

On a boundary properties of functions from a class $H_p(p \geq 1)$

ULYNA HRABOVA, ROMAN TOVKACH

(Presented by V. Ryazanov)

Abstract. In this paper, the structural properties of a function are characterized by modules of continuity. The classical Hardy–Littlewood theorem describes the connection between the smoothness of the analytic function boundary values at the boundary of its analyticity and the growth rate of the modulus of its derivatives of higher orders. In this paper, we obtain an analogue of the Hardy–Littlewood theorem for functions from class H_p and modules of continuity of higher orders.

2010 MSC. 30E10, 45E99.

Key words and phrases. Class H_p , modules of continuity, theory of functions, boundary properties.

Introduction

The classes $H_p(0 < p < \infty)$, consisting of those functions f which are analytic in the interior D of the unit circle and for which

$$M_r^p(|f|) = \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^p d\theta$$

is bounded for $0 < r < l$, were introduced into analysis by G. H. Hardy. The principal facts concerning the behaviour of these functions at the boundary were established by F. Riesz with the aid of the factorization theorem. A.J. Macintyre, W.W. Rogosinski, and H.S. Shapiro have treated linear extremum problems (for $p \leq l$) in great detail. S.S. Walters has discussed the structure of the linear space H_p for $0 < p < 1$. R.M. Kovalchuk and Y.I. Volkov studied the properties of the bounded functions depending on L_p -norms of the derivatives for the analytical functions. In this paper we generalize Theorem 1 of the paper [10] for $n = 2, 3, \dots$ and for the modulus of continuity $\omega_n(f, t)$, $\forall n \in N$.

Received 30.01.2022

1. Main result

Denote by $H_p, p \geq 1$ the class of analytic in the circle $D = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ functions $f(z)$ such that the integral $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^p d\theta$ is bounded as $0 < r < 1$. At this case (see the monograph [2, p. 389]) the function $f(z)$ has almost everywhere on $\gamma = \{z : |z| = 1\}$ some boundary values for non-tangent paths, that form boundary function $f(e^{i\theta}) \in L_p(-\pi, \pi)$. By definition, put

$$\|f(e^{i\varphi})\|_{L_p} = \left\{ \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(e^{i\varphi})|^p d\varphi \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

and

$$\omega_n(f, t) = \omega_n(t) = \sup_{|h| \leq t} \|\Delta_h^n(f, \theta)\|_{L_p};$$

here $\Delta_h^n f(e^{i\theta}) = \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} (-1)^{n-j} f(e^{i(\theta+jh)})$ is a difference of the order n , $n \in N$, of the function $f(e^{i\theta})$ by the arc coordinate. Suppose that a real function $\omega(t)$ is defined for some segment $[0, l]$. Then the function $\omega(t)$ belongs to the class Ω_n if the following conditions hold:

- 1) $\omega(0)=0$, $\omega(t)>0$ as $t \in (0, l]$;
- 2) $\omega(t)$ is a non-decreasing function;
- 3) $\omega(t)$ is a continuous function over $[0, l]$;
- 4) for any $\lambda > 0$

$$\omega(\lambda t) \leq A_1 (1 + \lambda)^n \omega(t),$$

where the constant $A_1 > 0$ is independent of t and λ .

Define the tensile indicators of a function $\omega(t) \in \Omega_n$ as

$$\alpha_\omega = \lim_{k \rightarrow 0} \frac{\ln s_\omega(k)}{\ln k}, \quad \beta_\omega = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln s_\omega(k)}{\ln k},$$

where $s_\omega(k) = \sup_{t > 0} \frac{\omega(kt)}{\omega(t)}$.

The numbers α_ω and β_ω are called (see the monograph [11]) upper and lower tensile indicators of a function $\omega(t), t > 0$. In the general case the following conditions hold:

$$0 < \alpha_\omega \leq \beta_\omega < \infty.$$

Then, since $\omega(t)$ is continuous, by the monograph [11] it follows that

$$0 \leq \alpha_\omega \leq \beta_\omega \leq 1. \tag{1.1}$$

Suppose $r > 0$ and $\omega(t) \in \Omega_n$, $s_\omega(k) < \infty$ for any $k > 0$. Then by the papers [1, 11] it follows that:

1) $\alpha_\omega > 0$ if and only if

$$\int_0^t \frac{\omega(s)}{s} ds \leq A_2 \omega(t), \quad \forall t > 0; \tag{1.2}$$

2) $\beta_\omega < r$ if and only if

$$\int_t^\infty \frac{\omega(s)}{s^{r+1}} ds \leq A_3 \frac{\omega(t)}{t^r}, \quad \forall t > 0, \tag{1.3}$$

where $A_2 > 0$, $A_3 > 0$ are constants.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose the following conditions hold:*

- 1) $f(z) \in H_p$, $p \geq 1$,
- 2) *the integral modulus of continuity*

$$\omega_n(f, t) \leq \omega(t), \tag{1.4}$$

where $\omega(t) \in \Omega_n$.

Then for all $0 < r < 1$ and $n \in N$, $n \geq 2$

$$\left\| f^{(n)}(re^{i\varphi}) \right\|_{L_p} \leq A_4 \frac{\omega(1-r)}{(1-r)^n}, \tag{1.5}$$

where $A_4 > 0$ is independent from r constant.

Proof. Suppose $f(z) = u(z) + iv(z)$. Since we have condition (1.4) on γ , it follows for $u(z)$ and $v(z)$ that

$$\omega_n(u, t) \leq \omega(t), \quad \omega_n(v, t) \leq \omega(t). \tag{1.6}$$

Since

$$|\Delta_h^n f| = |\Delta_h^n u + i\Delta_h^n v| = \sqrt{(\Delta_h^n u)^2 + (\Delta_h^n v)^2} \geq |\Delta_h^n u|,$$

we get

$$\sup_{|h| \leq t} \|\Delta_h^n f\|_{L_p} \geq \sup_{|h| \leq t} \|\Delta_h^n u\|_{L_p}.$$

Therefore $\omega_n(u, t) \leq \omega(t)$. Continuing in the same way, we can prove second inequality from (1.6).

Consider $z = re^{i\varphi} \in D$. Then

$$f^{(n)}(z) = \frac{\frac{\partial^n f(re^{i\varphi})}{\partial \varphi^n} + B_1(n) \frac{\partial^{n-1} f(re^{i\varphi})}{\partial \varphi^{n-1}} + \dots + B_{n-1}(n) \frac{\partial f(re^{i\varphi})}{\partial \varphi}}{z^n}, \quad (1.7)$$

where $B_1(n), \dots, B_{n-1}(n)$ are some numerical coefficients. Furthermore for $k = \overline{1, n}$

$$\frac{\partial^k f(re^{i\varphi})}{\partial \varphi^k} = \frac{\partial^k u(re^{i\varphi})}{\partial \varphi^k} + i \frac{\partial^k v(re^{i\varphi})}{\partial \varphi^k}. \quad (1.8)$$

The function $u(re^{i\varphi})$ is harmonic on D and both integrals $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |u(re^{i\varphi})|^p d\varphi$, $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |v(re^{i\varphi})|^p d\varphi$ are bounded for $0 \leq r < 1$. Then the value $u(re^{i\varphi})$ can be represented by the Poisson integral (see the papers [4, 7]) at every point $z = re^{i\varphi} \in D$. Define (see the paper [8, 12]) the Δ -like kernel in the Poisson integral as

$$P(r, \theta) = \frac{1 - r^2}{1 - 2r \cos \theta + r^2}.$$

Therefore

$$u(re^{i\varphi}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} u(e^{i\theta}) P(r, \theta - \varphi) d\theta. \quad (1.9)$$

There are two possible cases:

1) k is odd; 2) k is even.

Consider the first one. Using (1.9) for $k = \overline{1, n}$ and using the methods of the paper [9] we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^k u(re^{i\varphi})}{\partial \varphi^k} &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} u(e^{i\theta}) \frac{\partial^k P(r, \theta - \varphi)}{\partial \varphi^k} d\theta \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \left[u(e^{i(\varphi-\theta)}) - u(e^{i(\varphi+\theta)}) \right] \frac{\partial^k P(r, \theta)}{\partial \theta^k} d\theta. \end{aligned} \quad (1.10)$$

Using generalized Minkowski inequality (see the monograph [11]) and (1.10) we get

$$\left\| \frac{\partial^k u(re^{i\varphi})}{\partial \varphi^k} \right\|_{L_p} = \left\| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \left[u(e^{i(\varphi-\theta)}) - u(e^{i(\varphi+\theta)}) \right] \frac{\partial^k P(r, \theta)}{\partial \theta^k} d\theta \right\|_{L_p} \leq$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\pi \left\| u \left(e^{i(\varphi-\theta)} \right) - u \left(e^{i(\varphi+\theta)} \right) \right\|_{L_p} \left| \frac{\partial^k P(r, \theta)}{\partial \theta^k} \right| d\theta \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\pi \omega_1(u, \theta) \left| \frac{\partial^k P(r, \theta)}{\partial \theta^k} \right| d\theta. \end{aligned} \tag{1.11}$$

An estimate is known from the paper [3]

$$\left| \frac{\partial^k P(r, \theta)}{\partial \theta^k} \right| \leq \frac{C}{(1 - 2r \cos \theta + r^2)^{\frac{k+1}{2}}}.$$

Since $1 - 2r \cos \theta + r^2 \geq (1 - r)^2 + \frac{4r}{\pi^2} \theta^2$, we obtain

$$\left| \frac{\partial^k P(r, \theta)}{\partial \theta^k} \right| \leq \frac{C}{\left((1 - r)^2 + \frac{4r}{\pi^2} \theta^2 \right)^{\frac{k+1}{2}}}. \tag{1.12}$$

From (1.11) we get

$$\left\| \frac{\partial^k u(re^{i\varphi})}{\partial \varphi^k} \right\|_{L_p} \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\int_0^{1-r} + \int_{1-r}^\pi \right) \omega_1(u, \theta) \left| \frac{\partial^k P(r, \theta)}{\partial \theta^k} \right| d\theta = I_1 + I_2. \tag{1.13}$$

Combining Marchaud inequality (see the monograph [6]), (1.1)–(1.3), (1.6) and (1.12), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{1-r} \omega_1(u, \theta) \left| \frac{\partial^k P(r, \theta)}{\partial \theta^k} \right| d\theta \leq A_5 \frac{\omega_1(1-r)(1-r)}{(1-r)^{k+1}} \\ &\leq A_6 \frac{1}{(1-r)^k} (1-r) \int_{1-r}^\pi \frac{\omega_n(u, t)}{t^2} dt \leq A_7 \frac{\omega(1-r)}{(1-r)^k} \leq A_7 \frac{\omega(1-r)}{(1-r)^n}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.14}$$

In the same way we get

$$I_2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{1-r}^\pi \omega_1(u, \theta) \left| \frac{\partial^k P(r, \theta)}{\partial \theta^k} \right| d\theta \leq A_8 \int_{1-r}^\pi \frac{\omega_1\left(u, \frac{\theta}{1-r}(1-r)\right)}{\left((1-r)^2 + \frac{4r}{\pi^2} \theta^2 \right)^{\frac{k+1}{2}}} d\theta \leq$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq A_9 \int_{1-r}^{\pi} \frac{\omega_1(1-r) \left(\frac{\theta}{1-r} + 1 \right)}{\theta^{k+1}} d\theta \leq A_9 \frac{\omega_1(1-r)}{(1-r)} \int_{1-r}^{\pi} \frac{\theta + (1-r)}{\theta^{k+1}} d\theta \\
&\leq A_{10} \frac{\omega_1(1-r)}{1-r} \int_{1-r}^{\pi} \frac{\theta}{\theta^{k+1}} d\theta \leq A_{11} \frac{\omega_1(1-r)}{(1-r)^k} \leq A_{12} \frac{\omega(1-r)}{(1-r)^n}. \quad (1.15)
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (1.14) and (1.15) from (1.13) we have

$$\left\| \frac{\partial^k u(re^{i\varphi})}{\partial \varphi^k} \right\|_{L_p} \leq A_{13} \frac{\omega(1-r)}{(1-r)^n}. \quad (1.16)$$

Consider the case if k is even. Since $\int_0^{\pi} \frac{\partial^k P(r, \theta)}{\partial \theta^k} d\theta = 0$ for $k = 2m$ and using the methods of Ryazanov (see the paper [13]) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial^k u(re^{i\varphi})}{\partial \varphi^k} &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} u(e^{i\theta}) \frac{\partial^k P(r, \theta - \varphi)}{\partial \varphi^k} d\theta \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} u(e^{i\theta}) \frac{\partial^k P(r, \theta - \varphi)}{\partial \theta^k} d\theta = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} u(e^{i(\varphi+\theta)}) \frac{\partial^k P(r, \theta)}{\partial \theta^k} d\theta \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\int_0^{\pi} u(e^{i(\varphi+\theta)}) \frac{\partial^k P(r, \theta)}{\partial \theta^k} d\theta - \int_0^{-\pi} u(e^{i(\varphi+\theta)}) \frac{\partial^k P(r, \theta)}{\partial \theta^k} d\theta \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \left[u(e^{i(\varphi+\theta)}) + u(e^{i(\varphi-\theta)}) \right] \frac{\partial^k P(r, \theta)}{\partial \theta^k} d\theta \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \left[u(e^{i(\varphi+\theta)}) - 2u(e^{i\varphi}) + u(e^{i(\varphi-\theta)}) \right] \frac{\partial^k P(r, \theta)}{\partial \theta^k} d\theta.
\end{aligned}$$

Now if we recall generalized Minkowski inequality, we get an inequality of type (1.11)

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{\partial^k u(re^{i\varphi})}{\partial \varphi^k} \right\|_{L_p} &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\pi \omega_2(u, \theta) \left| \frac{\partial^k P(r, \theta)}{\partial \theta^k} \right| d\theta \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \omega_1(u, \theta) \left| \frac{\partial^k P(r, \theta)}{\partial \theta^k} \right| d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore from (1.16) we get

$$\left\| \frac{\partial^k u(re^{i\varphi})}{\partial \varphi^k} \right\|_{L_p} \leq A_{14} \frac{\omega(1-r)}{(1-r)^n}. \quad (1.17)$$

Combining (1.16) and (1.17) for any $k = \overline{1, n}$ we obtain

$$\left\| \frac{\partial^k u(re^{i\varphi})}{\partial \varphi^k} \right\|_{L_p} \leq A_{15} \frac{\omega(1-r)}{(1-r)^n}. \quad (1.18)$$

As above for $v(re^{i\varphi})$ we have

$$\left\| \frac{\partial^k v(re^{i\varphi})}{\partial \varphi^k} \right\|_{L_p} \leq A_{16} \frac{\omega(1-r)}{(1-r)^n}. \quad (1.19)$$

Combining (1.8), (1.18) and (1.19), for $k = \overline{1, n}$ we get

$$\left\| \frac{\partial^k f(re^{i\varphi})}{\partial \varphi^k} \right\|_{L_p} \leq A_{17} \frac{\omega(1-r)}{(1-r)^n}. \quad (1.20)$$

Using (1.7) and (1.20) for all $\frac{1}{2} \leq r < 1$ finally, we obtain

$$\left\| f^{(n)}(z) \right\|_{L_p} \leq A_{18} \frac{\omega(1-r)}{(1-r)^n}. \quad (1.21)$$

Since $f^{(n)}(z)$ is analytical function for $|z| \leq \frac{1}{2}$, it follows that (1.21) also holds for $|z| \leq \frac{1}{2}$, i.e. for all $0 \leq r < 1$. This completes the proof. \square

Remark 1.1. In his paper [10], R.M. Kovalchuk proved Theorem 1 for $n = 2$. For analytical functions on domains with a quasi-conformal boundary (and hence for the harmonic functions on such domain) M.Z. Dveirin (see the paper [5]) obtained the estimate (1.5) for a uniform metric in the terms of Tamrazof's (see the monograph [14]) uniform modulus of smoothness.

References

- [1] Aksoy, A.G., Maligranda, L. (1996). Lipschitz–Orlicz Spaces and the Laplace Equation. *Mathematische Nachrichten*, 178(1), 81–101.
- [2] Goluzin, G.M. (1966). *Geometric Theory of Functions of a Complex Variable*. Nauka, M. (in Russian).
- [3] Gorbajchuk, V.I. (1986). On inverse theorems of approximation by harmonic functions. *Ukr. Math. J.*, 38(3), 309–314.
- [4] Gutlyanskiĭ, V., Ryazanov, V., Yakubov, E., Yefimushkin, A. (2021). On the Hilbert boundary-value problem for Beltrami equations with singularities. *J. Math. Sci.*, 254(3), 357–374.
- [5] Dveirin, M.Z. (1987). Hardy–Littlewood theorem in domains with quasiconformal boundary and its applications to harmonic functions. *Siberian Mathematical Journal*, 27, 361–366.
- [6] Dzyadyk, V.K. (1977). *Introduction to the theory of uniform approximation of functions by polynomials*. Nauka, Moscow (in Russian).
- [7] Kal’chuk, I.V., Kharkevych, Yu.I., Pozharska, K.V. (2020). Asymptotics of approximation of functions by conjugate Poisson integrals. *Carpathian Math. Publ.*, 12(1), 138–147.
- [8] Kharkevych, Yu.I. (2017). On Approximation of the quasi-smooth functions by their Poisson type integrals. *Journal of Automation and Information Sciences*, 49(10), 74–81.
- [9] Kharkevych, Yu.I. (2018). Asymptotic expansions of upper bounds of deviations of functions of class W^r from their generalized Poisson integrals. *Journal of Automation and Information Sciences*, 50(8), 38–39.
- [10] Kovalchuk, R.M. (1969). Some properties of the integral modulus of smoothness of a boundary function for the class $H_p(p \geq 1)$. *Function theory, functional analysis and their applications*, 9, 14–20.
- [11] Krejn, S.G., Petunin, Yu.I., Semenov, E.M. (1977). *Interpolation of linear operators*. Nauka, M. (in Russian).
- [12] Ryazanov, V.I. (2019). Stieltjes integrals in the theory of harmonic functions. *J. Math. Sci.*, 243(6), 922–933.
- [13] Ryazanov, V.I. (2019). On the theory of the boundary behavior of conjugate harmonic functions. *Complex Anal. Oper. Theory*, 13, 2899–2915.
- [14] Tamrazov, P.M. (1975). *Smoothness and polynomial approximation*. Nauk. dumka, K. (in Russian).

CONTACT INFORMATION

Ulyana HrabovaLesya Ukrainka Eastern European National
University, Lutsk, Ukraine*E-Mail:* grabova_u@ukr.net**Roman Tovkach**Lesya Ukrainka Eastern European National
University,
Lutsk, Ukraine*E-Mail:* Tovkach.Roman@vnu.edu.ua