(X)} := \int_0^\infty f^*(s) d\varphi_X(s) < \infty.$$

The Lorentz space $M(X)$ consists of all functions f in $\mathcal{M}_0^+(\mathbb{R}^+, dx)$ for which

$$\|f\|_{M(X)} := \sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} f^{**}(t) \varphi_X(t) < \infty.$$

The Lorentz spaces $\Lambda(X)$ and $M(X)$ are r.i. BFS (see Chapter 2, Theorem 5.13 of [9]).

(c) Zygmund spaces. $L(\log L)$ and L_{\exp} are r.i. BFS (see Chapter 4, Part 6 of [9]). If X is a r.i. BFS and has absolutely continuous norm, the properties (I), (II) and (IV) can be obtained from Remark 1 and the properties (III) and (V), (VI) were obtained in [18, Lemmas 2.2 and 2.5, Theorem 1.2].

(3) Orlicz spaces. A function φ is called Young function if φ is even, continuous, nonnegative in \mathbb{R} , increasing on $(0, \infty)$ such that $\varphi(0) = 0$, $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(x) = \infty$. A Young function φ is said to satisfy Δ_2 condition (shortly $\varphi \in \Delta_2$) if there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that $\varphi(2x) \leq C\varphi(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Two Young functions φ and φ_1 are said to be equivalent (shortly $\varphi \sim \varphi_1$) if there are $C, C' > 0$ such that $\varphi_1(Cx) \leq \varphi(x) \leq \varphi_1(C'x)$ holds for any $x > 0$. A nonnegative function $M : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is said to be quasiconvex if there exist a convex Young function Φ and a constant $C \geq 1$ such that $\Phi(x) \leq M(x) \leq \Phi(Cx)$ holds for any $x \geq 0$. Let φ be a quasiconvex Young function. We denote by $\tilde{L}_\varphi(T)$, the class of Lebesgue measurable functions $f : T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, satisfying the condition $\int_T \varphi(|f(x)|) dx < \infty$. The linear span of the Orlicz class $\tilde{L}_\varphi(T)$, denoted by $\varphi(L)$, becomes a normed space with the Orlicz norm

$$\|f\|_\varphi := \sup \left\{ \int_T |f(x)g(x)| dx : \int_T \varphi^a(|g|) dx \leq 1 \right\}, \quad (6)$$

where $\varphi^a(y) := \sup_{x \geq 0} \{xy - \varphi(x)\}$, $y \geq 0$, is the complementary function of φ . It can be easily seen that $\varphi(L) \subset L^1(T)$ and $\varphi(L)$ becomes a Banach space with the Orlicz norm. The Banach space $\varphi(L)$ is called Orlicz space. In this case the condition (I) can be changed with (I') X is a Banach

space with a norm satisfying the integral Minkowski inequality. So the Orlicz norm (6) satisfies this property. Under the conditions φ^α is quasiconvex function for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $\varphi \in \Delta_2$, the property (II) is a consequence of [23, Lemma 3] and the properties (III)–(VI) were proved in [6, Lemmas 2, 3 and 5, Theorem 1].

The examples given in 1–3 above are HBS and, in these cases, the inequalities (1) and (2) can be obtained also by the method developed in [16, §10]. On the other hand, the examples given below are not translation invariant, in general, and in these cases, the method given in [16] is not applicable. The aim of this work is arise from this fact.

The following example is demonstrate a function class that is not rearrangement invariant.

(4) Variable exponent Lebesgue spaces. Let \mathcal{P} be the class of 2π -periodic, Lebesgue measurable functions $p = p(x) : T \rightarrow (1, \infty)$ such that $\text{esssup}_{x \in T} p(x) < \infty$. We define class $L_{2\pi}^{p(\cdot)}$ of 2π -periodic, measurable functions f , defined on T , satisfying

$$\int_T |f(x)|^{p(x)} dx < \infty.$$

The class $L_{2\pi}^{p(\cdot)}$ is a Banach space [24, Theorem 2.5] with the norm

$$\|f\|_{p(\cdot)} := \inf \left\{ \alpha > 0 : \int_T \left| \frac{f(x)}{\alpha} \right|^{p(x)} dx \leq 1 \right\}.$$

The variable exponent $p(\cdot)$, defined on T , is said to be satisfy Dini–Lipschitz property DL_γ of order γ if

$$\sup_{x_1, x_2 \in T} \{|p(x_1) - p(x_2)| : |x_1 - x_2| \leq \delta\} \left(\ln \frac{1}{\delta} \right)^\gamma \leq C, \quad 0 < \delta < 1. \quad (7)$$

If $p(\cdot)$ satisfies the properties $1 < \text{essinf}_{x \in T} p(x)$, $\text{esssup}_{x \in T} p(x) < \infty$ and the Dini–Lipschitz condition (7) of order ≥ 1 , then the property (I) follows from Theorem 3.2.13 of [14]; (II)–(IV) follows from [26, Theorems 6.1 and 6.2, Lemma 3.1] and (V), (VI) follows from [3, Theorem 1, Lemma 1].

Weighted case. A function $\omega : T \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ will be called weight if ω is measurable and positive a.e.. A 2π -periodic weight function ω belongs to the Muckenhoupt class A_p , $p > 1$, if

$$\sup_J \left(\frac{1}{|J|} \int_J \omega(x) dx \right) \left(\frac{1}{|J|} \int_J \omega^{1/(1-p)}(x) dx \right)^{p-1} \leq C$$

with a finite positive constant C , independent of J , where J is any subinterval of T .

(5) Weighted Lebesgue spaces. For a weight ω , we denote by $L^p(T, \omega)$, the class of measurable functions, defined on T , such that $\omega f \in L^p(T)$. We set $\|f\|_{p, \omega} := \|\omega f\|_p$ for $f \in L^p(T, \omega)$. If $\omega^p \in A_p$ and $1 < p < \infty$, the properties (II)–(VI) are known from [19] and (I) is a consequence of [22, Lemma 2.5 (b)].

(6a) Weighted Orlicz spaces $\varphi_\omega(L)$. Let φ be a quasiconvex Young function. We denote, by $\tilde{L}_{\varphi, \omega}(T)$, the class of Lebesgue measurable functions $f : T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, satisfying the condition

$$\int_T \varphi(|f(x)|) \omega(x) dx < \infty.$$

The linear span of the weighted Orlicz class $\tilde{L}_{\varphi, \omega}$, denoted by $\varphi_{\omega}(L)$, becomes a normed space with the Orlicz

$$\|f\|_{\varphi, \omega} := \sup \left\{ \int_T |f(x)g(x)| \omega(x) dx : \int_T \varphi^a(|g|) \omega(x) dx \leq 1 \right\}. \quad (8)$$

For a quasiconvex function φ , we define the indice $p(\varphi)$ of φ as

$$\frac{1}{p(\varphi)} := \inf \{p : p > 0, \varphi^p \text{ is quasiconvex}\}.$$

If $\omega \in A_{p(\varphi)}$, then it can be easily seen that $\varphi_{\omega}(L) \subset L^1(T)$ and $\varphi_{\omega}(L)$ becomes a Banach space with the Orlicz norm. The Banach space $\varphi_{\omega}(L)$ is called weighted Orlicz space. In this case also the condition (I) can be changed with the condition (I'). So the Orlicz norm (8) satisfies the property (I'). If the conditions φ^{α} quasiconvex for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, $\varphi \in \Delta_2$ and $\omega \in A_{p(\varphi)}$ are fulfilled, then the properties (II)–(VI) were proved in [6].

(6b) Weighted Orlicz spaces $L_{M, \omega}$. A convex and continuous function $M : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, for which $M(0) = 0$, $M(x) > 0$ for $x > 0$ and

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{M(x)}{x} = 0, \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M(x)}{x} = \infty$$

is called a N -function. The complementary Young function N of M is defined by

$$N(y) := \max\{xy - M(x) : x \geq 0\}$$

for $y \geq 0$.

Let M be a N -function. We denote by L_M the linear space of 2π -periodic measurable functions $f : T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\int_T M(\lambda|f(x)|) dx < \infty$$

holds for some $\lambda > 0$. Equipped with the norm

$$\|f\|_M := \sup \left\{ \int_T |f(x)g(x)| dx : \int_T N(|g(x)|) dx \leq 1 \right\},$$

where N is the complementary function, L_M becomes a Banach space, called the Orlicz space generated by M .

Let $M^{-1} : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be the inverse of the Young function M and

$$h(t) := \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M^{-1}(x)}{M^{-1}(x/t)}, \quad t > 0.$$

The numbers β_M and α_M , defined by

$$\beta_M := \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log h(t)}{\log t}, \quad \alpha_M := \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\log h(t)}{\log t},$$

are called the upper and lower Boyd indices of the Orlicz space L_M , respectively.

Let ω be a weight function. We denote, by $L_{M,\omega}$, the space of the measurable functions $f: T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f\omega \in L_M$. The norm on $L_{M,\omega}$ is defined by $\|f\|_{M,\omega} := \|f\omega\|_M$. The normed space $L_{M,\omega}$ is called a weighted Orlicz space. If M is an N -function, $L_{M,\omega}$ has nontrivial Boyd indices α_M , β_M and $\omega^{1/\alpha_M} \in A_{1/\alpha_M}$, $\omega^{1/\beta_M} \in A_{1/\alpha_M}$, then the properties (I)–(VI) were proved in [19]. We note also that the spaces $L_{M,\omega}$ and $\varphi_\omega(L)$ are different, in general (see [10]).

7. Weighted variable exponent Lebesgue spaces. By $L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}$, we will denote the class of Lebesgue measurable functions $f: T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, satisfying the condition $\omega f \in L_{2\pi}^{p(\cdot)}$. The weighted variable exponent Lebesgue space $L_\omega^{p(\cdot)}$ is a Banach space with the norm $\|f\|_{p(\cdot),\omega} := \|\omega f\|_{p(\cdot)}$.

For given $p \in \mathcal{P}$, the class of weights ω , satisfying the condition (see [11])

$$\|\omega \chi_Q\|_{p(\cdot)} \|\omega^{-1} \chi_Q\|_{p'(\cdot)} \lesssim |Q|,$$

for all balls Q in T , will be denoted by $A_{p(\cdot)}$. Here, $p'(x) := p(x)/(p(x) - 1)$ is the conjugate exponent of $p(x)$. The variable exponent $p(x)$ is said to be satisfy log-Hölder continuous on T if there exists a constant $C \geq 0$ such that

$$|p(x_1) - p(x_2)| \lesssim \frac{1}{\log(e + 1/|x_1 - x_2|)} \quad \text{for all } x_1, x_2 \in T.$$

If $1 < \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{x \in T} p(x)$, $\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in T} p(x) < \infty$, $1/p$ is Log-Hölder continuous on T , and $\omega^{p_0} \in A_{(p(\cdot)/p_0)'}$ for some $p_0 \in (1, \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{x \in T} p(x))$, then the properties (I)–(VI) were obtained in [4].

8. Weighted r.i. BFS. For a weight ω , we denote, by $X(T, \omega)$, the class of measurable functions, defined on T , such that $\omega f \in X(T)$. We set $\|f\|_{X,\omega} := \|\omega f\|_X$ for $f \in X(T, \omega)$. If $X(T)$ is a reflexive r.i. BFS that having nontrivial Boyd indices α_X , β_X such that $\omega^{1/\alpha_X} \in A_{1/\alpha_X}$, $\omega^{1/\beta_X} \in A_{1/\alpha_X}$, then the properties (I)–(VI) were obtained in [18].

3. Proofs of the results. The following two lemmas are required for the proof of Theorem 1. If $A \lesssim B$ and $B \lesssim A$, simultaneously, we will write $A \approx B$.

Lemma 1. Let X be satisfy the conditions (I)–(III), $f \in X$ and $t, l > 0$. Then

$$\Omega_1(f, lt)_X \lesssim (1 + [l])^2 \Omega_1(f, t)_X$$

holds with some constant depending only on r and X .

Proof. Let $t > 0$. Then there exists a $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $1/n < t \leq 2/n$. We define the operator

$$(U_{1/n}f)(x) := 3n^3 \int_0^{1/n} \int_0^t \int_{-u}^u f(x+s) ds du dt, \quad x \in T, f \in X.$$

In this case (see [3, p. 14])

$$\frac{d^2}{dx^2} U_{1/n}f(x) = Cn^2(I - \sigma_{1/n})f(x)$$

holds for almost every $x \in T$ with some constant $C \in \mathbb{R}$.

Hence, using the uniform boundedness of the operator $f \mapsto \sigma_{1/n}f$ (for fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$) in X , we get that $\frac{d^2}{dx^2}U_{1/n}f(x) \in X$ and $U_{1/n}f \in X''$. On the other hand, from (5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|U_{1/n}f\|_X &= \left\| 3n^3 \int_0^{1/n} \int_0^t \int_{-u}^u f(x+s) ds du dt \right\|_X \lesssim n^3 \int_0^{1/n} \int_0^t 2u \|\sigma_u f\|_X du dt \\ &\lesssim 3n^3 \|f\|_X \int_0^{1/n} \int_0^t 2u du dt = \|f\|_X\end{aligned}$$

and hence $f - U_{1/n}f \in X$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\inf_{g \in X''} \{ \|f - g\|_X + t^2 \|g''\|_X \} &=: K_2(f, t, X, X'') \leq 2K_2(f, 1/n, X, X'') \\ &\lesssim \|f - U_{1/n}f\|_X + n^{-2} \left\| \frac{d^2}{dx^2} U_{1/n}f \right\|_X =: I_1 + I_2.\end{aligned}\quad (9)$$

We estimate I_1 . Using (5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|f - U_{1/n}f\|_X &\lesssim n^3 \int_0^{1/n} \int_0^t 2u \|(I - \sigma_u)f\|_X du dt \\ &\lesssim \sup_{0 \leq u \leq 1/n} \|(I - \sigma_u)f\|_X 3n^3 \int_0^{1/n} \int_0^t 2u du dt \\ &\lesssim \sup_{0 \leq u \leq 1/n} \|(I - \sigma_u)f\|_X = \Omega_1(f, 1/n)_X.\end{aligned}\quad (10)$$

For the estimate I_2 , we find

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{n^2} \left\| \frac{d^2}{dx^2} U_{1/n}f(x) \right\|_X &= \left\| n^{-2} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} U_{1/n}f(x) \right\|_X = \|C(I - \sigma_{1/n})f\|_X \\ &\lesssim \sup_{0 \leq u \leq 1/n} \|(I - \sigma_u)f\|_X = \Omega_1(f, 1/n)_X.\end{aligned}\quad (11)$$

Now (9)–(11) give

$$K_2(f, t, X, X'') \lesssim \Omega_1(f, 1/n)_X \leq \Omega_1(f, t)_X.$$

On the other hand, for $g \in X''$,

$$(I - \sigma_h)g(x) = \frac{1}{2h} \int_{-h}^h (g(x) - g(x+t)) dt = -\frac{1}{8h} \int_0^h \int_0^t \int_{-u}^u g''(x+s) ds du dt.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(I - \sigma_h)g\|_X &= \frac{1}{8h} \sup_{v \in X^a} \left\{ \int_T \left| \int_0^h \int_0^t \int_{-u}^u g''(x+s) ds du dt \right| |v(x)| dx : \|v\|_{X^a} \leq 1 \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{8h} \int_0^h \int_0^t 2u \left\| \frac{1}{2u} \int_{-u}^u g''(x+s) ds \right\|_X du dt \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{8h} \int_0^h \int_0^t 2u \|g''\|_X du dt = h^2 \|g''\|_X \end{aligned}$$

and we obtain

$$\Omega_1(g, t)_X \lesssim t^2 \|g''\|_X \quad (12)$$

for $g \in X''$.

Then, for $g \in X''$,

$$\Omega_1(f, t)_X \lesssim \|f - g\|_X + t^2 \|g''\|_X$$

and taking infimum on $g \in X''$

$$\Omega_1(f, t)_X \lesssim K_2(f, t; X, X'').$$

We obtained that $\Omega_1(f, t)_X \approx K_2(f, t; X, X'')$. By using the last equivalence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_1(f, lt)_X &\lesssim \inf_{g \in X''} \{ \|f - g\|_X + (lt)^2 \|g''\|_X \} \\ &\lesssim (1 + \lfloor l \rfloor)^2 \inf_{g \in X''} \{ \|f - g\|_X + t^2 \|g''\|_X \} \\ &\lesssim (1 + \lfloor l \rfloor)^2 \Omega_1(f, t)_X. \end{aligned}$$

The lemma is proved.

Lemma 2. Let X be satisfy the conditions (I)–(III), $f \in X$ and $n, m, r \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there exists a number $\delta \in (0, 1)$, depending only on X , such that

$$\Omega_r(f, t)_X \lesssim C \delta^{mr} \|f\|_X + C' \Omega_{r+1}(f, t)_X$$

holds for any $t \in (0, 1/n)$, where the constant $C > 0$ depending only on r and X , the constant $C' > 0$ depending only on r, m and X .

Proof. For any $h > 0$, there exists a constant $C > 1$ such that

$$\|\sigma_h f\|_X \leq C \|f\|_X.$$

We set $\delta := C/(1 + C)$. Now, for any $h \in (0, 1/n)$, we prove firstly that

$$\|(I - \sigma_h)^r f\|_X \leq \delta^r \|(I - \sigma_h^2)^r f\|_X + C\Omega_{r+1}(f, h)_X. \quad (13)$$

To prove (13), we observe

$$I - \sigma_h = 2^{-1}(I - \sigma_h)(I + \sigma_h) + 2^{-1}(I - \sigma_h)^2$$

and

$$\sigma_h(I - \sigma_h) = 2^{-1}(I - \sigma_h)(I + \sigma_h) - 2^{-1}(I - \sigma_h)^2.$$

Hence, for $g \in X$,

$$\|(I - \sigma_h)g\|_X + \|\sigma_h(I - \sigma_h)g\|_X \leq \|(I - \sigma_h)(I + \sigma_h)g\|_X + \|(I - \sigma_h)^2g\|_X. \quad (14)$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(I - \sigma_h)^r f\|_X &= \delta((1/\mathbb{C})\|(I - \sigma_h)^r f\|_X + \|(I - \sigma_h)^r f\|_X) \\ &\leq \delta\left(\|(I - \sigma_h)^r f\|_{p,\omega} + \|(I - \sigma_h)^r f\|_X\right) \\ &= \delta\left(\|(I - \sigma_h)(I - \sigma_h)^{r-1}f\|_X + \|(I - \sigma_h)^r f\|_X\right) \\ &= \delta\left(\|(\sigma_h(I - \sigma_h) + (I - \sigma_h)^2)(I - \sigma_h)^{r-1}f\|_X + \|(I - \sigma_h)^r f\|_X\right) \\ &\leq \delta\left(\|\sigma_h(I - \sigma_h)(I - \sigma_h)^{r-1}f\|_X + \|(I - \sigma_h)^2(I - \sigma_h)^{r-1}f\|_X\right) \\ &\quad + \delta\|(I - \sigma_h)^r f\|_X \\ &\leq \delta\left(\|\sigma_h(I - \sigma_h)^r f\|_X + \|(I - \sigma_h)^{r+1}f\|_{p,\omega} + \|(I - \sigma_h)^r f\|_X\right). \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Taking $g := (I - \sigma_h)^{r-1}f$ in (14), we have

$$\|\sigma_h(I - \sigma_h)^r f\|_X + \|(I - \sigma_h)^r f\|_X \leq \|(I - \sigma_h)^r(\sigma_h + I)f\|_{p,\omega} + \|(I - \sigma_h)^{r+1}f\|_X,$$

and using this in (15), we find

$$\begin{aligned} \|(I - \sigma_h)^r f\|_X &\leq \delta\left(\|\sigma_h(I - \sigma_h)^r f\|_{p,\omega} + \|(I - \sigma_h)^{r+1}f\|_X + \|(I - \sigma_h)^r f\|_X\right) \\ &\leq \delta\left(\|(I - \sigma_h)^r(\sigma_h + I)f\|_X + \|(I - \sigma_h)^{r+1}f\|_X\right) \\ &\quad + \delta\|(I - \sigma_h)^{r+1}f\|_X \\ &\leq \delta\|(I - \sigma_h)^r(\sigma_h + I)f\|_X + 2\delta\|(I - \sigma_h)^{r+1}f\|_X. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Repeating r times the last inequality, we get

$$\|(I - \sigma_h)^r f\|_X \leq \delta\|(I - \sigma_h)^r(\sigma_h + I)f\|_X + 2\delta\|(I - \sigma_h)^{r+1}f\|_X$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \delta^2 \|(I - \sigma_h)^r (\sigma_h + I)^2 f\|_X + 2\delta^2 \|(I - \sigma_h)^{r+1} (\sigma_h + I) f\|_X \\
&\quad + 2\delta \|(I - \sigma_h)^{r+1} f\|_X \leq \dots \leq \delta^r \|(I - \sigma_h)^r (\sigma_h + I)^r f\|_X \\
&\quad + 2 \sum_{k=1}^r \delta^k \|(I - \sigma_h)^{r+1} (\sigma_h + I)^{k-1} f\|_X \\
&= \delta^r \|(I - \sigma_h^2)^r f\|_{p,\omega} + 2 \sum_{k=1}^r \delta^k \|(I - \sigma_h)^{r+1} (\sigma_h + I)^{k-1} f\|_X.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\|(I - \sigma_h)^r f\|_X \leq \delta^r \|(I - \sigma_h^2)^r f\|_X + C(r, X) \Omega_{r+1}(f, h)_X$$

and the proof of (13) is finished. By using (13), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(I - \sigma_h)^r f\|_X &\leq \delta^r \|(I - \sigma_h^2)^r f\|_X + C(r, X) \Omega_{r+1}(f, h)_X \\
&\leq \delta^{2r} \|(I - \sigma_h^4)^r f\|_X + (\delta^r + 1) C(r, X) \Omega_{r+1}(f, h)_X \leq \dots \\
&\leq \delta^{mr} \|(I - \sigma_h^{2m})^r f\|_X + C(r, X, m) \Omega_{r+1}(f, h)_X.
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

For $g \in C(T)$, the class of continuous functions on T , inequality

$$\left\| (I - \sigma_h^{2m})^r g \right\|_{C(T)} \leq 2^r \|g\|_{C(T)}$$

holds with a constant c independent of m . The last inequality and Theorem 1.5 of [5] implies that

$$\left\| (I - \sigma_h^{2m})^r f \right\|_X \leq 2^r C \|f\|_X$$

with constant C independent of m .

Taking supremum in the inequality (17) imply the result

$$\Omega_r(f, t)_X \lesssim \delta^{mr} \|f\|_X + \Omega_{r+1}(f, t)_X.$$

The lemma is proved.

Proof of Theorem 1. Case $r = 1$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f \in X$ be fixed. We will use the operator $U_{1/n}f$. By using (IV), (10) and (11), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
E_n(f)_X &= E_n(f - U_{1/n}f + U_{1/n}f)_X \leq E_n(f - U_{1/n}f)_X + E_n(U_{1/n}f)_X \\
&\lesssim \|f - U_{1/n}f\|_X + n^{-2} \left\| \frac{d^2}{dx^2} U_{1/n}f(x) \right\|_X \lesssim \Omega_1\left(f, \frac{1}{n}\right)_X
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Case $r \geq 2$. Following the idea given in [12], we will use induction on r . We know that the Jackson-type estimate (3) holds for $r = 1$ (see (18)). We suppose that the inequality (3) holds for

$g \in X$ and some $r = 2, 3, 4, \dots$:

$$E_n(g)_X \lesssim \Omega_r\left(g, \frac{1}{n}\right)_X. \quad (19)$$

We have to verify the fulfilment of inequality (3) for $r + 1$. We will use the mean $S_n f$ and show that

$$\|f - S_n f\|_X \lesssim \Omega_{r+1}\left(f, \frac{1}{n}\right)_X.$$

We set $u(\cdot) := f(\cdot) - S_n f(\cdot)$. In this case $S_n(u) = 0$. Since $S_n f$ is near best approximant for f , i.e.,

$$\|f - S_n f\|_X \lesssim E_n(f)_X,$$

using induction hypothesis (19), we obtain

$$\|u\|_X = \|u - S_n(u)\|_X \lesssim E_n(u)_X \leq C \Omega_r\left(u, \frac{1}{n}\right)_X.$$

We know, from Lemma 2, that

$$\Omega_r\left(u, \frac{1}{n}\right)_X \leq C \delta^{mr} \|u\|_X + C' \Omega_{r+1}\left(u, \frac{1}{n}\right)_X.$$

Choosing m so big that $C C \delta^{mr} < 1/2$, we get

$$\|u\|_X \leq C \Omega_r\left(u, \frac{1}{n}\right)_X \leq C C \delta^{mr} \|u\|_X + C \Omega_{r+1}\left(u, \frac{1}{n}\right)_X$$

and

$$\|u\|_X \lesssim \Omega_{r+1}\left(u, \frac{1}{n}\right)_X.$$

From uniform boundedness of operator $f \mapsto S_n f$ in X , we have

$$\Omega_{r+1}\left(u, \frac{1}{n}\right)_X \lesssim \Omega_{r+1}\left(f, \frac{1}{n}\right)_X,$$

and the result

$$E_n(f)_X \lesssim \|f - S_n f\|_X = \|u\|_X \lesssim \Omega_{r+1}\left(u, \frac{1}{n}\right)_X \lesssim \Omega_{r+1}\left(f, \frac{1}{n}\right)_X$$

holds for $r \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 1 is proved.

Proof of Theorem 2. Let $T_n \in \mathbb{T}_n$, $n \in \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$, be the best approximating trigonometric polynomial for $f \in X$. From (12) we get

$$\Omega_r(g, \delta)_X \lesssim \delta^{2r} \left\| g^{(2r)} \right\|_X, \quad r \in \mathbb{N},$$

for $g^{(2r)} \in X$ and $\delta > 0$. On the other hand, for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\Omega^r(f, \delta)_X \leq \Omega^r(f - T_{2^{m+1}}, \delta)_X + \Omega^r(T_{2^{m+1}}, \delta)_X \quad (20)$$

and

$$\Omega^r(f - T_{2^{m+1}}, \delta)_X \lesssim \|f - T_{2^{m+1}}\|_X \lesssim E_{2^{m+1}}(f)_X. \quad (21)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^r(T_{2^{m+1}}, \delta)_X &\lesssim \delta^{2r} \|T_{2^{m+1}}^{(2r)}\|_X \lesssim \delta^{2r} \left\{ \|T_1^{(2r)} - T_0^{(2r)}\|_X + \sum_{i=1}^m \|T_{2^{i+1}}^{(2r)} - T_{2^i}^{(2r)}\|_X \right\} \\ &\lesssim \delta^{2r} \left\{ E_0(f)_X + \sum_{i=1}^m 2^{(i+1)2r} E_{2^i}(f)_X \right\} \\ &\lesssim \delta^{2r} \left\{ E_0(f)_X + 2^{2r} E_1(f)_X + \sum_{i=1}^m 2^{(i+1)2r} E_{2^i}(f)_X \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying here the inequality

$$2^{(i+1)2r} E_{2^i}(f)_X \lesssim \sum_{k=2^{i-1}+1}^{2^m} k^{2r-1} E_k(f)_X, \quad i \geq 1, \quad (22)$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^r(T_{2^{m+1}}, \delta)_X &\lesssim \delta^{2r} \left\{ E_0(f)_X + 2^{2r} E_1(f)_X + \sum_{k=2}^{2^m} k^{2r-1} E_k(f)_X \right\} \\ &\lesssim \delta^{2r} \left\{ E_0(f)_X + \sum_{k=1}^{2^m} k^{2r-1} E_k(f)_X \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Since

$$E_{2^{m+1}}(f)_X \lesssim \frac{1}{n^{2r}} \sum_{k=2^{m-1}+1}^{2^m} k^{2r-1} E_k(f)_X,$$

choosing m as $2^m \leq n < 2^{m+1}$, from (20)–(23), we obtain the result.

Theorem 2 is proved.

Proof of Theorem 3. Let $f \in X$ and

$$E_n(f)_X \lesssim n^{-\beta}, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots,$$

for some $\beta > 0$. We suppose that $\delta > 0$ and $n := \lfloor 1/\delta \rfloor$. From Theorem 2 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_r(f, \delta)_X &\leq \Omega_r\left(f, \frac{1}{n}\right)_X \lesssim \frac{1}{n^{2r}} \sum_{j=0}^n (j+1)^{2r-1} E_j(f)_X \\ &\lesssim \delta^{2r} \left(E_0(f)_X + \sum_{j=1}^n j^{2r-1} E_j(f)_X \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\lesssim \delta^{2r} \left(E_0(f)_X + \sum_{j=1}^n j^{2r-1-\beta} \right).$$

If $2r > \beta$, then we get $\Omega_r(f, \delta)_X \lesssim \delta^\beta$. If $2r = \beta$, then

$$\sum_{j=1}^n j^{2r-1-\beta} = \sum_{j=1}^n j^{-1} \leq 1 + \log(1/\delta),$$

and hence $\Omega_r(f, \delta)_X \lesssim \delta^\beta \log(1/\delta)$. If $2r < \beta$, then the series $\sum_{j=0}^n j^{2r-1-\beta}$ is convergent and

$$\Omega_r(f, \delta)_X \lesssim \delta^{2r} \left(E_0(f)_X + \sum_{j=1}^n j^{2r-1-\beta} \right) \lesssim \delta^{2r}$$

holds.

Theorem 3 is proved.

The research was supported by TÜBİTAK, the Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey and partially by MTM2011-27637 and Scientific Research Project 2019/61 of Balıkesir University.

The author states that there is no conflict of interest.

References

1. V. A. Abilov, F. V. Abilova, *Some problems of the approximation of 2π -periodic functions by Fourier sums in the space $L_{2\pi}^2$* , Math. Notes, **76**, № 5–6, 749–757 (2004).
2. R. Akgün, *Polynomial approximation in weighted Lebesgue spaces*, East J. Approx., **17**, № 3, 253–266 (2011).
3. R. Akgün, *Trigonometric approximation of functions in generalized Lebesgue spaces with variable exponent*, Ukr. Math. J., **63**, № 1, 1–26 (2011).
4. R. Akgün, *Polynomial approximation of functions in weighted Lebesgue and Smirnov spaces with nonstandard growth*, Georgian. Math. J., **18**, № 2, 203–235 (2011).
5. R. Akgün, *Exponential approximation in variable exponent Lebesgue spaces on the real line*, Constr. Math. Anal., **5**, № 4, 214–237 (2022).
6. R. Akgün, D. M. Israfilov, *Approximation in weighted Orlicz spaces*, Math. Slovaca, **61**, № 4, 601–618 (2011).
7. V. V. Arestov, *Integral inequalities for trigonometric polynomials and their derivatives* (in Russian), Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat., **45**, № 1, 3–22 (1981).
8. S. N. Bernštejn, *Collected works*, vol. I, The constructive theory of functions [1905–1930], Izdat. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Moscow (1952).
9. C. Bennett, R. Sharpley, *Interpolation of operators*, Pure and Appl. Math., **129**, Academic Press, Inc., Boston, MA (1988).
10. S. Bloom, R. Kerman, *Weighted L_Φ integral inequalities for operators of Hardy type*, Studia Math., **110**, № 1, 35–52 (1994).
11. D. Cruz-Uribe, L. Diening, P. Hästö, *The maximal operator on weighted variable Lebesgue spaces*, Fract. Calc. and Appl. Anal., **14**, № 3, 361–374 (2011).
12. F. Dai, *Jackson-type inequality for doubling weights on the sphere*, Constr. Approx., **24**, № 1, 91–112 (2006).
13. R. A. DeVore, G. G. Lorentz, *Constructive approximation*, Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences, **303**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1993).

14. L. Diening, P. Harjulehto, P. Hästö, M. Růžička, *Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces with variable exponents*, Lecture Notes in Math., **2017**, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg (2011).
15. Z. Ditzian, *Rearrangement invariance and relations among measures of smoothness*, Acta Math. Hungar., **135**, № 3, 270–285 (2012).
16. Z. Ditzian, K. G. Ivanov, *Strong converse inequalities*, J. Anal. Math., **61**, 61–111 (1993).
17. E. A. Gadjieva, *Investigation of the properties of functions with quasimonotone Fourier coefficients in generalized Nikolskii–Besov spaces* (in Russian), Author’s summary of PhD dissertation, Tbilisi (1986).
18. A. Guven, D. M. Israfilov, *Approximation by trigonometric polynomials in weighted rearrangement invariant spaces*, Glas. Mat. Ser. III, **44 (64)**, № 2, 423–446 (2009).
19. D. M. Israfilov, A. Guven, *Approximation by trigonometric polynomials in weighted Orlicz spaces*, Studia Math., **174**, № 2, 147–168 (2006).
20. S. Z. Jafarov, *Approximation by Fejér sums of Fourier trigonometric series in weighted Orlicz space*, Hacet. J. Math. and Stat., **43**, № 3, 259–268 (2013).
21. S. Z. Jafarov, *On moduli of smoothness in Orlicz classes*, Proc. Inst. Math. and Mech. Azerb. Natl. Acad. Sci., **33**, № 51, 85–92 (2010).
22. A. Y. Karlovich, *Fredholmness of singular integral operators with piecewise continuous coefficients on weighted Banach function spaces*, J. Integral Equat. and Appl., **15**, № 3, 263–320 (2003).
23. M. Khabazi, *The mean convergence of trigonometric Fourier series in weighted Orlicz classes*, Proc. A. Razmadze Math. Inst., **129**, 65–75 (2002).
24. O. Kováčik, J. Rákosník, *On spaces $L^{p(x)}$ and $W^{k,p(x)}$* , Czechoslovak Math. J., **41 (116)**, № 4, 592–618 (1991).
25. I. I. Sharapudinov, *On the uniform boundedness in L^p ($p = p(x)$) of some families of convolution operators*, Math. Notes, **59**, № 1–2, 205–212 (1996).
26. I. I. Sharapudinov, *Some problems in approximation theory in the spaces $L^{p(x)}(E)$* (in Russian), Anal. Math., **33**, № 2, 135–153 (2007).
27. I. I. Sharapudinov, *Approximation of functions in $L_{2\pi}^{p(\cdot)}$ by trigonometric polynomials*, Izv. Ross. Acad. Nauk Ser. Mat., **77**, № 2, 197–224 (2013).
28. R. Taberski, *Approximation of functions possessing derivatives of positive orders*, Ann. Polon. Math., **34**, № 1, 13–23 (1977).
29. A. F. Timan, *Theory of approximation of functions of a real variable*, Internat. Ser. Monogr. Pure and App. Math., **34**, Pergamon Press Book, The Macmillan Co., New York (1963).
30. A. Zygmund, *Trigonometric series*, 2nd ed., Cambridge Univ. Press, New York (1959).

Received 11.06.19