Journal of Mathematical Physics, Analysis, Geometry 2020, Vol. 16, No. 4, pp. [381](#page-0-0)[–401](#page-20-0) doi:

Dissipative Extensions of Linear Relations Generated by Integral Equations with Operator Measure[s](#page-0-1)

Vladislav M. Bruk

In the paper, a minimal relation L_0 generated by an integral equation with operator measures is defined and a description of the adjoint relation L_0^* is given. For this minimal relation, we construct a space of boundary values (a boundary triplet) satisfying the abstract "Green formula" and get a description of maximal dissipative (accumulative) and also self-adjoint extensions of the minimal relation.

Key words: Hilbert space, linear relation, integral equation, dissipative extension, self-adjoint extension, boundary value, operator measure

Mathematical Subject Classification 2010: 47A10, 46G12, 45N05

1. Introduction

In the study of linear operators and relations generated by differential or integral equations with boundary conditions there often arises a problem of finding the boundary conditions that determine an operator or a relation with preassigned properties. A classical example of the solution to this problem is the description of self-adjoint extensions of a symmetric operator generated by an ordinary differential expression. The description was given by M.G. Krein in [\[17\]](#page-20-1) (see also $[18,$ Chap. 5]).

The method proposed by M. G. Krein essentially uses the finite dimensionality of defect subspaces of the symmetric operator. Therefore it is difficult to apply the results obtained in [\[17\]](#page-20-1) to operators with infinite defect indices. A significant advance in overcoming these difficulties was made by F. S. Rofe-Beketov [\[20\]](#page-20-3), who was the first to use linear relations for describing self-adjoint extensions of the minimal operator generated by a differential expression with bounded operator coefficients. The results obtained in [\[20\]](#page-20-3) were later generalized both to the case of more general (accumulative and dissipative) extensions [\[14\]](#page-19-0) and to the case of differential expressions with unbounded operator coefficients (see monographs [\[13\]](#page-19-1) and [\[21\]](#page-20-4) for detailed bibliography).

In this paper we consider the integral equation

$$
y(t) = x_0 - iJ \int_{[a,t)} d\mathbf{p}(s)y(s) - iJ \int_{[a,t)} d\mathbf{m}(s)f(s),
$$
 (1.1)

c Vladislav M. Bruk, 2020

where y is an unknown function, $a \leq t \leq b$; J is an operator in a separable Hilbert space H, $J = J^*$, $J^2 = E$ (E is an identical operator); **p**, **m** are the operator-valued measures defined on Borel sets $\Delta \subset [a, b]$ that take values in the set of linear bounded operators acting in H ; $x_0 \in H$, $f \in L_2(H, d\mathbf{m}; a, b)$. We assume that the measures \bf{p} , \bf{m} have bounded variations, \bf{p} is self-adjoint and \bf{m} is non-negative.

We define a minimal relation L_0 generated by equation [\(1.1\)](#page-0-2) and give a description of the adjoint relation L_0^* . For this minimal relation, we construct a space of boundary values (boundary triplet) satisfying the abstract "Green formula" (see $[4,5,16]$ $[4,5,16]$ $[4,5,16]$) and get a description of maximal dissipative (accumulative) and also self-adjoint extensions of the minimal relation.

If the measures **p**, **m** are absolutely continuous (i.e., $\mathbf{p}(\Delta) = \int_{\Delta} p(t) dt$, $m(\Delta) = \int_{\Delta} m(t) dt$ for all Borel sets $\Delta \subset [a, b]$, where the functions $||p(t)||$, $\|m(t)\|$ belong to $L_1(a, b)$, then integral equation [\(1.1\)](#page-0-2) is transformed into a differential equation with a non-negative weight operator function. Linear relations and operators generated by such differential equations were considered in many works (see $[6,7,19]$ $[6,7,19]$ $[6,7,19]$, further detailed bibliography can be found, for example, in [\[3,](#page-19-7) [15\]](#page-19-8)).

The study of integral equation [\(1.1\)](#page-0-2) differs essentially from the study of differential equations by the presence of the following features:

- i) a representation of a solution of equation [\(1.1\)](#page-0-2) using an evolutional family of operators is possible if the measures p, m do not have common single-point atoms (see [\[8\]](#page-19-9));
- ii) the Lagrange formula contains summands that are related to single-point atoms of the measures \bf{p}, \bf{m} (see [\[9\]](#page-19-10)).

Note that this paper partially corrects the errors made in [\[10\]](#page-19-11).

Under tighter assumptions imposed on the measures \bf{p} , \bf{m} , a description of self-adjoint or maximal dissipative (accumulative) extension of L_0 is given in the papers: $[9]$ (where **m** is the usual Lebesgue measure on [a, b] and the measure **p** has a finite number of single-point atoms); [\[11\]](#page-19-12) (where **m** is the usual Lebesgue measure on [a, b] and the set of single-point atoms of the measure **p** can be arranged as an increasing sequence converging to b); [\[12\]](#page-19-13) (where \bf{m} is a nonnegative continuous measure and the measure **p** is the same as in [\[11\]](#page-19-12)). In [\[9,](#page-19-10)[11\]](#page-19-12), L_0 , L_0^* are operators.

2. Preliminary assertions

Let H be a separable Hilbert space with a scalar product (\cdot, \cdot) and a norm $\|\cdot\|$. We consider a function $\Delta \to \mathbf{P}(\Delta)$ defined on Borel sets $\Delta \subset [a, b]$ that takes values in the set of linear bounded operators acting in H. The function P is called an operator measure on $[a, b]$ (see, for example, [\[2,](#page-19-14) Chap. 5]) if it is zero on the empty set and the equality

$$
\mathbf{P}\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \Delta_n\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}(\Delta_n)
$$

holds for disjoint Borel sets Δ_n , where the series converges weakly. Further, we extend any measure **P** on [a, b] to a segment [a, b₀] (b₀ > b) letting $\mathbf{P}(\Delta) = 0$ for each Borel set $\Delta \subset (b, b_0]$.

By $V_{\Delta}(P)$, we denote

$$
\mathbf{V}_{\Delta}(\mathbf{P}) = \rho_{\mathbf{P}}(\Delta) = \sup \sum_{n} \|\mathbf{P}(\Delta_n)\|,
$$

where the supremum is taken over finite sums of disjoint Borel sets $\Delta_n \subset \Delta$. The number $V_{\Delta}(\mathbf{P})$ is called the variation of the measure **P** on the Borel set Δ . Suppose that the measure **P** has the bounded variation on [a, b]. Then for $\rho_{\mathbf{P}}$ -almost all $\xi \in [a, b]$ there exists an operator function $\xi \to \Psi_{\mathbf{P}}(\xi)$ such that $\Psi_{\mathbf{P}}$ possesses the values in the set of linear bounded operators acting in H , $\|\Psi_{\mathbf{P}}(\xi)\|$ = 1, and the equality

$$
\mathbf{P}(\Delta) = \int_{\Delta} \Psi_{\mathbf{P}}(s) \, d\rho_{\mathbf{P}} \tag{2.1}
$$

holds for each Borel set $\Delta \subset [a, b]$. The function $\Psi_{\mathbf{P}}$ is uniquely determined up to values on a set of zero $\rho_{\rm P}$ -measure. Integral [\(2.1\)](#page-2-0) converges in the sense of usual operator norm $([2, Chap. 5]).$

Further, $\int_{t_0}^t$ stands for $\int_{[t_0,t]}$ if $t_0 < t$, for $-\int_{[t,t_0)}$ if $t_0 > t$, and for 0 if $t_0 =$ t. A function h is integrable with respect to the measure **P** on a set Δ if there exists the Bochner integral

$$
\int_{\Delta} \Psi_{\mathbf{P}}(t) h(t) d\rho_{\mathbf{P}} = \int_{\Delta} (d\mathbf{P}) h(t).
$$

Then the function

$$
(y(t) = \int_{t_0}^t (d\mathbf{P}) h(s)
$$

is continuous from the left.

By $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{P}}$, denote a set of single-point atoms of the measure **P** (i.e., a set $t \in$ [a, b] such that $\mathbf{P}(\{t\}) \neq 0$. The set $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{P}}$ is at most countable. The measure **P** is continuous if $\mathcal{S}_P = \emptyset$, it is self-adjoint if $(P(\Delta))^* = P(\Delta)$ for each Borel set $\Delta \subset$ $[a, b]$, it is non-negative if $(\mathbf{P}(\Delta)x, x) \geq 0$ for all Borel sets $\Delta \subset [a, b]$ and for all elements $x \in H$.

In Lemma [2.1](#page-2-1) below, \mathbf{p}_1 , \mathbf{p}_2 , \mathbf{q} are operator measures having bounded variations and taking values in the set of linear bounded operators acting in H. Suppose that the measure q is self-adjoint and assume that these measures are extended on the segment $[a, b_0] \supset [a, b_0] \supset [a, b]$ in the manner described above.

Lemma 2.1 ([\[9\]](#page-19-10)). Let f, g be functions integrable on [a, b₀] with respect to the measure **q** and $y_0, z_0 \in H$. Then the functions

$$
y(t) = y_0 - iJ \int_{t_0}^t d\mathbf{p}_1(s)y(s) - iJ \int_{t_0}^t d\mathbf{q}(s)f(s),
$$

\n
$$
z(t) = z_0 - iJ \int_{t_0}^t d\mathbf{p}_2(s)z(s) - iJ \int_{t_0}^t d\mathbf{q}(s)g(s), \quad a \le t_0 < b_0, \ t_0 \le t \le b_0,
$$

satisfy the following formula (analogous to the Lagrange one):

$$
\int_{c_1}^{c_2} (d\mathbf{q}(t)f(t), z(t)) - \int_{c_1}^{c_2} (y(t), d\mathbf{q}(t)g(t))
$$
\n
$$
= (iJy(c_2), z(c_2)) - (iJy(c_1), z(c_1))
$$
\n
$$
+ \int_{c_1}^{c_2} (y(t), d\mathbf{p}_2(t)z(t)) - \int_{c_1}^{c_2} (d\mathbf{p}_1(t)y(t), z(t))
$$
\n
$$
- \sum_{t \in S_{\mathbf{p}_1} \cap S_{\mathbf{p}_2} \cap [c_1, c_2)} (iJ\mathbf{p}_1(\{t\})y(t), \mathbf{p}_2(\{t\})z(t))
$$
\n
$$
- \sum_{t \in S_{\mathbf{q}} \cap S_{\mathbf{p}_2} \cap [c_1, c_2)} (iJ\mathbf{q}(\{t\})f(t), \mathbf{p}_2(\{t\})z(t))
$$
\n
$$
- \sum_{t \in S_{\mathbf{q}} \cap S_{\mathbf{p}_1} \cap S_{\mathbf{q}} \cap [c_1, c_2)} (iJ\mathbf{p}_1(\{t\})y(t), \mathbf{q}(\{t\})g(t))
$$
\n
$$
- \sum_{t \in S_{\mathbf{p}_1} \cap S_{\mathbf{q}} \cap [c_1, c_2)} (iJ\mathbf{q}(\{t\})f(t), \mathbf{q}(\{t\})g(t)), \quad t_0 \leq c_1 < c_2 \leq b_0. \quad (2.2)
$$

Further we will assume that the measures p, m have bounded variations, p is self-adjoint and m is non-negative. We consider the equation

$$
y(t) = x_0 - iJ \int_a^t d\mathbf{p}(s)y(s) - iJ \int_a^t d\mathbf{m}(s) f(s),
$$
 (2.3)

where $x_0 \in H$, f is integrable with respect to the measure **m** on [a, b], $a \le t \le$ b_0 .

We construct a continuous measure \mathbf{p}_0 from the measure \mathbf{p} in the following way. We set $\mathbf{p}_0({t_k}) = 0$ for $t_k \in S_p$ and we set $\mathbf{p}_0(\Delta) = \mathbf{p}(\Delta)$ for all Borel sets such that $\Delta \cap \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{p}} = \emptyset$. Similarly, we construct a continuous measure \mathbf{m}_0 from the measure **m**. The measures \mathbf{p}_0 , **m**₀ are self-adjoint and the measure **m**₀ is non-negative. We replace **p** by \mathbf{p}_0 and **m** by \mathbf{m}_0 in [\(2.3\)](#page-3-0). Then we obtain the equation

$$
y(t) = x_0 - iJ \int_a^t d\mathbf{p}_0(s)y(s) - iJ \int_a^t d\mathbf{m}_0(s)f(s).
$$
 (2.4)

Equations (2.3) and (2.4) have unique solutions (see [\[8\]](#page-19-9)).

By W , denote the operator solution of the equation

$$
W(t)x_0 = x_0 - iJ \int_a^t d\mathbf{p}_0(s)W(s)x_0,
$$
\n(2.5)

where $x_0 \in H$. Using Lemma [2.1,](#page-2-1) we get

$$
W^*(t)JW(t) = J \tag{2.6}
$$

by the standard method (see [\[11\]](#page-19-12)). The functions $t \to W(t)$ and $t \to W^{-1}(t)$ $JW^*(t)J$ are continuous with respect to the uniform operator topology. Consequently, there exist constants $\varepsilon_1 > 0$, $\varepsilon_2 > 0$ such that the inequality

$$
\varepsilon_1 \|x\|^2 \le \|W(t)x\|^2 \le \varepsilon_2 \|x\|^2 \tag{2.7}
$$

holds for all $x \in H$, $t \in [a, b_0]$. The following Lemma [2.2](#page-4-0) is established in [\[12\]](#page-19-13) for the case of a continuous measure m.

Lemma 2.2. The function y is a solution of the equation

$$
y(t) = x_0 - iJ \int_a^t d\mathbf{p}_0(s)y(s)x - iJ \int_a^t d\mathbf{m}(s)f(s), \ x_0 \in H, \quad a \le t \le b_0, \ (2.8)
$$

if and only if y has the form

$$
y(t) = W(t)x_0 - W(t) iJ \int_a^t W^*(\xi) d\mathbf{m}(\xi) f(\xi).
$$
 (2.9)

Proof. Equation (2.8) has a unique solution (see $[8]$). It is enough to prove that if we substitute the function from the right-hand side of (2.9) instead of y in equation [\(2.8\)](#page-4-1), then we get the identity. With this substitution, the right-hand side of (2.8) takes the form

$$
x_0 - iJ \int_a^t d\mathbf{p}_0(s) \left(W(s)x_0 - W(s)iJ \int_a^s W^*(\xi) d\mathbf{m}(\xi) f(\xi) \right) - iJ \int_a^t d\mathbf{m}(s) f(s)
$$

$$
= x_0 - iJ \int_a^t d\mathbf{p}_0(s) W(s)x_0
$$

$$
- J \int_a^t d\mathbf{p}_0(s) W(s) J \int_a^s W^*(\xi) d\mathbf{m}(\xi) f(\xi) - iJ \int_a^t d\mathbf{m}(s) f(s).
$$
 (2.10)

We change the limits of integration in the third term of the right-hand side of [\(2.10\)](#page-4-3). Then the third term takes the form

$$
J \int_{a}^{t} d\mathbf{p}_{0}(s) W(s) J \int_{a}^{s} W^{*}(\xi) d\mathbf{m}(\xi) f(\xi)
$$

= $J \int_{[a,t)} \left(\int_{(\xi,t)} d\mathbf{p}_{0}(s) W(s) \right) J W^{*}(\xi) d\mathbf{m}(\xi) f(\xi)$
= $J \int_{[a,t)} \left(\int_{[\xi,t)} d\mathbf{p}_{0}(s) W(s) \right) J W^{*}(\xi) d\mathbf{m}(\xi) f(\xi)$
- $J \int_{[a,t)} \left(\int_{\{\xi\}} d\mathbf{p}_{0}(s) W(s) \right) J W^{*}(\xi) d\mathbf{m}(\xi) f(\xi).$ (2.11)

The last term in (2.11) is equal to zero since the measure p_0 is continuous. Using (2.5) , we continue equality (2.10) :

$$
W(t)x_0 - \int_a^t J\left(\int_{\xi}^t d\mathbf{p}_0(s) W(s)\right) J W^*(\xi) d\mathbf{m}(\xi) f(\xi) - i J \int_a^t d\mathbf{m}(s) f(s). \tag{2.12}
$$

It follows from (2.5) that (2.12) is equal to

$$
W(t)x_0 - \int_a^t i((W(t) - E) - (W(\xi) - E))JW^*(\xi) d\mathbf{m}(\xi) f(\xi) - iJ\int_a^t d\mathbf{m}(s) f(s)
$$

$$
= W(t)x_0 - i \int_a^t W(t)JW^*(\xi) d\mathbf{m}(\xi) f(\xi)
$$

+
$$
+ i \int_a^t W(\xi)JW^*(\xi) d\mathbf{m}(\xi) f(\xi) - iJ \int_a^t d\mathbf{m}(s) f(s).
$$

 \Box

Taking into account (2.6) , we continue the last equality

$$
W(t)x_0 - iW(t)J\int_a^t W^*(\xi) d\mathbf{m}(\xi) f(\xi)
$$

+ $iJ\int_a^t d\mathbf{m}(\xi) f(\xi) - iJ\int_a^t d\mathbf{m}(s) f(s) = y(t).$

The lemma is proved.

3. Linear relations generated by the integral equation

Let **B** be a Hilbert space. A linear relation T is understood as a linear manifold $T \subset \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{B}$. The terminology of the linear relations can be found, for example, in $[1, 13]$ $[1, 13]$. In what follows we make use of the following notations: $\{\cdot,\cdot\}$ is an ordered pair, $\mathcal{D}(T)$ is the domain of T, $\mathcal{R}(T)$ is the range of T, ker T is the set of elements $x \in \mathbf{B}$ such that $\{x, 0\} \in T \subset \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{B}$. A relation T^* is called adjoint for T if T^* consists of all pairs $\{y_1, y_2\}$ such that the equality $(x_2, y_1) = (x_1, y_2)$ holds for all pairs $\{x_1, x_2\} \in T$. A linear relation T is called dissipative (accumulative, symmetric) if for any $\{x, x'\} \in T$ we have $\text{Im}(x', x) \geq$ 0 (respectively, $\text{Im}(x',x) \leq 0$, or $\text{Im}(x',x) = 0$). A dissipative (accumulative, symmetric) relation T is called maximal dissipative (accumulative, symmetric) if it has no dissipative (accumulative, symmetric) extensions $T_1 \supset T$ such that $T_1 \neq T$. A symmetric relation is called self-adjoint if it is maximal dissipative and maximal accumulative at the same time. As it is known, a relation T is symmetric if and only if $T \subset T^*$ and it is self-adjoint if and only if $T = T^*$. As linear operators are treated as linear relations, the notation $\{x_1, x_2\} \in T$ is also used for the operator T. Since all considered relations are linear, we will often omit the word "linear".

Let **m** be a non-negative operator-valued measure defined on Borel sets $\Delta \subset$ [a, b] that takes values in the set of linear bounded operators acting in the space H . The measure **m** is assumed to have a bounded variation on [a, b]. We introduce the quasi-scalar product

$$
(x,y)_{\mathbf{m}} = \int_{a}^{b_0} ((d\mathbf{m})x(t), y(t))
$$

on a set of step-like functions with values in H defined on the segment $[a, b_0]$. Identifying with zero functions y obeying $(y, y)_{\mathbf{m}} = 0$ and making the completion, we arrive at the Hilbert space denoted by $L_2(H, d\mathbf{m}; a, b) = \mathfrak{H}$. The elements of 5 are the classes of functions identified with respect to the norm $||y||_{\mathbf{m}} =$ $(y, y)_{\mathbf{m}}^{1/2}$. In order not to complicate the terminology, the class of functions with

a representative y is indicated by the same symbol and we write $y \in \mathfrak{H}$. The equalities of the functions in $\mathfrak H$ are understood as the equalities for associated equivalence classes.

Let us define a minimal relation L_0 in the following way. The relation L_0 consists of pairs $\{\tilde{y}, f\} \in \mathfrak{H} \times \mathfrak{H}$ satisfying the condition: for each pair $\{\tilde{y}, f\}$ there exists a pair $\{y, f\}$ such that the pairs $\{\tilde{y}, f\}$, $\{y, f\}$ are identical in $\mathfrak{H} \times \mathfrak{H}$ and $\{y, f\}$ satisfies equation [\(2.3\)](#page-3-0) and the equalities

$$
y(a) = y(b_0) = y(\alpha) = 0, \quad \alpha \in \mathcal{S}_p; \qquad \mathbf{m}(\{\beta\})f(\beta) = 0, \quad \beta \in \mathcal{S}_m. \tag{3.1}
$$

In general, the relation L_0 is not an operator since the function y may happen to be identified with zero in \mathfrak{H} , while f is non-zero. It follows from Lemma [2.1](#page-2-1) that the relation L_0 is symmetric. Further, without loss of generality it can be assumed that if a pair $\{y, f\} \in L_0$, then equalities (2.3) and (3.1) hold for this pair.

Lemma 3.1. Equalities (2.3) , (2.4) , and (2.8) hold simultaneously for any pair $\{y, f\} \in L_0$.

Proof. We denote $\bar{\mathbf{p}} = \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}_0$, $\bar{\mathbf{m}} = \mathbf{m} - \mathbf{m}_0$. Then $\bar{\mathbf{p}}(\{t_k\}) = \mathbf{p}(\{t_k\})$ for all $t_k \in S_p$ and $\bar{p}(\Delta) = 0$ for all Borel sets Δ such that $\Delta \cap S_p = \emptyset$. Similar equalities hold for the measure \overline{m} . Using (2.3) , we get

$$
y(t) = x_0 - iJ \int_a^t d\mathbf{p}_0(s) y(s) - iJ \int_a^t d\overline{\mathbf{p}}(s) y(s)
$$

$$
- iJ \int_a^t d\overline{\mathbf{m}}(s) f(s) - iJ \int_a^t d\mathbf{m}_0(s) f(s).
$$

Now the desired statement follows from [\(3.1\)](#page-6-0). The lemma is proved.

Corollary 3.2. If $y \in \mathcal{D}(L_0)$, then y is continuous and $y(b) = 0$.

Lemma 3.3. A pair $\{\widetilde{y}, \widetilde{f}\} \in \mathfrak{H} \times \mathfrak{H}$ belongs to the relation L_0 if and only if there exists a pair $\{y, f\}$ such that the pairs $\{\tilde{y}, f\}$, $\{y, f\}$ are identical in $\mathfrak{H} \times \mathfrak{H}$ and the equalities

$$
y(t) = -W(t) iJ \int_{a}^{t} W^*(s) d\mathbf{m}_0(s) f(s), \qquad (3.2)
$$

$$
y(\alpha) = W(\alpha) iJ \int_{a}^{\alpha} W^*(s) d\mathbf{m}_0(s) f(s) = 0,
$$
 (3.3)

$$
\mathbf{m}(\{\beta\})f(\beta) = 0\tag{3.4}
$$

hold, where $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{p}} \cup \{b_0\}, \ \beta \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{m}}$.

Proof. It follows from Lemmas 2.2 and 3.1 that equalities (3.2) – (3.4) hold together with equalities [\(2.3\)](#page-3-0) and [\(3.1\)](#page-6-0). By the definition of the relation L_0 , a pair $\{y, f\} \in L_0$ if and only if (2.3) and (3.1) hold. The lemma is proved. \Box

Lemma 3.4. The relation L_0 is closed.

 \Box

Proof. Suppose $\{y_n, f_n\} \in L_0$. Using (3.2) – (3.4) , we obtain

$$
y_n(t) = -W(t) iJ \int_a^t W^*(s) d\mathbf{m}_0(s) f_n(s), \qquad (3.5)
$$

$$
y_n(\alpha) = W(\alpha) iJ \int_a^{\alpha} W^*(s) d\mathbf{m}_0(s) f_n(s) = 0, \quad \mathbf{m}(\{\beta\}) f_n(\beta) = 0,
$$
 (3.6)

where $\alpha \in S_p \cup \{b_0\}, \ \beta \in S_m$. Suppose that the sequences $\{y_n\}, \ \{f_n\}$ converge in $\mathfrak H$ to y, f, respectively. We note that if a sequence converges in $\mathfrak H$ = $L_2(H, d\mathbf{m}; a, b)$, then this sequence converges in $L_2(H, d\mathbf{m}_0; a, b)$. Moreover,

$$
||f_n - f||_{\mathfrak{H}}^2 \geqslant (\mathbf{m}(\{\beta\})(f_n(\beta) - f(\beta)), f_n(\beta) - f(\beta)) = (\mathbf{m}(\{\beta\})(f(\beta), f(\beta)),
$$

where $\beta \in \mathcal{S}_{m}$. Passing to the limit as $n \to \infty$ in [\(3.5\)](#page-7-0) and [\(3.6\)](#page-7-1), we obtain equalities [\(3.2\)](#page-6-2)–[\(3.4\)](#page-6-3). It follows from Lemma [3.3](#page-6-4) that the pair $\{y, f\} \in L_0$. The lemma is proved. \Box

Corollary 3.5. The function $f \in \mathfrak{H}$ belongs to the range $\mathcal{R}(L_0)$ if and only if f satisfies the conditions

$$
\int_{a}^{\alpha} W^*(s) d\mathbf{m}_0(s) f(s) = 0, \quad \mathbf{m}(\{\beta\}) f(\beta) = 0,
$$
 (3.7)

where $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{p}} \cup \{b_0\}, \ \beta \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{m}}$.

Remark 3.6. The first equality in [\(3.7\)](#page-7-2) is equivalent to the following:

$$
\int_{\alpha_1}^{\alpha_2} W^*(s) d\mathbf{m}_0(s) f(s) = 0, \quad \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathcal{S}_p \cup \{a\} \cup \{b_0\}.
$$
 (3.8)

Remark 3.7. It follows from Lemma [3.1,](#page-6-1) Corollary [3.2,](#page-6-5) and equality [\(3.4\)](#page-6-3) that we can replace m_0 by m and b_0 by b in (3.2) , (3.3) , (3.7) , and (3.8) .

By \overline{S}_{p} , denote the closure of the set S_{p} .

Lemma 3.8. Suppose $\{y, f\} \in L_0$. Then $y(t) = 0$ for all $t \in \overline{S_p}$ and $f(t) =$ 0 for **m**-almost all $t \in \overline{S}_{p} \cup \{a, b\}.$

Proof. It follows from Corollary [3.2](#page-6-5) that the functions $y \in \mathcal{D}(L_0)$ are contin-uous. Taking into account [\(3.1\)](#page-6-0), we obtain $y(t) = 0$ for $t \in \overline{S}_{p}$. Using Corollary [3.5](#page-7-4) and Remark [3.7,](#page-7-5) we get

$$
\int_a^{\alpha} (d\mathbf{m}_0(s)f(s), W(s)x) = 0, \quad \mathbf{m}(\{\beta\})f(\beta) = 0
$$

for all $x \in H$ and for all $\alpha \in \overline{S}_{p} \cup \{b\}, \beta \in S_{m}$. Hence equality [\(2.1\)](#page-2-0) implies

$$
\int_{a}^{\alpha} (\Psi_{\mathbf{m}_{0}}(s) f(s), W(s)x) d\rho_{\mathbf{m}_{0}}(s) = 0, \quad \mathbf{m}(\{\beta\}) f(\beta) = 0.
$$
 (3.9)

We denote

$$
\varphi_x(t) = (\Psi_{\mathbf{m}_0}(t) f(t), W(t)x), \quad \Phi_x(t) = \int_a^t \varphi_x(s) d\rho_{\mathbf{m}_0}(s).
$$

The function Φ_x is continuous. Hence, it follows from [\(3.9\)](#page-7-6) that $\Phi_x(t) = 0$ for all $t \in S_{\mathbf{p}} \cup \{a, b\}$. Therefore, $\varphi_x(t) = 0$ for $\rho_{\mathbf{m}_0}$ -almost all $t \in S_{\mathbf{p}} \cup \{a, b\}$.

Let $\{x_n\}$ be a countable everywhere dense set in H and let \mathcal{X}_n be a set $t \in$ $S_{\mathbf{p}}$ such that $\varphi_{x_n}(t) = 0$. Then $\varrho_{\mathbf{m}_0}(\mathcal{X}_n) = \varrho_{\mathbf{m}_0}(\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{p}})$. We denote $\mathcal{X} = \cap_n \mathcal{X}_n$. Then $\varrho_{\mathbf{m}_0}(\mathcal{X}) = \varrho_{\mathbf{m}_0}(\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{p}})$ and $\varphi_{x_n}(t) = 0$ for all n. If a sequence $\{z_n\}$ converges to z in H, then the sequence $\{W(t)z_n\}$ converges to $W(t)z$ for fixed t. Therefore, $\varphi_x(t) = 0$ for all $x \in H$ and for all $t \in \mathcal{X}$. The operator $W(t)$ has a bounded inverse for all t. It follows that $\Psi_{\mathbf{m}_0}(t) f(t) = 0$ for all $t \in \mathcal{X}$. Consequently, $\Psi_{\mathbf{m}_0}(t) f(t) = 0$ for $\rho_{\mathbf{m}_0}$ -almost all $t \in S_{\mathbf{p}} \cup \{a, b\}$. It follows from (2.1) that

$$
\int_a^b (d\mathbf{m}_0(t)f(t), f(t)) = \int_a^b (\Psi_{\mathbf{m}_0}(t)f(t), f(t)) d\rho_{\mathbf{m}_0}(t) = 0.
$$

Hence, using [\(3.4\)](#page-6-3), we obtain $f(t) = 0$ for **m**-almost all $t \in \overline{S}_{p} \cup \{a, b\}$. The lemma is proved. \Box

By \mathfrak{H}_0 (by \mathfrak{H}_1), denote a subspace of functions that vanish on $(a, b) \setminus \overline{S}_{\mathbf{p}}$ (on $\overline{S}_{p} \cup \{a, b\}$, respectively) with respect to the norm in \mathfrak{H} . The subspaces \mathfrak{H}_0 , \mathfrak{H}_1 are orthogonal and $\mathfrak{H} = \mathfrak{H}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{H}_1$. We note that $\mathfrak{H}_0 = \{0\}$ if and only if $\mathbf{m}(\overline{S}_{\mathbf{p}})$ ${a, b} = 0.$

We denote $L_{10} = L_0 \cap (\mathfrak{H}_1 \times \mathfrak{H}_1)$. Then $\mathcal{D}(L_{10}) \subset \mathfrak{H}_1$, $\mathcal{R}(L_{10}) \subset \mathfrak{H}_1$. It follows from Lemma [3.8](#page-7-7) that

$$
L_0^* = (\mathfrak{H}_0 \times \mathfrak{H}_0) \oplus L_{10}^*,\tag{3.10}
$$

i.e., the relation L_0^* consists of all pairs $\{y, f\} \in \mathfrak{H}$ of the form

$$
\{y, f\} = \{u, v\} + \{z, g\} = \{u + z, v + g\},\
$$

where $u, v \in \mathfrak{H}_0, \{z, g\} \in L_{10}^*$.

The set $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{p}} = (a, b) \setminus \overline{\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{p}}}$ is open and it is the union of at most a countable number of disjoint open intervals, i.e., $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{p}} = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\mathbb{k}_1} \mathcal{J}_k$, $\mathcal{J}_k \cap \mathcal{J}_j = \varnothing$ for $k \neq j$, where k_1 is a natural number (equal to the number of intervals if this number is finite) or the symbol ∞ (if the number of intervals is infinite). By J, denote the set of these intervals \mathcal{J}_k . Note that the boundaries α_k , β_k of any interval \mathcal{J}_k = $(\alpha_k, \beta_k) \in \mathbb{J}$ belong to $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbf{p}} \cup \{a, b\}.$

Further, let χ_A denote the characteristic function of a set A. We denote

$$
w_k(t) = \chi_{[\alpha_k, \beta_k)} W(t) W^{-1}(\alpha_k), \tag{3.11}
$$

where $(\alpha_k, \beta_k) = \mathcal{J}_k \in \mathbb{J}$. Using (2.6) , we get

$$
w_k^*(t)Jw_k(t) = J, \quad \alpha_k \leq t < \beta_k. \tag{3.12}
$$

Lemma 3.9. Let $g \in \mathfrak{H}$ and let the function G_k be given by the equality

$$
G_k(t) = -w_k(t) iJ \int_{\alpha_k}^t w_k^*(s) d\mathbf{m}(s) g(s),
$$

where $(\alpha_k, \beta_k) = \mathcal{J}_k \in \mathbb{J}$. Then the pair $\{G_k, g\} \in L_{10}^*$ if g vanishes outside $[\alpha_k, \beta_k).$

Proof. Equalities [\(2.6\)](#page-3-3) and [\(3.11\)](#page-8-0) imply

$$
G_k(t) = -\chi_{[\alpha_k,\beta_k)} W(t) iJ \int_{\alpha_k}^t W^*(s) d\mathbf{m}(s) g(s).
$$

It follows from Lemma [2.2](#page-4-0) that the function G_k is a solution of equation [\(2.8\)](#page-4-1) on the segment $[\alpha_k, \gamma], \gamma < \beta_k$ (for $a = \alpha_k, y = G_k, f = g, x_0 = 0$).

Suppose a pair $\{y, f\} \in L_0$. According to Lemma [3.1,](#page-6-1) the pair $\{y, f\}$ satisfies equation [\(2.8\)](#page-4-1) for $x_0 = 0$. Therefore we can apply formula [\(2.2\)](#page-3-4) to the functions y, f, G_k , g for $c_1 = \alpha_k$, $c_2 = \gamma$, $\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{m}$, $\mathbf{p}_1 = \mathbf{p}_2 = \mathbf{p}_0$. Since the measure \mathbf{p}_0 is continuous, self-adjoint and [\(3.4\)](#page-6-3) holds, we obtain

$$
\int_{\alpha_k}^{\gamma} (g(s), d\mathbf{m}(s) y(s)) = \int_{\alpha_k}^{\gamma} (G_k(s), d\mathbf{m}(s) f(s)) + (iJ G_k(\gamma), y(\gamma)).
$$
 (3.13)

The function y is continuous from the left and $y(\beta_k) = 0$. Hence, passing to the limit as $\gamma \to \beta_k - 0$ in [\(3.13\)](#page-9-0), we obtain

$$
\int_{\alpha_k}^{\beta_k} (g(s), d\mathbf{m}(s) y(s)) = \int_{\alpha_k}^{\beta_k} (G_k(s), d\mathbf{m}(s) f(s)).
$$

This implies the desired statement. The lemma is proved.

Let M be a set consisting of intervals $\mathcal{J} \in \mathbb{J}$ and single-point sets $\{\tau\}$, where $\tau \in \mathcal{S}_{m} \setminus \overline{\mathcal{S}}_{p}$. The set M is at most countable. We arrange the elements of M in the form of a finite or infinite sequence and denote these elements by \mathcal{E}_k , where k is any natural number if the number of elements in M is infinite, and $1 \leq k \leq$ k if the number of elements in M is finite and equal to k.

We will assign an operator function v_k to each element $\mathcal{E}_k \in \mathbb{M}$ in the following way. If \mathcal{E}_k is the interval, $\mathcal{E}_k = \mathcal{J}_k = (\alpha_k, \beta_k) \in \mathbb{J}$, then

$$
v_k(t) = \chi_{[\alpha_k, \beta_k) \setminus \mathcal{S}_m} w_k(t). \tag{3.14}
$$

 \Box

If \mathcal{E}_k is a single-point set, $\mathcal{E}_k = {\tau_k}$, $\tau_k \in \mathcal{S}_m \setminus (\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbf{p}} \cup \{a, b\})$, and $\tau_k \in \mathcal{J}_n =$ (α_n, β_n) , then

$$
v_k(t) = \chi_{\{\tau_k\}} w_n(\tau_k). \tag{3.15}
$$

It follows from the definition of functions v_k that for each element $x_1, x_2 \in H$ the functions $v_k(\cdot)x_1, v_i(\cdot)x_2$ are orthogonal in \mathfrak{H} for $k \neq j$. Moreover, $v_k(\cdot)x \in$ \mathfrak{H}_1 for all $x \in H$ and for all k.

Lemma 3.10. The linear span of functions $t \to v_k(t)\xi$, $\xi \in H$, is dense in $\ker L_{10}^*$. Here $k \in \mathbb{N}$ if $k = \infty$, and $1 \leq k \leq k$ if k is finite.

Proof. It follows from Corollary [3.5,](#page-7-4) Remark [3.7,](#page-7-5) and [\(3.10\)](#page-8-1) that the range $\mathcal{R}(L_{10})$ consists of all functions $f \in \mathfrak{H}$ orthogonal to functions of the form $v_k(\cdot)\xi$, where $\xi \in H$. The equality $\ker(L_{10}^*) \oplus \mathcal{R}(L_{10}) = \mathfrak{H}_1$ implies the desired assertion. The lemma is proved. \Box

Let $Q_{k,0}$ be a set $x \in H$ such that the functions $t \to v_k(t)x$ are identical with zero in \mathfrak{H} . We put $Q_k = H \ominus Q_{k,0}$. On the linear space Q_k , we introduce a norm k·k[−] by the equality

$$
\|\xi_k\|_{-} = \|v_k(\cdot)\xi_k\|_{\mathfrak{H}}, \quad \xi_k \in Q_k. \tag{3.16}
$$

We note that if v_k has the form (3.14) , then

$$
\|\xi_k\|_{-} = \left(\int_{[\alpha_k,\beta_k)\setminus\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{m}}}(d\mathbf{m}(s)\,w_k(s)\xi_k,w_k(s)\xi_k)\right)^{1/2}, \quad \xi_k \in Q_k.
$$

If v_k has the form (3.15) , then

$$
\|\xi_k\|_{-} = (\mathbf{m}(\{\tau_k\})w_n(\tau_k)\xi_k, w_n(\tau_k)\xi_k)^{1/2}, \quad \xi_k \in Q_k.
$$

By $Q_k^ \overline{k}$, denote the completion of Q_k with respect to the norm [\(3.16\)](#page-10-0). The norm [\(3.16\)](#page-10-0) is generated by the scalar product (ξ_k, η_k) _− = $(v_k(\cdot)\xi_k, v_k(\cdot)\eta_k)$ ₅, where $\xi_k, \eta_k \in Q_k$. From the formula [\(2.1\)](#page-2-0), in which the measure **P** is replaced by **m**, it follows that

$$
\|\xi_k\|_{-} \leqslant \gamma \|\xi_k\| \,, \quad \xi_k \in Q_k,\tag{3.17}
$$

where $\gamma > 0$ is independent of $\xi_k \in Q_k$.

It follows from [\(3.17\)](#page-10-1) that the space $Q_k^ \overline{k}$ can be treated as a space with a negative norm with respect to Q_k [\[2,](#page-19-14) Chap. 1] and [\[13,](#page-19-1) Chap. 2]. By Q_k^+ $\,k^{\dagger},\,$ we denote the associated space with a positive norm. The definition of spaces with positive and negative norms implies that $Q_k^+ \subset Q_k$. By $(\cdot, \cdot)_+$ and $\|\cdot\|_+$, we denote the scalar product and the norm in Q_k^+ \overrightarrow{k} , respectively.

Suppose that a sequence $\{x_{kn}\}\,$, $x_{kn} \in Q_k$, converges in the space $Q_k^ \bar{k}$ to $x_0 \in$ $Q_k^ \overline{k}$ as $n \to \infty$. Then a sequence $\{v_k(\cdot)x_{kn}\}\$ is fundamental in \mathfrak{H} . Therefore this sequence converges to some element $x_0 \in \mathfrak{H}$. We denote this element by $v_k(\cdot)x_0$.

Let $\widetilde{Q}_n^- = Q_1^- \times \cdots \times Q_n^ (\widetilde{Q}_n^+ = Q_1^+ \times \cdots \times Q_n^+)$ be the Cartesian product of the first *n* sets $Q_k^ _k^ (Q_k^+$ ⁺_k, respectively) and let $V_n(t) = (v_1(t), \ldots, v_n(t))$ be the operator one-row matrix. It is convenient to treat elements from \widetilde{Q}_n^- as onecolumn matrices, and to assume that $V_n(t)\tilde{\xi}_n = \sum_{k=1}^n v_k(t)\xi_k$, where we denote $\widetilde{\xi}_n = \mathrm{col}(\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_n) \in \widetilde{Q}_n^-, \, \xi_k \in Q_k^ \frac{-}{k}$.

Let ker_k be a linear space of functions $t \to v_k(t)\xi_k$, $\xi_k \in Q_k^ \bar{k}$. By [\(3.16\)](#page-10-0), it follows that ker_k is closed in \mathfrak{H} . The spaces ker_k and ker_j are orthogonal for $k \neq$ j. We denote $\mathcal{K}_n = \ker_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \ker_n$. Obviously, $\mathcal{K}_n \subset \mathcal{K}_m$ for $n < m$.

Lemma 3.11. The set $\bigcup_n \mathcal{K}_n$ is dense in ker L_{10}^* .

 \Box Proof. The required statement follows immediately from Lemma [3.10.](#page-10-2)

By \mathcal{V}_n , denote the operator $\widetilde{\xi}_n \to V_n(\cdot) \widetilde{\xi}_n$ ($\widetilde{\xi}_n \in \widetilde{Q}_n^-$). The operator \mathcal{V}_n maps continuously and one-to-one \widetilde{Q}_n^- onto $\mathcal{K}_n \subset \mathfrak{H}_1 \subset \mathfrak{H}$. Hence the adjoint operator \mathcal{V}_n^* maps 5 onto \widetilde{Q}_n^+ continuously. We find the form of the operator \mathcal{V}_n^* . For all $\xi_n \in Q_n = Q_1 \times ... Q_n, f \in \mathfrak{H}$, we have

$$
(f, \mathcal{V}_n \widetilde{\xi}_n)_{\mathfrak{H}} = \int_a^{b_0} (d\mathbf{m}(s) f(s), V_n(s) \widetilde{\xi}_n) = \int_a^{b_0} (V_n^*(s) d\mathbf{m}(s) f(s), \widetilde{\xi}_n) = (\mathcal{V}_n^* f, \widetilde{\xi}_n).
$$

Since \widetilde{Q}_n is dense in \widetilde{Q}_n^- , we obtain

$$
\mathcal{V}_n^* f = \int_a^{b_0} V_n^*(s) \, d\mathbf{m}(s) \, f(s). \tag{3.18}
$$

So we proved the following statement:

Lemma 3.12. The operator V_n maps continuously and one-to-one \widetilde{Q}_n^- onto \mathcal{K}_n . The adjoint operator \mathcal{V}_n^* maps continuously \mathfrak{H} onto \widetilde{Q}_n^+ and acts by the formula [\(3.18\)](#page-11-0). Moreover, \mathcal{V}_n^* maps one-to-one \mathcal{K}_n onto \widetilde{Q}_n^+ .

Let \mathcal{Q}_- , \mathcal{Q}_+ , \mathcal{Q} be linear spaces of sequences $\widetilde{\eta} = {\eta_k}$, $\widetilde{\varphi} = {\varphi_k}$, $\widetilde{\xi} = {\xi_k}$, respectively, such that the series

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{k} \|\eta_k\|_{-}^2, \quad \sum_{k=1}^{k} \|\varphi_k\|_{+}^2, \quad \sum_{k=1}^{k} \|\xi_k\|^2
$$

converge if $\mathbb{k} = \infty$, where $\eta_k \in Q_k^ \overline{k}$, $\varphi_k \in Q_k^+$ $k \atop k$, $\xi_k \in Q_k$. These spaces become Hilbert spaces if we introduce the scalar products by the formulas

$$
(\widetilde{\eta}, \widetilde{\sigma})_{-} = \sum_{k=1}^{k} (\eta_k, \sigma_k)_{-}, \qquad \widetilde{\eta}, \widetilde{\sigma} \in \mathcal{Q}_{-},
$$

$$
(\widetilde{\varphi}, \widetilde{\psi})_{+} = \sum_{k=1}^{k} (\varphi_k, \psi_k)_{+}, \qquad \widetilde{\varphi}, \widetilde{\psi} \in \mathcal{Q}_{+},
$$

$$
(\widetilde{\xi}, \widetilde{\zeta}) = \sum_{k=1}^{k} (\xi_k, \zeta_k), \qquad \widetilde{\xi}, \widetilde{\zeta} \in \mathcal{Q}.
$$

In these spaces, the norms are defined by the equalities

$$
\|\widetilde{\eta}\|_{-}^{2} = \sum_{k=1}^{k} \|\eta_{k}\|_{-}^{2}, \quad \|\widetilde{\varphi}\|_{+}^{2} = \sum_{k=1}^{k} \|\varphi_{k}\|_{+}^{2}, \quad \left\|\widetilde{\xi}\right\|_{-}^{2} = \sum_{k=1}^{k} \|\xi_{k}\|_{-}^{2}.
$$

The spaces \mathcal{Q}_+ , \mathcal{Q}_- can be treated as spaces with positive and negative norms with respect to \mathcal{Q} (see [\[2,](#page-19-14) Chap. 1] and [\[13,](#page-19-1) Chap. 2]). So, $\mathcal{Q}_+ \subset \mathcal{Q} \subset \mathcal{Q}_-$ and $\varepsilon_1 \|\widetilde{\varphi}\|_{\mathbb{L}} \leqslant \|\widetilde{\varphi}\|_{\mathbb{L}} \leqslant \varepsilon_2 \|\widetilde{\varphi}\|_{\mathbb{L}},$ where $\widetilde{\varphi} \in \mathcal{Q}_+, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 > 0$. The "scalar product" $(\widetilde{\eta}, \widetilde{\varphi})$

is defined for all $\tilde{\varphi} \in \mathcal{Q}_+, \tilde{\eta} \in \mathcal{Q}_-$. If $\tilde{\eta} \in \mathcal{Q}$, then $(\tilde{\eta}, \tilde{\varphi})$ coincides with the scalar product in Q.

Let $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{Q}_-$ be a set of sequences that vanish starting from a certain number (its own for each sequence). The set M is dense in the space \mathcal{Q}_- . The operator \mathcal{V}_n is the restriction of \mathcal{V}_{n+1} to \widetilde{Q}_n^- . By \mathcal{V}' , denote an operator in M such that $V'\tilde{\eta} = V_n\tilde{\eta}_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\tilde{\eta} = (\tilde{\eta}_n, 0, \ldots), \tilde{\eta}_n \in \tilde{Q}_n^-$. It follows from [\(3.16\)](#page-10-0) that $\mathcal V'$ admits an extension by continuity to the space $\mathcal Q_-\$. By $\mathcal V$, denote the extended operator. This operator maps continuously and one-to-one Q[−] onto $\ker(L_{10}^*) \subset \mathfrak{H}_1 \subset \mathfrak{H}$. Moreover, we denote $\widetilde{V}(t)\widetilde{\eta} = (V\widetilde{\eta})(t)$, where $\widetilde{\eta} = {\eta_k} \in$ $Q_-\$. Using (3.16) , we get

$$
(\mathcal{V}\widetilde{\eta}, \mathcal{V}\widetilde{\sigma})_{\mathfrak{H}} = (\widetilde{\eta}, \widetilde{\sigma})_{-}, \quad \widetilde{\eta} = \{\eta_k\}, \quad \widetilde{\sigma} = \{\sigma_k\}, \quad \widetilde{\eta}, \widetilde{\sigma} \in \mathcal{Q}_{-}.
$$
 (3.19)

The adjoint operator \mathcal{V}^* maps continuously \mathfrak{H} onto \mathcal{Q}_+ . Let us find the form of \mathcal{V}^* . Suppose $f \in \mathfrak{H}, \, \widetilde{\eta} \in \mathcal{M}, \, \widetilde{\eta} = \{ \widetilde{\eta}_n, 0, \ldots \}.$ Then

$$
(\widetilde{\eta}, \mathcal{V}^*f) = (\mathcal{V}\widetilde{\eta}, f)_{\mathfrak{H}} = \int_a^{b_0} (d\mathbf{m}(t) \widetilde{V}(t)\widetilde{\eta}, f(t)) = \int_a^{b_0} (\widetilde{\eta}, \widetilde{V}^*(t) d\mathbf{m}(t)f(t)).
$$

Since $\mathcal{V}^* f \in \mathcal{Q}_+$ and the set M is dense in \mathcal{Q}_- , we get

$$
\mathcal{V}^* f = \int_a^{b_0} \widetilde{V}^*(t) d\mathbf{m}(t) f(t).
$$
 (3.20)

Taking into account Lemmas [3.11](#page-10-3) and [3.12,](#page-11-1) we obtain the following statement.

Lemma 3.13. The operator $\mathcal V$ maps $\mathcal Q$ ₋ onto $\ker(L_{10}^*)$ continuously and oneto-one. A function z belongs to $\ker(L_{10}^*)$ if and only if there exists an element $\widetilde{\eta} = {\eta_k} \in \mathcal{Q}_-$ such that $z(t) = (\mathcal{V}\widetilde{\eta})(t) = \widetilde{V}(t)\widetilde{\eta}$. The operator \mathcal{V}^* maps \mathfrak{H}
ante Ω , explicitly and acts by the formula (2.30), and $\lim \mathcal{V}^* = \mathfrak{H} \cap \mathcal{P}(L)$. onto \mathcal{Q}_+ continuously and acts by the formula [\(3.20\)](#page-12-0), and ker $\mathcal{V}^* = \mathfrak{H}_0 \oplus \mathcal{R}(L_{10})$. Moreover, V^* maps $\ker(L_{10}^*)$ onto \mathcal{Q}_+ one-to-one.

Theorem 3.14. A pair $\{\widetilde{y}, \widetilde{f}\} \in \mathfrak{H} \times \mathfrak{H}$ belongs to L_0^* if and only if there exists a pair $\{y, f\}$, the functions $y_0, y'_0 \in \mathfrak{H}_0$, $\widehat{y}, \widehat{f} \in \mathfrak{H}_1$ and an element $\widetilde{\eta} \in \mathcal{Q}_$ such that the pairs ${\tilde{y}, f}$, ${y, f}$ are identical in $\tilde{y} \times \tilde{y}$ and the equalities

$$
y = y_0 + \hat{y}, \ f = y'_0 + \hat{f}, \ \hat{y}(t) = \tilde{V}(t)\tilde{\eta} - \sum_{k=1}^{k_1} w_k(t) iJ \int_a^t w_k^*(s) d\mathbf{m}(s)\hat{f}(s) \ (3.21)
$$

hold, where the series in [\(3.21\)](#page-12-1) converges in \mathfrak{H} , k₁ is the number of intervals $\mathcal{J}_k \in$ J.

Proof. The first two equalities in (3.21) follow from (3.10) . Let us prove that the last equality in [\(3.21\)](#page-12-1) holds. First we prove that if the functions \hat{y} , \hat{f} satisfy the third equality in [\(3.21\)](#page-12-1), then the pair $\{\hat{y}, \hat{f}\} \in L_{10}^*$. If \mathbb{k}_1 is finite, then this expressed follows from Lammas 3.0 and 3.13. We assume that $\mathbb{k}_1 = \infty$. statement follows from Lemmas [3.9](#page-9-3) and [3.13.](#page-12-2) We assume that $k_1 = \infty$.

It follows from Lemma [3.13](#page-12-2) that $\mathcal{V}\tilde{\eta} \in \ker(L_{10}^*)$. The function

$$
\widehat{y}_k(t) = -w_k(t) iJ \int_a^t w_k^*(s) d\mathbf{m}(s) \widehat{f}(s)
$$

$$
= -w_k(t) iJ \int_{\alpha_k}^t w_k^*(s) \Psi_{\mathbf{m}}(s) \widehat{f}(s) d\rho_{\mathbf{m}}(s)
$$
 (3.22)

vanishes outside the interval $[\alpha_k, \beta_k)$. (Here $\Psi_{\mathbf{m}}$, $\rho_{\mathbf{m}}$ are the functions from [\(2.1\)](#page-2-0) in which the measure **P** is replaced by **m**.) We denote $f_k(t) = \chi_{[\alpha_k,\beta_k)}f(t)$. Using $(2.1), (2.7), \text{ and } (3.22), \text{ we get}$ $(2.1), (2.7), \text{ and } (3.22), \text{ we get}$ $(2.1), (2.7), \text{ and } (3.22), \text{ we get}$ $(2.1), (2.7), \text{ and } (3.22), \text{ we get}$ $(2.1), (2.7), \text{ and } (3.22), \text{ we get}$ $(2.1), (2.7), \text{ and } (3.22), \text{ we get}$

$$
\|\widehat{y}_k(t)\| \leq \varepsilon_1 \|w_k(t)\| \int_{\alpha_k}^{\beta_k} \|w_k^*(s)\| \left\| \Psi_{\mathbf{m}}^{1/2}(s) \widehat{f}_k(s) \right\| d\rho_{\mathbf{m}}(s)
$$

$$
\leq \varepsilon \left(\int_{\alpha_k}^{\beta_k} \left\| \Psi_{\mathbf{m}}^{1/2}(s) \widehat{f}_k(s) \right\|^2 d\rho_{\mathbf{m}}(s) \right)^{1/2} = \varepsilon \left\| \widehat{f}_k \right\|_{\mathfrak{H}}, \quad \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon > 0.
$$

$$
\|\widehat{y}_k\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2 = \int_{\alpha_k}^{\beta_k} (\Psi_{\mathbf{m}}(t)\widehat{y}_k(t), \widehat{y}_k(t)) d\rho_{\mathbf{m}}(t) \leq \varepsilon^2 \rho_{\mathbf{m}}([\alpha_k, \beta_k)) \left\| \widehat{f}_k \right\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2.
$$
 (3.23)

We denote

$$
S_n(t) = \sum_{k=1}^n \widehat{y}_k(t)
$$

and prove that the sequence $\{S_n\}$ converges in \mathfrak{H} . From [\(3.23\)](#page-13-1), we get

$$
||S_n||_{\mathfrak{H}}^2 = \sum_{k=1}^n ||\widehat{y}_k||_{\mathfrak{H}}^2 \leq \varepsilon^2 \sum_{k=1}^n \rho_{\mathbf{m}}([\alpha_k, \beta_k)) ||\widehat{f}_k||_{\mathfrak{H}}^2 \leq \varepsilon^2 \rho_{\mathbf{m}}([a, b]) ||\widehat{f}||_{\mathfrak{H}}^2.
$$

Consequently, the sequence $\{S_n\}$ converges to some function $S \in \mathfrak{H}$ and

$$
S(t) = -\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} w_k(t) iJ \int_a^t w_k^*(s) d\mathbf{m}(s) \widehat{f}(s), \quad ||S||_{\mathfrak{H}} \leq \varepsilon_2 ||\widehat{f}||_{\mathfrak{H}}, \quad \varepsilon_2 > 0. \quad (3.24)
$$

It follows from Lemma [3.9](#page-9-3) that

$$
\left\{S_n, \sum_{k=1}^n \widehat{f}_k\right\} \in L_{10}^*.
$$

The relation L_{10}^* is closed. Therefore, $\{S, \hat{f}\} \in L_{10}^*$ and $\{\hat{y}, \hat{f}\} \in L_{10}^*$.

Now we assume that a pair $\{\widehat{y}, \widehat{f}\} \in L^*_{10}$. For the function \widehat{f} , we find a pair S by the function \widehat{f} function S by the formula [\(3.24\)](#page-13-2). Then $\{S, \hat{f}\}\in L_{10}^*$. Hence $\hat{y} - S \in \ker L_{10}^*$. By Lemma [3.13,](#page-12-2) it follows that there exists an element $\tilde{\eta} \in \mathcal{Q}_-$ such that $\hat{y} - S =$ $V\tilde{\eta}$. Therefore, \hat{y} has the form [\(3.21\)](#page-12-1). Now [\(3.10\)](#page-8-1) implies the desired assertion.
The theorem is proved. The theorem is proved.

4. The description of dissipative extensions of L_0

By \mathcal{L}_0 (by \mathcal{L}_0^{\perp}), denote the closure in \mathfrak{H} of the linear span of functions $t \to$ $v_k(t)\eta_k$, where $\eta_k \in Q_k^ \overline{k}$ and v_k has the form [\(3.15\)](#page-9-2) (form [\(3.14\)](#page-9-1), respectively). The spaces \mathcal{L}_0 and \mathcal{L}_0^{\perp} are orthogonal. Using Lemmas [3.10](#page-10-2) and [3.13,](#page-12-2) we obtain $\mathcal{L}_0 \oplus \mathcal{L}_0^{\perp} = \ker L_{10}^*$. We put $\mathfrak{Q}_- = \mathcal{V}^{-1} \mathcal{L}_0$, $\mathfrak{Q}_-^{\perp} = \mathcal{V}^{-1} \mathcal{L}_0^{\perp}$. By [\(3.19\)](#page-12-3), it follows that the spaces \mathfrak{Q}_- , \mathfrak{Q}_-^{\perp} are orthogonal in \mathcal{Q}_- and $\mathcal{Q}_- = \mathfrak{Q}_- \oplus \mathfrak{Q}_-^{\perp}$. We denote $\mathcal{V}_0 = \mathcal{V}P$, $\mathcal{V}_0^{\perp} = \mathcal{V}(E - P)$, where P is the orthogonal projection onto \mathfrak{Q}_- in \mathcal{Q}_- .

It follows from Lemma [3.13](#page-12-2) that $\mathcal{V}^* f$ $(f \in \mathfrak{H})$ is an element of the space $\mathcal{Q}_+ \subset$ Q, i.e., a sequence with elements of the form

$$
w_n^*(\tau_k) \mathbf{m}(\{\tau_k\}) f(\tau_k), \quad \int_a^{b_0} \chi_{[\alpha_k, \beta_k) \setminus \mathcal{S}_m} w_k^*(t) \, d\mathbf{m}(t) \, f(t) \tag{4.1}
$$

(and possibly with zeros), where $\tau_k \in (\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{m}} \setminus \overline{\mathcal{S}}_p) \cap \mathcal{J}_n$; $(\alpha_k, \beta_k) = \mathcal{J}_k$; $\mathcal{J}_n, \mathcal{J}_k \in$ J. The element $\mathcal{V}_0^* f$ is a sequence with elements of the first form in [\(4.1\)](#page-14-0) (and possibly with zeros), and $(\mathcal{V}_0^{\perp})^* f$ is a sequence with elements of the second form in [\(4.1\)](#page-14-0) (and possibly with zeros). Therefore,

$$
(\mathcal{V}^*f, \mathcal{V}_0^*g) = (\mathcal{V}_0^*f, \mathcal{V}_0^*g), \quad f, g \in \mathfrak{H}.\tag{4.2}
$$

Using (3.12) , we obtain

$$
(iJw_n^*(\tau_k)\mathbf{m}(\{\tau_k\})f(\tau_k), w_n^*(\tau_k)\mathbf{m}(\{\tau_k\})g(\tau_k)) = (iJm(\{\tau_k\})f(\tau_k), \mathbf{m}(\{\tau_k\})g(\tau_k)), \quad f, g \in \mathfrak{H}.
$$
 (4.3)

We denote $\mathbf{H}_{-} = \mathfrak{H}_0 \times \mathcal{Q}_{-}$, $\mathbf{H}_{+} = \mathfrak{H}_0 \times \mathcal{Q}_{+}$. Suppose a pair $\{\tilde{y}, \tilde{f}\} \in L_0^*$.
These we also these exists a pair for fill such that the pairs (\tilde{z}, \tilde{f}) for fill such By Theorem [3.14,](#page-12-4) there exists a pair $\{y, f\}$ such that the pairs $\{\tilde{y}, \tilde{f}\}, \{y, f\}$ are identical in $\mathfrak{H} \times \mathfrak{H}$ and the equalities

$$
y = y_0 + \hat{y}, \quad f = y'_0 + \hat{f}, \quad \{\hat{y}, \hat{f}\} \in L_{10}^*
$$
 (4.4)

hold, where $y_0, y'_0 \in \mathfrak{H}_0$ and \hat{y} has the form (3.21) . With each pair $\{y, f\}$ we associate a pair of boundary values $\{Y, Y'\} \in \mathbf{H}^- \times \mathbf{H}^+$ by the formulas

$$
Y = \{y_0, Y_{10}\} \in \mathbf{H}_- = \mathfrak{H}_0 \times \mathcal{Q}_-, \quad Y' = \{y'_0, Y'_{10}\} \in \mathbf{H}_+ = \mathfrak{H}_0 \times \mathcal{Q}_+, \tag{4.5}
$$

where

$$
Y_{10} = \tilde{\eta} - 2^{-1} i \tilde{J} \mathcal{V}^* \hat{f} + 2^{-1} i \tilde{J} \mathcal{V}_0^* \hat{f}, \quad Y_{10}' = \mathcal{V}^* \hat{f}, \tag{4.6}
$$

J is the operator in Q acting as $J\xi = \{J\xi_k\}, \xi = \{\xi_k\} \in \mathcal{Q}$.

Let Γ denote the operator that takes each pair $\{y, f\} \in L_0^*$ to the ordered pair ${Y, Y'}$ of boundary values Y, Y', i.e., $\Gamma\{y, f\} = {Y, Y'}$. We put $\Gamma_1\{y, f\} =$ $Y, \Gamma_2\{y, f\} = Y'$. It follows from Lemma [3.13](#page-12-2) that if pairs $\{\tilde{y}, \tilde{f}\}, \{y, f\}$ are identical in $\mathfrak{S} \times \mathfrak{S}$ than their boundary values coincide. identical in $\mathfrak{H} \times \mathfrak{H}$, then their boundary values coincide.

Theorem 4.1. The range $\mathcal{R}(\Gamma)$ of the operator Γ coincides with $H_{-} \times H_{+}$ and "the Green formula"

$$
(f, z)_{\mathfrak{H}} - (y, g)_{\mathfrak{H}} = (Y', Z) - (Y, Z') \tag{4.7}
$$

holds, where $\{y, f\}, \{z, g\} \in L_0^*$, $\Gamma\{y, f\} = \{Y, Y'\}, \Gamma\{z, g\} = \{Z, Z'\}.$

Proof. The equality $\mathcal{R}(\Gamma) = \mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{H}$ follows from Lemma [3.13](#page-12-2) and the formulas (3.10) , (4.5) , (4.6) . Let us prove (4.7) . Suppose that a pair $\{y, f\}$ has the form (3.21) and a pair $\{z, g\}$ has the form

$$
z = z_0 + \hat{z}, \quad g = z'_0 + \hat{g}, \quad \{\hat{z}, \hat{g}\} \in L_{10}^*,
$$

where $z_0, z'_0 \in \mathfrak{H}_0$,

$$
\widehat{z}(t) = \widetilde{V}(t)\widetilde{\zeta} - \sum_{k=1}^{k_1} w_k(t) iJ \int_a^t w_k^*(s) d\mathbf{m}(s)\widehat{g}(s), \quad \widetilde{\zeta} \in \mathcal{Q}_-, \ \widehat{g} \in \mathfrak{H}_1. \tag{4.8}
$$

Then

$$
(f, z)_{\mathfrak{H}} - (y, g)_{\mathfrak{H}} = (y'_0, z_0)_{\mathfrak{H}} - (y_0, z'_0)_{\mathfrak{H}} + (\widehat{f}, \widehat{z})_{\mathfrak{H}} - (\widehat{y}, \widehat{g})_{\mathfrak{H}}.
$$

Thus, it is enough to prove the equality

$$
(\hat{f}, \hat{z})_{\mathfrak{H}} - (\hat{y}, \hat{g})_{\mathfrak{H}} = (Y'_{10}, Z_{10}) - (Y_{10}, Z'_{10}). \tag{4.9}
$$

Using (4.6) , we get

$$
(\widehat{f}, \mathcal{V}\widetilde{\zeta})_{\mathfrak{H}} = (\mathcal{V}^*\widehat{f}, \widetilde{\zeta}) = (\mathcal{V}^*\widehat{f}, Z_{10} + 2^{-1}i\widetilde{J}\mathcal{V}^*\widehat{g} - 2^{-1}i\widetilde{J}\mathcal{V}^*_{0}\widehat{g}),\tag{4.10}
$$

$$
(\mathcal{V}\widetilde{\eta},\widehat{g})_{\mathfrak{H}} = (\widetilde{\eta},\mathcal{V}^*g) = (Y_{10} + 2^{-1}i\widetilde{J}\mathcal{V}^*\widehat{f} - 2^{-1}i\widetilde{J}\mathcal{V}_0^*\widehat{f},\mathcal{V}^*\widehat{g}).\tag{4.11}
$$

In (3.21) and (4.8) , we denote

$$
\widetilde{F}(t) = -\sum_{k=1}^{k_1} w_k(t) iJ \int_a^t w_k^*(s) d\mathbf{m}(s) \,\widehat{f}(s),
$$

$$
\widetilde{G}(t) = -\sum_{k=1}^{k_1} w_k(t) iJ \int_a^t w_k^*(s) d\mathbf{m}(s) \,\widehat{g}(s).
$$

We define the functions F_k , G_k by the equalities

$$
F_k(t) = -w_k(t) iJ \int_{\alpha_k}^t w_k^*(s) d\mathbf{m}(s) \,\hat{f}(s),\, G_k(t) = -w_k(t) iJ \int_{\alpha_k}^t w_k^*(s) d\mathbf{m}(s) \,\hat{g}(s).
$$

It follows from Lemma [2.2](#page-4-0) that the functions F_k , G_k are the solutions of equation [\(2.8\)](#page-4-1) on $[\alpha_k, \beta_k]$ for $x_0 = 0$ (G_k is the solution if f is replaced by g in [\(2.8\)](#page-4-1)). Using [\(3.12\)](#page-8-2) and Lemma [2.1,](#page-2-1) for ${\bf p}_1 = {\bf p}_2 = {\bf p}_0$, ${\bf q} = {\bf m}$, $c_1 = \alpha_k$, $c_2 = \beta < \beta_k$, we obtain

$$
\int_{\alpha_k}^{\beta} (\widehat{f}(s), d\mathbf{m}(s) G_k(s)) - \int_{\alpha_k}^{\beta} (F_k(s), d\mathbf{m}(s) \widehat{g}(s))
$$
\n
$$
= \left(iJw_k(\beta) iJ \int_{\alpha_k}^{\beta} w_k^*(s) d\mathbf{m}(s) \widehat{f}(s), w_k(\beta) iJ \int_{\alpha_k}^{\beta} w_k^*(s) d\mathbf{m}(s) \widehat{g}(s) \right)
$$
\n
$$
- \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{m}} \cap [\alpha_k, \beta)} (iJ\mathbf{m}(\{\tau\}) \widehat{f}(\tau), \mathbf{m}(\{\tau\}) \widehat{g}(\tau))
$$

Dissipative Extensions of Linear Relations Generated by Integral Equations 397

$$
= \left(iJ\int_{\alpha_k}^{\beta} w_k^*(s) d\mathbf{m}(s) \,\hat{f}(s), \int_{\alpha_k}^{\beta} w_k^*(s) d\mathbf{m}(s) \,\hat{g}(s)\right) - \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{m}} \cap [\alpha_k, \beta)} (iJ\mathbf{m}(\{\tau\})\hat{f}(\tau), \mathbf{m}(\{\tau\})\hat{g}(\tau)).
$$
 (4.12)

Passing to the limit as $\beta \to \beta_k - 0$ in [\(4.12\)](#page-16-0), we obtain that (4.12) will remain true if β is replaced by β_k . Therefore,

$$
\int_{\alpha_k}^{\beta_k} (\widehat{f}(s), d\mathbf{m}(s) G_k(s)) - \int_{\alpha_k}^{\beta_k} (F_k(s), d\mathbf{m}(s) \widehat{g}(s))
$$
\n
$$
= \left(iJ \int_{\alpha_k}^{\beta_k} w_k^*(s) d\mathbf{m}(s) \widehat{f}(s), \int_{\alpha_k}^{\beta_k} w_k^*(s) d\mathbf{m}(s) \widehat{g}(s) \right)
$$
\n
$$
- \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{m}} \cap [\alpha_k, \beta_k)} (iJ\mathbf{m}(\{\tau\}) \widehat{f}(\tau), \mathbf{m}(\{\tau\}) \widehat{g}(\tau)).
$$

Taking into account (3.20) , (4.1) , and (4.3) , we get

$$
(\widehat{f},\widetilde{G})_{\mathfrak{H}}-(\widetilde{F},\widehat{g})_{\mathfrak{H}}=(i\widetilde{J}\mathcal{V}^*\widehat{f},\mathcal{V}^*\widehat{g})-(i\widetilde{J}\mathcal{V}^*_{0}\widehat{f},\mathcal{V}^*_{0}\widehat{g}).
$$

Then equalities (4.10) and (4.11) imply

$$
(\widehat{f},\widehat{z})_{\mathfrak{H}} - (\widehat{y},\widehat{g})_{\mathfrak{H}} = (\mathcal{V}^*\widehat{f},Z_{10}) - 2^{-1}(i\widetilde{J}\mathcal{V}^*\widehat{f},\mathcal{V}^*\widehat{g}) + 2^{-1}(i\widetilde{J}\mathcal{V}^*\widehat{f},\mathcal{V}^*_{0}\widehat{g})
$$

$$
- (Y_{10},\mathcal{V}^*\widehat{g}) - 2^{-1}(i\widetilde{J}\mathcal{V}^*\widehat{f},\mathcal{V}^*\widehat{g}) + 2^{-1}(i\widetilde{J}\mathcal{V}^*_{0}\widehat{f},\mathcal{V}^*\widehat{g})
$$

$$
+ (i\widetilde{J}\mathcal{V}^*\widehat{f},\mathcal{V}^*\widehat{g}) - (i\widetilde{J}\mathcal{V}^*_{0}\widehat{f},\mathcal{V}^*_{0}\widehat{g}).
$$

Now, using (4.2) and (4.6) , we obtain (4.9) . The theorem is proved.

From the theory of spaces with positive and negative norms (see $[2,$ Chap. 1] and [\[13,](#page-19-1) Chap. 2]), it follows that there exist isometric operators $\delta_- : \mathcal{Q}_- \to \mathcal{Q}$, $\delta_+ : \mathcal{Q}_+ \to \mathcal{Q}$ such that the equality $(\tilde{\eta}, \tilde{\varphi}) = (\delta_- \tilde{\eta}, \delta_+ \tilde{\varphi})$ holds for all $\tilde{\eta} \in \mathcal{Q}_-,$ $\widetilde{\varphi} \in \mathcal{Q}_+$. We denote $\mathcal{H} = \mathfrak{H}_0 \times \mathcal{Q}$. Suppose $\{\widetilde{y}, \widetilde{f}\} \in L_0^*$. According to Theorem [3.14,](#page-12-4) there exists a pair $\{y, f\}$ such that the pairs $\{\tilde{y}, f\}$, $\{y, f\}$ are identical in $\mathfrak{H} \times \mathfrak{H}$ and equalities [\(4.4\)](#page-14-6) hold. To each pair $\{y, f\}$ assign a pair of boundary values $\gamma \{y, f\} = \{ \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Y}' \} \in \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}$ by the formulas

$$
\mathcal{Y} = \gamma_1\{y, f\} = \{y_0, \delta - Y_{10}\}, \quad \mathcal{Y}' = \gamma_2\{y, f\} = \{y'_0, \delta + Y'_{10}\}.
$$

By Theorem [4.1,](#page-14-7) it follows that the operator γ maps L_0^* onto $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}$ and the equality

$$
(f, z)_{\mathfrak{H}} - (y, g)_{\mathfrak{H}} = (\mathcal{Y}', \mathcal{Z}) - (\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z}')
$$
\n(4.13)

holds, where $\{y, f\}$, $\{z, g\} \in L_0^*$, $\gamma \{y, f\} = \{\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Y}'\}$, $\gamma \{z, g\} = \{\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{Z}'\}$. This implies that the ordered triple $(\mathcal{H}, \gamma_1, \gamma_2)$ is a space of boundary values (a boundary triplet in another terminology) for L_0 in the sense of papers $[4, 5, 16]$ $[4, 5, 16]$ $[4, 5, 16]$ $[4, 5, 16]$ (see also [\[13,](#page-19-1) Chap. 3]).

Let θ be a linear relation, $\theta \subset \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}$. By L_{θ} , denote a linear relation such that $L_0 \subset L_\theta \subset L_0^*$ and $\gamma L_\theta = \theta$. It follows from [\(4.13\)](#page-16-1) that both relations L_θ

 \Box

and θ are maximal dissipative (or maximal accumulative, or maximal symmetric, or self-adjoint). From here, taking into account the description of self-adjoint relations (see [\[20\]](#page-20-3)), of dissipative relations (see [\[14\]](#page-19-0)), we obtain the following assertion.

Theorem 4.2. If U is a contraction on \mathfrak{H} , then the restriction of the relation L_0^* to the set of pairs $\{y, f\} \in L_0^*$ satisfying the condition

$$
(U - E)\Gamma_2 f + (U + E)\Gamma_1 f = 0 \tag{4.14}
$$

or

$$
(U - E)\Gamma_2 f - (U + E)\Gamma_1 f = 0 \tag{4.15}
$$

is a maximal dissipative, respectively, maximal accumulative extension of L_0 . Conversely, any maximal dissipative (maximal accumulative) extension of L_0 is the restriction of L_0^* to the set of pairs $\{y, f\} \in L_0^*$ satisfying (4.14) (or (4.15)), where a contraction U is uniquely determined by an extension. The maximal symmetric extensions of the relation L_0 on $\mathfrak H$ are described by the conditions (4.14) , $(or (4.15))$ $(or (4.15))$ $(or (4.15))$, where U is an isometric operator. These conditions define a self-adjoint extension if U is unitary.

Let us consider some examples.

Example 4.3. Suppose $p = p_0$ is a continuous measure, $m = \mu$ is the usual Lebesgue measure on [a, b] (i.e., $\mu([\alpha, \beta)) = \beta - \alpha$, where $a \le \alpha < \beta \le b$ (we write ds instead of $d\mu(s)$). In this case, L_0 , L_0^* are operators, $\mathbb{k}_1 = \mathbb{k} = 1$, $\mathfrak{H}_0 =$ $\{0\}, Q_{1,0} = \{0\}, Q_1 = H = Q_- = Q_+$. Equality [\(3.21\)](#page-12-1) has the form

$$
y(t) = W(t)\eta - W(t) iJ \int_a^t W^*(s)f(s) ds, \quad f = L_0^* y, \quad \eta \in H.
$$

By direct calculations, we obtain

$$
Y = 2^{-1}(y(a) + W^{-1}(b)y(b)); \ Y' = iJ(W^{-1}(b)y(b) - y(a)). \tag{4.16}
$$

Now we assume that the measures **p**, **m** are continuous. Generally, then L_0 , L_0^* are not operators. In this case, $k_1 = k = 1$, $\mathfrak{H}_0 = \{0\}$. In general, $Q_1 \neq H$, $Q_1 \neq Q_1^-$. If a pair $\{y, f\} \in L_0^*$ is such that $y(a) \in Q_1$, then equalities (4.16) hold.

Suppose that $\mathbf{m} = \mu$ and the set $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{p}}$ of single-point atoms of the measure p can be arranged as an increasing sequence converging to b. For this case the space of boundary values was constructed in [\[11\]](#page-19-12).

Example 4.4. Suppose that $\mathcal{S}_{m} \neq \emptyset$ and $m = \mu + \overline{m}$, where $\mu = m_0$ is the usual Lebesgue measure on [a, b] and $\mu(\Delta) = m(\Delta)$ for all Borel sets such that $\Delta \cap \mathcal{S}_{m} = \emptyset$. So, $\mathcal{S}_{m} = \mathcal{S}_{\overline{m}}$ and $m(\{\beta\}) = \overline{m}(\{\beta\})$ for all $\beta \in \mathcal{S}_{m}$. We denote $Q_{k,0} = \ker \mathbf{m}(\{\tau_k\}), Q_k = H \ominus Q_{k,0}$, where $\tau_k \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{m}}$. Let \mathbf{m}_k be the restriction of the operator $m({\lbrace \tau_k \rbrace})$ to \hat{Q}_k . The operator m_k is self-adjoint and $\mathcal{R}(m_k)$ \widehat{Q}_k . By \widehat{Q}_k^- , denote the completion of \widehat{Q}_k with respect to the norm $||\xi||_-$ = $(\mathbf{m}_k \xi, \xi)^{1/2}$, where $\xi \in \widehat{Q}_k$. Let \widehat{Q}_- be the linear space of sequences $\widetilde{\eta} = {\eta_k}$ such that the series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} ||\eta_k||^2$ converges if $k_2 = \infty$, where k_2 is the number of elements in $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{m}}$. Then $\mathfrak{H} = L_2(H; a, b) \oplus \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_-.$

Suppose $\mathbf{p} = 0$ and $a, b \notin \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{m}}$. (The case of an arbitrary continuous measure **p** can be considered in a similar way.) If $p = 0$, then $\mathfrak{H}_0 = \{0\}$, $W(t) = E$, and $\mathcal{Q}_- = H \oplus \mathcal{Q}_-$. It follows from Lemma [3.3](#page-6-4) and [\(3.1\)](#page-6-0) that a pair $\{y, f\} \in L_0$ if and only if

$$
y(t) = -iJ\int_a^t f(s) ds, \qquad y(b) = 0, \qquad \mathbf{m}(\beta)f(\beta) = 0, \quad \beta \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{m}}.
$$

Using Theorem [3.14,](#page-12-4) we obtain that a pair $\{y, f\} \in L_0^*$ if and only if

$$
y(t) = \eta_0 + \sum_{\tau_k \leq t} \chi_{\{\tau_k\}} \eta_k - iJ \int_a^t d\mathbf{m}(s) f(s), \tag{4.17}
$$

where $\eta_0 \in H$, $\tau_k \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{m}}$, $\eta_k \in \widehat{Q}_k^-$, and the sequence $\widetilde{\eta} = {\eta_0, \eta_k}$ belongs to \mathcal{Q}_-
(bere $k \in \mathbb{N}$ if $\mathbb{F}_{k-1} = \infty$ and $1 \leq k \leq \mathbb{F}_{k-1}$ is finite) (here $k \in \mathbb{N}$ if $k_2 = \infty$, and $1 \leq k \leq k_2$ if k_2 is finite).

It follows from (4.5) , (4.6) , and (4.1) that the boundary values Y, Y' are the sequence of the form

$$
Y = \left\{ \eta_0 - 2^{-1} iJ \int_a^b f(s) \, ds, \, \eta_k \right\},
$$

$$
Y' = \left\{ \int_a^b f(s) \, ds, \, \mathbf{m}(\{\tau_k\}) f(\tau_k) \right\}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots
$$

Suppose that the set \mathcal{S}_{m} of single-point atoms τ_{k} of measure m can be arranged as an increasing sequence; $\tau_1 < \tau_2 < \dots$. In this case, we find η_0 , η_k . Using (4.17) , we get

$$
y(t) = \eta_0 + \sum_{\tau_k \leq t} \chi_{\{\tau_k\}} \eta_k - iJ \int_a^t f(s)ds - iJ \sum_{\tau_k < t} \mathbf{m}(\{\tau_k\}) f(\tau_k). \tag{4.18}
$$

From [\(4.18\)](#page-18-1), by direct calculations we obtain

$$
\eta_0 = y(a),
$$

\n
$$
\eta_1 = y(\tau_1) - y(a) + iJ \int_a^{\tau_1} f(s) ds,
$$

\n
$$
\eta_k = y(\tau_k) - y(\tau_{k-1}) + iJ \int_{\tau_{k-1}}^{\tau_k} f(s) ds + iJ\mathbf{m}(\{\tau_{k-1}\})f(\tau_{k-1}).
$$

Thus, the boundary values Y, Y' are expressed through the values of the functions y, f and the integrals of f.

Acknowledgment. The author thanks the reviewer for useful comments.

References

- [1] A.G. Baskakov, Analysis of linear differential equations by methods of the spectral theory of difference operators and linear relations, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 68 (2013), No. 1, 77–128; Engl. transl.: Russian Math. Surveys 68 (2013), No. 1, 69–116.
- [2] Yu.M. Berezanski, Expansions in Eigenfunctions of Selfadjoint Operators, Naukova Dumka, Kiev, 1965; Engl. transl.: Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1968.
- [3] J. Behrndt, S. Hassi, H. Snoo, and R. Wietsma, Square-integrable solutions and Weil functions for singular canonical systems, Math. Nachr. 284 (2011), No. 11–12, 1334–1384.
- [4] V.M. Bruk, On a class of boundary value problems with spectral parameter in the boundary condition, Mat. Sbornik 100 (1976), No. 2, 210–216; Engl. transl.: Math. USSR-Sbornik 29 (1976), No. 2, 186–192.
- [5] V.M. Bruk, Extensions of symmetric relations, Mat. Zametki 22 (1977), No. 6, 825–834; Engl. transl.: Mathematical Notes 22 (1977), No. 6, 953–958.
- [6] V.M. Bruk, On a number of linearly independent square-integrable solutions of systems of differential equations, Functional Analysis 5 (1975), Uljanovsk, 25–33.
- [7] V.M. Bruk, Linear relations in a space of vector functions, Mat. Zametki 24 (1978), No. 4, 499–511; Engl. transl.: Mathematical Notes, 24 (1978), No. 4, 767–773.
- [8] V.M. Bruk, Boundary value problems for integral equations with operator measures, Probl. Anal. Issues Anal. 6(24) (2017), No. 1, 19–40.
- [9] V.M. Bruk, On self-adjoint extensions of operators generated by integral equations, Taurida Journal of Computer Science Theory and Mathematics (2017), No. 1(34), 17–31.
- [10] V.M. Bruk, On the characteristic operator of an integral equation with a Nevanlinna measure in the infinite-dimensional case, Zh. Mat. Fiz. Anal. Geom. 10 (2014), No. 2, 163–188.
- [11] V.M. Bruk,r Generalized Resolvents of operators generated by integral equations, Probl. Anal. Issues Anal, 7(25) (2018), No. 2, 20–38.
- [12] V.M. Bruk, On self-adjoint extensions of relations generated by integral equations, Taurida Journal of Computer Science Theory and Mathematics (2019), No. 1(42), 43–61.
- [13] V.I. Gorbachuk and M.L. Gorbachuk, Boundary Value Problems for Differential-Operator Equations, Naukova Dumka, Kiev, 1984; Engl. transl.: Kluver Acad. Publ., Dordrecht-Boston-London, 1991.
- [14] M.L. Gorbachuk, A.N. Kochubei, and M.A. Rybak, Dissipative extensions of differential operators in a space of vector-functions, Dokl. AN USSR 205 (1971), No. 5, 1029–1032; Engl. transl.: Soviet Math. Dokl. 13 (1972), No. 1, 1063–1067.
- [15] V. Khrabustovskyi, Analogs of generalized resolvents for relations generated by a pair of differential operator expressions one of which depends on spectral parameter in nonlinear manner, Zh. Mat. Fiz. Anal. Geom. 9 (2013), No. 4, 496–535.
- [16] A.N. Kochubei, Extensions of symmetric operators and symmetric binary relations, Mat. Zametki 17 (1975), No. 1, 41–48; Engl. transl.: Mathematical Notes, 17 (1975), No. 1, 25–28.
- [17] M.G. Krein, The theory of self-adjoint extensions of semi-bounded Hermitian transformations and its applications, Mat. Sbornik 20 (1947), No. 3, 431–495; 21 (1947), No. 3, 365–404.
- [18] M.A. Naimark, Linear Differential Operators, Nauka, Moscow, 1969; Engl. transl.: George G. Harrar and Company, LTD, London-Toronto-Wellington-Sidney, 1969.
- [19] B.C. Orcutt, Canonical Differential Equations, Ph. D. Thesis, University of Virginia, 1969.
- [20] F.S. Rofe-Beketov, Self-adjoint extensions of differential operators in a space of vector functions, Dokl. AN USSR 184 (1969), No. 5, 1034–1037; Engl. transl.: Soviet Math. Dokl. 10 (1969), No. 1, 188–192.
- [21] F.S. Rofe-Beketov and A.M Kholkin, Spectral Analysis of Differential Operators, World Scientific Monograph Series in Mathematics, 7, Singapure, 2005.

Received October 26, 2019, revised December 10, 2019.

Vladislav M. Bruk,

Saratov State Technical University, 77 Politekhnicheskaya str., Saratov 410054, Russia, E-mail: vladislavbruk@mail.ru

Дисипативнi розширення лiнiйних вiдношень, породжених iнтегральними рiвняннями з операторними мiрами

Vladislav M. Bruk

У статтi визначено мiнiмальне вiдношення L0, яке породжене iнтегральним рiвнянням з операторними мiрами, i надано опис спряженого відношення $L_0^\ast.$ Для цього мінімального відношення побудовано простір граничних значень (гранична трiйка), що задовольняє абстрактну "формулу Грiна", i одержано опис максимального дисипативного (акумулятивного) вiдношення, а також самоспряжених розширень мiнiмального вiдношення.

Ключовi слова: гiльбертiв простiр, лiнiйне вiдношення, iнтегральне рiвняння, дисипативне розширення, самоспряжене розширення, граничне значення, операторна мiра